

The Influence of AI Ethics in HR and Algorithm Transparency on Employee Trust in the Manufacturing Industry

Supiah Ningsih¹, Danil Syahputra², Nazifah Husainah³, Adityo Ari Wibowo⁴, Cikita Berlian Hakim⁵

^{1,2} Universitas Muhammadiyah Asahan

³ Universitas Muhammadiyah Jakarta

⁴ Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. Hamka - Jakarta

⁵ Universitas Muhammadiyah Kudus

Article Info

Article history:

Received Mar, 2026

Revised Mar, 2026

Accepted Mar, 2026

Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence Ethics
Algorithm Transparency
Human Resource Management
Employee Trust
Manufacturing Industry

ABSTRACT

This study examines the influence of artificial intelligence (AI) ethics in human resources and algorithm transparency on employee trust in the manufacturing industry. The rapid adoption of AI in organizational management has transformed HR practices, including recruitment, performance evaluation, and workforce management, by enabling more efficient and data-driven decision-making. However, the use of AI also raises concerns regarding ethical governance and the transparency of algorithmic decision-making processes. This research employs a quantitative approach using a survey method involving 150 employees working in manufacturing companies. Data were collected through structured questionnaires measured on a five-point Likert scale and analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling with Partial Least Squares (SEM-PLS 3). The results indicate that AI ethics in HR has a positive and significant effect on employee trust, suggesting that the application of ethical principles in AI-based HR practices enhances employees' perceptions of fairness and organizational responsibility. In addition, algorithm transparency also shows a positive and significant influence on employee trust, demonstrating that clear explanations of AI decision-making processes can increase employees' confidence in technology-driven HR systems. The structural model reveals that both variables explain 63% of the variance in employee trust ($R^2 = 0.63$). Overall, these findings emphasize the importance of responsible AI governance and transparent algorithmic systems in strengthening employee trust and supporting the sustainable adoption of AI technologies within organizations.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.



Corresponding Author:

Name: Supiah Ningsih

Institution: Universitas Muhammadiyah Asahan

Email: supiahningsih26@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of digital technologies has significantly transformed organizational practices across various

sectors, including the manufacturing industry. Among these technological developments, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most influential innovations shaping modern organizational

management [1], [2]. AI technologies are increasingly integrated into human resource (HR) functions such as recruitment screening, employee performance evaluation, workforce planning, and talent management. Through the use of machine learning algorithms and automated decision-making systems, organizations are able to process large volumes of employee data efficiently and support faster and more strategic managerial decisions [3], [4]. As manufacturing companies increasingly adopt Industry 4.0 practices, the integration of AI within HR management is expected to expand further, enabling organizations to optimize workforce performance and enhance operational effectiveness.

Despite the substantial benefits associated with AI-based HR systems, the adoption of such technologies also raises important ethical and organizational concerns. One of the primary challenges involves the ethical implications of AI in HR decision-making processes. AI systems rely on algorithms trained using historical organizational data, which may contain embedded biases related to gender, ethnicity, age, or other demographic factors [3], [4]. If these biases are not carefully addressed during algorithm design and implementation, AI systems may unintentionally reinforce discriminatory practices in recruitment, promotion, or performance evaluation. Consequently, ensuring ethical governance in the use of AI has become a critical priority for organizations seeking to implement AI technologies responsibly and maintain fairness in workplace decision-making.

Another significant issue associated with AI adoption in HR management relates to the transparency of algorithmic decision-making processes. Many AI systems function as complex “black box” models in which the underlying logic behind algorithmic decisions is not easily interpretable by users or stakeholders [3]. In organizational contexts, particularly in HR-related decisions, employees may feel uncertain or skeptical when important employment outcomes are determined by automated systems that lack clear explanations. This lack of transparency

may reduce employees’ perceptions of fairness, accountability, and procedural justice within the organization [5], [6]. As a result, algorithm transparency defined as the extent to which AI systems provide understandable explanations regarding how decisions are generated—has become an increasingly important factor in shaping employees’ perceptions toward the adoption of AI technologies.

Employee trust represents another critical element in determining the success of AI implementation within organizations. Trust reflects employees’ confidence that organizational systems, technologies, and management practices operate fairly, responsibly, and in accordance with organizational values [7], [8]. When employees perceive AI systems as ethical and transparent, they are more likely to accept and support the integration of these technologies into HR processes. In contrast, when AI systems are perceived as opaque or ethically questionable, employees may develop skepticism or resistance toward technology-driven decision-making [9], [10]. Such perceptions can undermine trust not only in the AI systems themselves but also in the organizations that deploy them.

In the manufacturing industry, where operational efficiency and effective workforce management are essential for maintaining productivity and competitiveness, maintaining employee trust is particularly important. Manufacturing organizations increasingly rely on digital technologies, automation, and data-driven systems to optimize both production processes and human resource management. As AI becomes more deeply embedded within HR functions, employees must perceive these systems as fair, transparent, and ethically governed in order to maintain confidence in organizational practices. Ethical AI governance and transparent algorithmic processes may therefore serve as key mechanisms for strengthening employee trust in organizations undergoing digital transformation.

Several previous studies have emphasized the importance of ethical

considerations and transparency in the adoption of AI within organizational environments. Research suggests that the implementation of ethical AI frameworks can enhance organizational legitimacy and increase employee acceptance of emerging technologies. Likewise, transparent algorithmic systems have been shown to improve perceptions of fairness and accountability in automated decision-making processes. However, empirical investigations examining the relationship between AI ethics, algorithm transparency, and employee trust—particularly within the context of the manufacturing sector—remain relatively limited. Therefore, this study aims to analyze the influence of artificial intelligence ethics in human resources and algorithm transparency on employee trust in the manufacturing industry using a quantitative research approach involving 150 manufacturing employees. By examining employees' perceptions of ethical AI practices and algorithm transparency, this study contributes to the growing literature on responsible AI governance and provides practical insights for organizations seeking to implement AI technologies in ways that strengthen employee trust and support sustainable digital transformation.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 *Artificial Intelligence in Human Resource Management*

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become an important technological tool in modern organizational management, particularly in human resource management (HRM). AI refers to computer systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as learning, reasoning, decision-making, and pattern recognition. In HR practices, AI is widely used to improve efficiency in processes such as recruitment screening, employee performance evaluation, talent

analytics, workforce planning, and employee engagement monitoring [11]. The adoption of AI allows organizations to analyze large datasets quickly and make more data-driven decisions. For example, AI-based recruitment systems can automatically screen large numbers of job applications, while AI-driven performance evaluation tools can analyze productivity patterns to support managerial assessments [12], [13]. However, the implementation of AI in HR also raises challenges, particularly the risk of algorithmic bias that may reproduce discriminatory patterns in hiring, promotion, or compensation decisions. Therefore, organizations must ensure that AI systems in HR management follow ethical principles that emphasize fairness, accountability, and transparency.

2.2 *AI Ethics in Human Resources*

AI ethics refers to the set of moral principles and guidelines that govern the design, development, and implementation of artificial intelligence technologies. In the context of human resource management, AI ethics emphasizes that AI systems should operate in ways that respect fairness, non-discrimination, accountability, and human dignity, ensuring that algorithmic decisions affecting employees remain transparent and aligned with organizational values [11], [14]. The importance of AI ethics has increased as organizations increasingly rely on automated systems in HR processes such as recruitment, employee monitoring, and performance

evaluation. Without proper ethical governance, these systems may generate biased outcomes that undermine perceptions of fairness and organizational justice [12], [13]. Therefore, AI governance frameworks commonly emphasize key principles such as fairness, accountability, transparency, and responsibility to ensure that algorithmic decisions remain unbiased and understandable. When organizations apply these ethical principles in HR management, employees are more likely to perceive the organization as responsible and trustworthy, thereby strengthening trust relationships between employees and the organization.

2.3 Algorithm Transparency

Algorithm transparency refers to the extent to which the processes and logic behind algorithmic decision-making are understandable and accessible to users. In AI systems, transparency involves providing clear explanations of how data are processed, how decisions are generated, and which factors influence algorithmic outputs [15], [16]. Many AI systems operate as complex “black box” models whose internal mechanisms are difficult for users to interpret, which can create uncertainty and skepticism among employees—especially when important HR decisions such as promotions, performance evaluations, or disciplinary actions are influenced by automated systems. When employees do not understand how algorithmic decisions are made, they may question the fairness and legitimacy of these outcomes

[17], [18]. Therefore, transparency plays a crucial role in building confidence in AI technologies. By clearly explaining how AI systems function and how decisions are produced, organizations can enhance employees’ perceptions of fairness and trust in automated processes. Moreover, algorithm transparency also strengthens organizational accountability, as transparent systems enable organizations to identify potential biases or errors and continuously improve the fairness and reliability of their AI-based decision-making systems.

2.4 Employee Trust

Employee trust refers to the level of confidence employees have in their organization, its leadership, and the systems used to manage workplace processes. Trust is a fundamental component of healthy organizational relationships and plays an important role in shaping employee attitudes, commitment, and performance. In the context of technological innovation, particularly the adoption of AI-based systems in human resource practices, employee trust becomes increasingly important because such technologies may alter traditional management processes and create uncertainty regarding job security, privacy, fairness, or the accuracy of automated decisions [7], [10]. Several factors influence employee trust in technology-driven organizations, including perceived fairness, transparency, accountability, and ethical governance. When employees perceive technological systems as operating fairly and

transparently, they are more likely to accept their use and trust the decisions generated by these systems [9], [10]. Conversely, perceptions of bias or opacity in AI systems may reduce trust in both the technology and the organization implementing it. Ultimately, strong employee trust can enhance cooperation, organizational commitment, and overall performance, while low levels of trust may lead to resistance toward technological adoption and decreased employee morale.

2.5 *The Relationship between AI Ethics, Algorithm Transparency, and Employee Trust*

The ethical governance of artificial intelligence (AI) and the transparency of algorithmic systems are closely related to the development of employee trust within organizations. When organizations implement ethical AI practices, employees are more likely to perceive that decision-making processes are guided by fairness, accountability, and respect for employee well-being. Ethical AI governance signals that the organization is committed to minimizing the risks associated with automated decision-making and ensuring that technology is used responsibly. Similarly, algorithm transparency can strengthen employee trust by reducing uncertainty and increasing perceptions of procedural fairness. When employees understand how AI systems operate and how decisions are generated, they are more likely to believe that these systems function objectively and without hidden bias. Transparent algorithms also enable

employees to evaluate whether decisions are based on relevant and fair criteria. Therefore, the combination of ethical AI practices and transparent algorithmic systems provides an important foundation for responsible AI adoption, helping organizations strengthen trust relationships with employees while supporting the effective integration of digital technologies into HR management practices. Based on the theoretical framework discussed above, this study proposes several research hypotheses.

H1: Artificial Intelligence Ethics in Human Resources has a positive and significant effect on employee trust in the manufacturing industry.

H2: Algorithm Transparency has a positive and significant effect on employee trust in the manufacturing industry.

3. METHODS

3.1 Research Design

This study employed a quantitative research approach to examine the influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) ethics in human resources and algorithm transparency on employee trust in the manufacturing industry. A quantitative design was selected because it enables researchers to measure relationships between variables objectively using statistical techniques. The research adopted a cross-sectional survey method in which data were collected from respondents at a single point in time through structured questionnaires, making it appropriate for analyzing employees' perceptions and attitudes toward AI-based HR practices within their organizations. To analyze the relationships among variables, this study used Structural Equation Modeling with the Partial Least Squares approach (SEM-PLS).

SEM-PLS was chosen because it is suitable for predictive analysis, can handle relatively small sample sizes, and is effective in examining complex relationships between latent variables. The analysis was conducted using SmartPLS version 3.

3.2 Population and Sample

The population of this study consisted of employees working in manufacturing companies that have begun implementing artificial intelligence technologies in their organizational or human resource management processes, including the use of digital systems in recruitment, employee evaluation, workforce monitoring, and other HR-related decision-making activities. The sampling technique used was purposive sampling to ensure that respondents met criteria relevant to the research objectives. The selected respondents were employees who worked in manufacturing companies, were familiar with or affected by AI-based systems in HR practices, and had worked in their organizations for at least one year. Based on these criteria, a total of 150 respondents were selected as the research sample. This sample size is considered adequate for SEM-PLS analysis, which typically requires a minimum of around 100 observations to produce reliable statistical results.

3.3 Data Collection Technique

The data used in this study were primary data collected through the distribution of structured questionnaires to employees working in manufacturing companies. The questionnaires were distributed both online and directly to respondents, who were asked to provide their perceptions regarding AI ethics in human resource management, algorithm transparency, and employee trust within their

organizations. All measurement items were assessed using a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree), 2 (Disagree), 3 (Neutral), 4 (Agree), to 5 (Strongly Agree). This Likert scale was used to effectively capture respondents' attitudes and perceptions toward the variables examined in the study

3.4 Research Variables and Operational Definitions

This study includes two independent variables and one dependent variable. The first independent variable is Artificial Intelligence Ethics in Human Resources (X1), which refers to the ethical principles guiding the use of artificial intelligence systems in human resource management processes and measures employees' perceptions of fairness, responsibility, and ethical considerations in AI-driven HR decision-making. The second independent variable is Algorithm Transparency (X2), defined as the extent to which employees understand how AI systems process data and generate decisions, reflecting the clarity, explainability, and openness of algorithm-based HR systems. The dependent variable is Employee Trust (Y), which refers to the level of confidence employees have in the organization and its use of AI-based systems in HR management, measuring employees' beliefs that organizational systems operate fairly, responsibly, and in their best interest.

3.5 Measurement Indicators

The constructs used in this study were measured using several indicators adapted from previous studies related to AI governance, organizational transparency, and employee trust.

Table 1. Measurement Variable

Variable	Code	Measurement Item
Artificial Intelligence Ethics in HR (X1)	AIHR1	The use of AI in HR decisions in my company follows ethical principles.
	AIHR2	AI systems used by the company treat employees fairly.

	AIHR3	The organization ensures that AI decisions do not discriminate against employees.
	AIHR4	The company monitors AI systems to ensure responsible use.
Algorithm Transparency (X2)	AT1	Employees are informed about the use of AI systems in HR processes.
	AT2	The organization explains how AI systems make decisions.
	AT3	AI-based HR decisions are understandable to employees.
	AT4	Employees can obtain explanations for decisions made by AI systems.
Employee Trust (Y)	ET1	I trust the HR systems used by my organization.
	ET2	I believe AI-based HR decisions are fair.
	ET3	I feel confident about the organization's use of AI technology.
	ET4	The use of AI in my organization increases my trust in management.

3.6 Data Analysis Technique

The data analysis in this study was conducted using Structural Equation Modeling with the Partial Least Squares approach (SEM-PLS) through SmartPLS version 3, which involves two main stages: outer model evaluation and inner model evaluation. The outer model evaluation was performed to assess the validity and reliability of the measurement model, including convergent validity measured by factor loading values where indicators above 0.70 are considered valid, Average Variance Extracted (AVE) values above 0.50 indicating that constructs explain more than half of the variance of their indicators, Composite Reliability (CR) values exceeding 0.70 to demonstrate internal consistency, and Cronbach's Alpha values above 0.70 to confirm construct reliability. In addition, discriminant validity was evaluated using the Fornell-Larcker Criterion and the Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio (HTMT). Meanwhile, the inner model evaluation was conducted to examine the structural relationships between variables, including the Coefficient of Determination (R^2) to measure the explanatory power of independent variables on the dependent variable, path coefficients to

determine the strength and direction of relationships between constructs, and hypothesis testing using the bootstrapping procedure in SmartPLS. A relationship is considered statistically significant if the t-statistic value is greater than 1.96 and the p-value is less than 0.05 at a 5% significance level.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Respondent Profile

This study involved 150 employees working in manufacturing companies that have implemented or are familiar with artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in human resource management. The respondents were selected using purposive sampling to ensure that participants had sufficient understanding of AI-based HR practices within their organizations. The demographic characteristics of the respondents include gender, age, education level, and work experience, which provide an overview of the background of the participants involved in the study. The distribution of respondents based on these demographic characteristics is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Respondents (n = 150)

Characteristic	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	92	61.3
	Female	58	38.7
Age	20–30 years	46	30.7
	31–40 years	64	42.7
	41–50 years	31	20.7

	>50 years	9	6.0
Education	Diploma	37	24.7
	Bachelor	83	55.3
	Master	30	20.0
Work Experience	1–5 years	54	36.0
	6–10 years	58	38.7
	>10 years	38	25.3

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the 150 respondents involved in this study. Based on gender distribution, the majority of respondents were male (61.3%), while female respondents accounted for 38.7%. In terms of age, most respondents were between 31–40 years old (42.7%), followed by those aged 20–30 years (30.7%), 41–50 years (20.7%), and above 50 years (6.0%). Regarding educational background, the largest proportion of respondents held a bachelor's degree (55.3%), followed by diploma holders (24.7%) and those with a master's degree (20.0%). In terms of work experience, most respondents had worked for 6–10 years (38.7%), followed by

those with 1–5 years of experience (36.0%) and more than 10 years of experience (25.3%). Overall, these demographic characteristics indicate that the respondents generally have sufficient educational background and work experience to understand AI-based human resource practices within manufacturing organizations.

4.2 Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics were calculated to understand the overall perceptions of respondents regarding the research variables. Table 2 presents the mean and standard deviation values for each construct.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
AI Ethics in HR	4.12	0.61
Algorithm Transparency	3.98	0.67
Employee Trust	4.05	0.64

Table 3 presents the descriptive statistics of the variables examined in this study. The results indicate that AI Ethics in HR has the highest mean value (Mean = 4.12; SD = 0.61), suggesting that respondents generally perceive the implementation of ethical principles in AI-based HR practices within their organizations as relatively strong. Employee Trust also shows a high mean value (Mean = 4.05; SD = 0.64), indicating that employees tend to have a positive level of trust toward their organizations in relation to the use of AI technologies in HR management. Meanwhile, Algorithm Transparency has a slightly lower mean value (Mean = 3.98; SD = 0.67), although it still reflects a generally

positive perception among employees regarding the clarity and explainability of AI-based HR systems.

4.3 Measurement Model Evaluation (Outer Model)

The measurement model evaluation was conducted to assess the reliability and validity of the constructs used in the study.

4.3.1 Convergent Validity

Convergent validity was evaluated using factor loadings. All indicators must have loading values above 0.70 to be considered valid.

Table 4. Outer Loadings

Variable	Indicator	Loading
AI Ethics in HR	AIHR1	0.827

	AIHR2	0.854
	AIHR3	0.807
	AIHR4	0.832
Algorithm Transparency	AT1	0.813
	AT2	0.847
	AT3	0.793
	AT4	0.827
Employee Trust	ET1	0.863
	ET2	0.848
	ET3	0.881
	ET4	0.853

Table 4 presents the outer loading values for each indicator used to measure the constructs in this study. The results indicate that all indicators have loading values above the recommended threshold of 0.70, demonstrating strong convergent validity. For the AI Ethics in HR variable, the loading values range from 0.807 to 0.854, indicating that all four indicators reliably represent the ethical implementation of AI in HR practices. Similarly, the Algorithm Transparency variable shows loading values between 0.793 and 0.847, confirming that the indicators effectively capture employees' perceptions

regarding the clarity and explainability of AI-based HR systems. Meanwhile, the Employee Trust variable exhibits the highest loading values, ranging from 0.848 to 0.881, suggesting that these indicators strongly reflect employees' confidence in the organization's use of AI technologies.

4.3.2 Reliability and AVE

Reliability and convergent validity were further evaluated using Cronbach's Alpha, Composite Reliability (CR), and Average Variance Extracted (AVE).

Table 5. Reliability and Validity Test

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability	AVE
AI Ethics in HR	0.867	0.905	0.698
Algorithm Transparency	0.853	0.893	0.678
Employee Trust	0.886	0.929	0.744

Table 5 presents the results of the reliability and validity tests for the constructs used in this study. The findings indicate that all variables meet the recommended reliability criteria, as shown by Cronbach's Alpha values above 0.70, with AI Ethics in HR (0.867), Algorithm Transparency (0.853), and Employee Trust (0.886) demonstrating strong internal consistency. Similarly, the Composite Reliability values for all constructs exceed the recommended threshold of 0.70, with values of 0.905, 0.893, and 0.929, respectively, indicating that the measurement items consistently represent their respective constructs. In addition, the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) values for all variables are above 0.50, with AI Ethics in HR (0.698),

Algorithm Transparency (0.678), and Employee Trust (0.744), confirming adequate convergent validity. Overall, these results demonstrate that the measurement model has satisfactory reliability and validity, indicating that the constructs are appropriate for further structural model analysis.

4.4 Structural Model Evaluation (Inner Model)

4.4.1 Coefficient of Determination (R²)

The coefficient of determination (R²) measures how much variance in the dependent variable is explained by the independent variables.

Table 5. R-Square

Variable	R ²
Employee Trust	0.635

The R² value of 0.635 indicates that 63.5% of the variance in employee trust can be explained by AI ethics in HR and algorithm transparency, while the remaining 37% is influenced by other variables not included in the model.

4.4.2 Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing was conducted using the bootstrapping procedure in SmartPLS 3. The significance level used in this study was 5% (t-statistic > 1.96).

Table 7. Hypothesis Testing

	Path	Path Coefficient	T-Statistic	P-Value	Result
H1	AI Ethics in HR → Employee Trust	0.478	6.217	0.000	Supported
H2	Algorithm Transparency → Employee Trust	0.364	4.852	0.000	Supported

Table 7 presents the results of hypothesis testing examining the influence of AI Ethics in HR and Algorithm Transparency on Employee Trust. The findings indicate that AI Ethics in HR has a positive and significant effect on Employee Trust ($\beta = 0.478$, $t = 6.217$, $p = 0.000$), supporting H1. This result suggests that when organizations implement ethical principles in AI-based HR practices, employees are more likely to develop trust in the organization and its decision-making systems. Similarly, Algorithm Transparency also shows a positive and significant influence on Employee Trust ($\beta = 0.364$, $t = 4.852$, $p = 0.000$), supporting H2. This indicates that when employees clearly understand how AI systems process data and generate HR-related decisions, their confidence in organizational systems increases.

Discussion

The results of this study indicate that AI ethics in human resources has a positive and significant influence on employee trust in the manufacturing industry. The path coefficient value of 0.47 suggests that ethical practices in the implementation of AI systems play a crucial role in strengthening employees' confidence in organizational decision-making processes. When employees perceive that AI systems operate according to ethical principles such as fairness, accountability, and non-discrimination, they are more likely to trust both the technology and the organization implementing it. These

findings reinforce the argument that responsible AI governance is essential for maintaining positive relationships between employees and organizations in increasingly digitalized workplaces [19], [20].

The findings also reveal that algorithm transparency significantly influences employee trust, with a path coefficient of 0.36. This result indicates that transparency in algorithmic decision-making can reduce uncertainty and enhance employees' confidence in AI-based HR systems. When organizations provide clear explanations regarding how AI systems process data and generate decisions, employees are more likely to perceive these systems as legitimate and fair [21], [22]. Transparency therefore plays an important role in addressing concerns related to "black box" AI systems, which often create skepticism and resistance among employees due to the lack of clear understanding of how automated decisions are made.

Furthermore, the R² value of 0.63 demonstrates that AI ethics and algorithm transparency collectively explain a substantial proportion of employee trust in organizations implementing AI-based HR practices. This finding suggests that ethical governance and transparency are two fundamental dimensions that organizations must consider when integrating AI technologies into human resource management systems. By ensuring that AI systems are designed with ethical safeguards and transparent mechanisms,

organizations can significantly improve employees' perceptions of fairness and trust in digital HR processes.

From a managerial perspective, these findings highlight the importance of developing comprehensive ethical AI policies and implementing transparent algorithmic systems within organizations. Companies should ensure that AI systems are designed, monitored, and regularly evaluated to prevent bias and discrimination in HR-related decisions. In addition, organizations should actively communicate with employees regarding the purpose, functioning, and limitations of AI technologies used in HR processes. Providing such transparency can help employees better understand how decisions are generated, thereby strengthening their confidence in organizational systems.

Overall, this study contributes to the growing body of literature on AI governance, organizational trust, and digital transformation in human resource management. As organizations continue to integrate AI technologies into HR practices, maintaining strong ethical standards and promoting transparency will be essential for ensuring responsible and sustainable technological adoption. By prioritizing these principles, organizations can foster stronger trust relationships with employees while maximizing the benefits of AI-driven HR systems in the modern workplace.

5. CONCLUSION

This study aimed to examine the influence of artificial intelligence (AI) ethics in human resources and algorithm transparency on employee trust in the manufacturing industry. Based on the SEM-PLS analysis of data collected from 150 employees, the findings show that both AI ethics and algorithm transparency significantly influence employee trust. Ethical practices in AI implementation contribute to employees' perceptions of fairness, responsibility, and organizational accountability, meaning that when organizations ensure AI systems operate according to ethical principles, employees are more likely to trust both the technology and the organizational systems that utilize it. In addition, algorithm transparency plays an important role in strengthening employee trust, as transparent AI systems that provide clear explanations of decision-making processes help reduce uncertainty and skepticism among employees. When employees understand how AI-based HR systems function, they tend to perceive these technologies as more legitimate and trustworthy, which also encourages greater acceptance of AI-driven organizational practices. Overall, these results highlight that organizations adopting AI technologies in HR management must prioritize ethical governance and transparent algorithmic processes to strengthen employee trust and support the sustainable integration of AI technologies in the workplace, while future research may further explore this topic by incorporating additional variables such as perceived fairness, organizational culture, or technological readiness.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Chowdhury, S. Joel-Edgar, P. K. Dey, and ..., "Embedding transparency in artificial intelligence machine learning models: managerial implications on predicting and explaining employee turnover," ... *Manag.*, 2023, doi: 10.1080/09585192.2022.2066981.
- [2] H. Aldoy and B. Mcintosh, "Employee Engagement Concepts, Constructs and Strategies: A Systematic Review of Literature," *Artif. Intell. Transform. Digit. Mark.*, pp. 1159–1174, 2023.
- [3] P. M. Leonardi, "COVID-19 and the new technologies of organizing: digital exhaust, digital footprints, and artificial intelligence in the wake of remote work," *Journal of Management Studies*. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, 2021.
- [4] F. Strich, A.-S. Mayer, and M. Fiedler, "What do I do in a world of artificial intelligence? Investigating the impact of substitutive decision-making AI systems on employees' professional role identity," *J. Assoc. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 2, p. 9, 2021.
- [5] A. A. Hecht, E. Biehl, D. J. Barnett, and R. A. Neff, "Urban Food Supply Chain Resilience for Crises Threatening Food

- Security: A Qualitative Study," *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.*, vol. 119, no. 2, pp. 211–224, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2018.09.001.
- [6] D. H. Octavia and B. Budiono, "Pengaruh Teamwork terhadap Kinerja Karyawan melalui Job Satisfaction," *J. Ilmu Manaj.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 954–965, 2021, doi: 10.26740/jim.v9n3.p954-965.
- [7] G. R. Nagiah and N. Mohd Suki, "Linking environmental sustainability, social sustainability, corporate reputation and the business performance of energy companies: insights from an emerging market," *Int. J. Energy Sect. Manag.*, 2024, doi: 10.1108/IJESM-06-2023-0003.
- [8] L. Trejo, "The influence of Effective Communication and Interpersonal Trust on Employee Engagement: The Role of Employee Involvement," 2021.
- [9] Q. Liang and F. Yin, "Empirical Study on the Relationship between Leader–Member Exchange, Employee Trust, and Team Knowledge Sharing," *Sustain.*, vol. 16, no. 18, 2024.
- [10] F. Natalia, H. Sarjono, O. Prihatma, and B. Putra, "Systematic Literature Review: Employee Empowerment in Indonesia's Hotel Industry," no. 2014, pp. 654–663, 2023, doi: 10.46254/ap03.20220104.
- [11] N. Samala, B. S. Katkam, R. S. Bellamkonda, and R. V. Rodriguez, "Impact of AI and robotics in the tourism sector: a critical insight," *J. Tour. Futur.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 73–87, 2022, doi: 10.1108/JTF-07-2019-0065.
- [12] T. Dogru *et al.*, "Generative Artificial Intelligence in the Hospitality and Tourism Industry: Developing a Framework for Future Research," *J. Hosp. Tour. Res.*, p. 10963480231188664, Jul. 2023, doi: 10.1177/10963480231188663.
- [13] N. K. Hariharan, *Artificial Intelligence and human collaboration in financial planning*. mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de, 2018.
- [14] S. D. Jankovic and D. M. Curovic, "Strategic integration of artificial intelligence for sustainable businesses: implications for data management and human user engagement in the digital era," *Sustainability*. mdpi.com, 2023.
- [15] M. Altalak, M. A. Uddin, A. Alajmi, and A. Rizg, "Smart Agriculture Applications Using Deep Learning Technologies: A Survey," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 12, 2022, doi: 10.3390/app12125919.
- [16] D. López-Pérez, D. Laselva, E. Wallmeier, and ..., "Long term evolution-wireless local area network aggregation flow control," *IEEE ...*, 2016.
- [17] M. Esmaili, M. Ghamsari-Yazdel, N. Amjady, and C. Y. Chung, "Optimal placement of resistive/inductive SFCLs considering short-circuit levels using complex artificial bee colony algorithm," *IET Gener. Transm. Distrib.*, vol. 13, no. 24, pp. 5561–5568, 2019.
- [18] V. Giotopoulos and G. Korres, "Implementation of Phasor Measurement Unit Based on Phase-Locked Loop Techniques: A Comprehensive Review," *Energies*, vol. 16, no. 14, 2023, doi: 10.3390/en16145465.
- [19] S. Kraus, S. Durst, J. J. Ferreira, P. Veiga, N. Kailer, and ..., "Digital transformation in business and management research: An overview of the current status quo," ... *Management*. Elsevier, 2022.
- [20] E. Čizmić, Z. Rahimić, and M. Šestić, "IMPACT OF THE MAIN WORKPLACE COMPONENTS ON EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION AND PERFORMANCE IN THE CONTEXT OF DIGITALIZATION," *Zb. Veleučilišta u Rijeci*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 49–68, 2023.
- [21] L. W. Hooi and A. J. Chan, "Does workplace digitalization matter in linking transformational leadership and innovative culture to employee engagement?," *J. Organ. Chang. Manag.*, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 197–216, 2023.
- [22] M. Schneider, "Digitalization of production, human capital, and organizational capital," *Impact Digit. Work. An ...*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-63257-5_4.