The Role of Social Engineering in the Rehabilitation of Drug Addicts: A Legislative Analysis of Indonesia's Drug Policy Framework

Wredha Danang Widoyoko[1], Siti Ngaisah[2], Haryono[3], Diah Ayu Rahmawati[4], Yoga Adi Prayogi[5]

[1,2,3,4,5] Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya

ABSTRACT

This study examines the role of social engineering in the rehabilitation of drug addicts within Indonesia's drug policy framework through a normative juridical analysis. The research evaluates legislative provisions, such as those outlined in Law No. 35 of 2009 on Narcotics, focusing on their alignment with social engineering principles and their effectiveness in achieving rehabilitation and reintegration. Findings reveal that while Indonesia's policies incorporate rehabilitative elements, challenges such as limited resources, inconsistent judicial practices, and societal stigma hinder optimal implementation. Comparative insights from countries like Portugal and Switzerland demonstrate the potential of adopting harm reduction and public awareness strategies to enhance outcomes. This study underscores the need for a more integrated, inclusive policy approach to strengthen Indonesia's rehabilitation framework, reduce stigmatization, and promote sustainable recovery.

Corresponding Author:

Name: Wredha Danang Widoyoko
Institution: Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya
Email: danangfh@ubhara.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Drug addiction in Indonesia poses a significant public health challenge, necessitating a multifaceted response that balances punitive measures with rehabilitation efforts. The evolution of Indonesia's drug policy reflects this complexity, as it aims to address the high prevalence of addiction while considering the socio-economic factors influencing drug use. Approximately 3.36 million Indonesians are estimated to suffer from narcotics addiction, predominantly affecting those in their productive years [1]. A study revealed that 65.08% of individuals in rehabilitation centers reported severe drug addiction, with significant correlations to poor psychiatric conditions and socio-economic issues [2]. Indonesia's Law No. 35 of 2009 emphasizes rehabilitation over punishment for drug users, yet implementation remains inconsistent, with many still facing incarceration [3]. Public-private partnerships are proposed as a means to enhance rehabilitation services, integrating community support with governmental efforts [1]. Despite this framework, the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs is questioned, as many users do not receive

adequate support, leading to high relapse rates [4]. Critics argue that the punitive approach may overshadow the need for comprehensive public health strategies, which are essential for long-term recovery [5].

Social engineering, as a theoretical framework, emphasizes the role of laws and policies in shaping societal behavior, particularly in the context of drug addiction rehabilitation. This approach suggests that well-designed legislative measures can deter drug-related offenses while fostering recovery and reintegration. Collaborative efforts among researchers, policymakers, and community members can lead to effective behavioral health interventions [6], [7], facilitating the implementation of evidence-based programs that support recovery. Behavioral science principles can inform public policy, enhancing the effectiveness of interventions aimed at substance use ([8], with techniques like nudging promoting positive behavioral changes and aiding in recovery efforts. Legislative measures must also focus on reducing stigma associated with drug addiction, creating a supportive environment for recovery [9], [10], while public health campaigns can leverage social influence to change perceptions and behaviors surrounding addiction.

Indonesia's drug policy, primarily governed by Law No. 35 of 2009, aims to balance strict legal enforcement with rehabilitation for drug users, acknowledging addiction as both a criminal and medical issue while facing significant implementation challenges. The law emphasizes rehabilitation over incarceration, recognizing drug users as individuals needing treatment rather than punishment [11], and outlines a three-stage rehabilitation process: medical, non-medical, and aftercare, to break the cycle of dependency [12]. However, limited resources and inconsistent application of laws hinder effective rehabilitation, leaving many drug users without necessary treatment [11]. Social prejudices against addicts further complicate their reintegration into society, often resulting in stigmatization [13]. The restorative justice approach promotes rehabilitation as a means

to restore societal harmony, shifting focus from punishment to recovery [13], [14]. Successful implementation of this approach requires collaboration among legal, health, and social sectors to establish a comprehensive support system for addicts (Jaya & Hikmah, 2024).

Urgency Statement

The drug addiction crisis in Indonesia, particularly among the youth, necessitates a multifaceted approach that combines punitive measures with effective rehabilitation strategies. The rising prevalence of drug abuse, driven by social, psychological, and economic factors, underscores the urgent need for comprehensive policy reform. Social and psychological factors such as family dynamics, peer influence, and social media significantly shape drug use behaviors among youth [15], [16], while economic pressures, including inequality and unemployment, further increase vulnerability, particularly in urban areas [2], [16]. Despite legislative advancements, rehabilitation programs remain underfunded and lack adequate infrastructure [2], while social media campaigns are recommended to raise awareness and promote prevention strategies among youth [15]. However, limited financial and human resources hinder the effectiveness of these programs [2], and deep-rooted stigmas surrounding drug addiction lead to the marginalization of users, complicating recovery efforts [17].

Indonesia's current drug policy, as outlined in Law No. 35 of 2009 on Narcotics, incorporates both punitive and rehabilitative elements to combat drug addiction. However, the effectiveness of these provisions remains questionable due to several critical issues. First, the inconsistent application of rehabilitation programs often leaves many addicts trapped in the criminal justice system rather than receiving the treatment they need. Second, the stigma surrounding drug addiction hinders societal acceptance of rehabilitated individuals, making social reintegration a significant challenge. Third,

there is a lack of alignment between legislative goals and the practical realities of program implementation, resulting in inefficiencies and limited outcomes. These gaps raise concerns about the policy's capacity to achieve its intended objectives of reducing addiction rates, promoting recovery, and reintegrating addicts into society. This study seeks to address these issues by analyzing the role of social engineering in shaping Indonesia's drug policy and identifying ways to optimize its rehabilitation framework.

This paper aims to analyze the role of social engineering within Indonesia's drug policy framework, focusing on its application to the rehabilitation of drug addicts. Using a normative juridical analysis, the study examines the legislative measures in place, their implementation, and their impact on the recovery and reintegration of drug addicts. By exploring the interplay between legal norms and social outcomes, this research seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on improving drug rehabilitation policies in Indonesia.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Social Engineering: Theoretical Foundations

Social engineering, as articulated by Roscoe Pound, emphasizes the law's role in shaping societal behavior and addressing collective issues, particularly in the context of drug addiction. This approach advocates for legislative interventions that not only regulate but also promote rehabilitation and social reintegration for recovering addicts. Laws can be designed to support rehabilitation programs, ensuring access to treatment for drug addicts while reducing stigma associated with addiction to foster a more supportive environment for recovery [18].

Effective social engineering requires that legal norms align with societal values and beliefs, enhancing public acceptance of rehabilitation initiatives [19]. Policies should incorporate sociological insights to address the root causes of addiction, moving beyond punitive measures [20]. Targeted legislation can lead to sustainable behavioral changes, as demonstrated in public health initiatives prioritizing education and prevention [21], while the integration of social marketing strategies can further reinforce the objectives of social engineering by promoting positive behaviors within communities [19].

2.2 Drug Addiction and Rehabilitation: A Global Perspective

Globally, drug addiction is increasingly recognized as a complex issue requiring a multifaceted approach that integrates prevention, treatment, and enforcement. The UNODC highlights rehabilitation as a core element of drug control policies, with international examples like Portugal and Switzerland demonstrating the success of harm reduction strategies. These approaches prioritize rehabilitation over incarceration and can inform Indonesia's drug policy reform. Indonesia currently faces a significant prison overcrowding crisis due to punitive drug policies, particularly for minor offenses [22]. Evidence indicates that rehabilitation yields better health outcomes and reduces recidivism compared to incarceration [22], [23]. Countries like the Netherlands

have adopted harm reduction models with comprehensive support systems that facilitate social reintegration and reduce drug dependency [22], while the EU's framework emphasizes treatment and care over criminalization, combining health protection with drug policy [24]. Additionally, investing in rehabilitation programs proves economically advantageous, as it reduces long-term costs associated with healthcare and criminal justice systems [25].

2.3 Indonesia's Drug Policy Framework

Indonesia's drug policy, governed by Law No. 35 of 2009, aims to balance punitive measures with rehabilitation for drug addicts. While the law recognizes addicts as individuals needing treatment, its implementation faces significant challenges that hinder effective rehabilitation. Law No. 35 of 2009 categorizes drug addicts as both criminals and patients, allowing for rehabilitation instead of incarceration under specific conditions [26], and outlines a three-stage rehabilitation process: medical, non-medical, and aftercare [12]. Additionally, Supreme Court Circular No. 4 of 2010 clarifies the placement of addicts in rehabilitation institutions [27]. However, the Indonesian criminal justice system remains predominantly punitive, with many drug offenders receiving prison sentences rather than rehabilitation [28]. Key obstacles include limited infrastructure, insufficient funding, and inadequate training for law enforcement and healthcare personnel [12]. Societal stigma surrounding drug addiction further exacerbates these issues, discouraging individuals from seeking help [28].

2.4 Stigma and Social Reintegration of Drug Addicts

The stigma surrounding drug addiction significantly hampers rehabilitation efforts, leading to discrimination and social exclusion for recovering addicts. In Indonesia, the lack of comprehensive public awareness campaigns and supportive policies exacerbates this issue, necessitating a multifaceted approach to reduce stigma and promote reintegration. Public awareness campaigns should focus on educating the public about addiction as a medical condition rather than a moral failing, which can help shift perceptions and reduce stigma [29]. Initiatives that promote empathetic communication, particularly on online platforms, can mitigate stigmatizing language and foster a supportive environment [30]. Community engagement through peer recovery specialist programs can effectively reduce stigma and improve treatment outcomes by fostering acceptance [31], while employer education on the capabilities of recovering addicts can alleviate fears and encourage hiring, enhancing social reintegration [32]. Policy development is also crucial, with legislative measures to protect and incentivize businesses to hire recovering addicts, creating a more inclusive workforce [32], and integrated care models that address both addiction and related health issues to improve

treatment access and reduce stigma [29].

2.5 Relevance of Social Engineering in Drug Rehabilitation Policies

The integration of social engineering principles into Indonesia's drug policy presents a strategic opportunity to align legal frameworks with societal values, fostering rehabilitation and reintegration. Social engineering views law as a tool for balancing societal interests, which is crucial in addressing drug-related issues [33]. Legislative measures can be designed to reflect community values, enhancing public acceptance and compliance. Engaging communities in the development of drug policies can lead to more effective implementation and support [34], while public awareness campaigns can reduce stigma and promote understanding of rehabilitation efforts, as seen in successful health initiatives [35]. Supportive legal structures, such as laws mandating increased funding for rehabilitation centers and training for healthcare providers, ensure adequate resources for effective treatment [33]. Comprehensive legal frameworks also facilitate collaboration among stakeholders, enhancing the overall effectiveness of drug policies [36].

2.6 Research Gap

While there is extensive literature on the theoretical foundations of social engineering and its application in public health, limited research focuses specifically on its role in shaping drug rehabilitation policies in Indonesia. Existing studies often highlight the punitive aspects of Indonesia's drug policy, with insufficient attention to the rehabilitative provisions and their alignment with social engineering principles. This gap underscores the need for a comprehensive analysis that evaluates the legislative framework through the lens of social engineering and identifies strategies to optimize its impact on rehabilitation and reintegration.

3. METHODS

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a normative juridical research design, focusing on the analysis of legal norms and their practical implications. The normative juridical approach is appropriate for examining the legislative framework governing drug addiction rehabilitation in Indonesia and its alignment with social engineering principles. By analyzing existing laws, regulations, and legal interpretations, the study aims to identify gaps, challenges, and opportunities for enhancing Indonesia's drug policy.

3.2 Data Collection Methods

The research utilizes secondary data sources, including primary legal materials such as laws, regulations, and government policies, with a focus on Law No. 35 of 2009 on Narcotics and related legal instruments; secondary legal materials, including legal commentaries, academic journal articles, and policy reports that analyze the implementation of Indonesia's drug rehabilitation framework; and tertiary legal materials, such as legal dictionaries, encyclopedias, and bibliographies that support the interpretation of legal concepts and terms. Data collection involves a systematic review of legal documents, academic literature, and official publications from government and non-governmental organizations, complemented by an examination of international best practices

and case studies to provide a comparative perspective.

3.3 Data Analysis Methods

The data analysis employs a qualitative approach, utilizing content analysis and normative analysis techniques to interpret and evaluate the collected data. Content analysis is used to examine the text of legal documents and academic literature, identifying recurring themes, principles, and patterns to understand legislative intent and its practical implications. Normative analysis focuses on evaluating the alignment of Indonesia's drug policy with the principles of social engineering, examining whether the existing legislative framework promotes rehabilitation, reduces stigma, and fosters social reintegration for drug addicts.

3.4 Analytical Framework

The study is guided by the theoretical framework of social engineering, which emphasizes the role of laws in shaping societal behavior and promoting social welfare. This framework is applied to evaluate the extent to which Indonesia's drug policy achieves its objectives of rehabilitation and reintegration. The analysis focuses on key dimensions, including legislative provisions for rehabilitation, implementation challenges and gaps in policy execution, the role of societal stigma in hindering rehabilitation efforts, and comparative insights from international best practices.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Legislative Provisions for Drug Rehabilitation in Indonesia

Indonesia's drug policy, as established under Law No. 35 of 2009 on Narcotics, incorporates provisions for rehabilitation as an alternative to incarceration for drug users. The law categorizes drug users as victims of abuse, allowing for mandatory treatment and rehabilitation programs instead of criminal prosecution under certain conditions. Specifically:

Article 54 mandates that drug users and addicts must undergo medical and social rehabilitation.
Article 103 allows judges to order drug users to attend rehabilitation centers in lieu of imprisonment.
Article 127 outlines circumstances under which drug users may face punitive measures or be referred for treatment.

While these provisions highlight Indonesia's recognition of addiction as a medical condition requiring treatment, their implementation has faced challenges. The limited availability of rehabilitation facilities, uneven application of legal provisions, and lack of clarity in judicial guidelines have hindered the effectiveness of these measures.

4.2 Implementation Challenges in Rehabilitation Programs

The implementation of rehabilitation programs in Indonesia faces significant challenges, primarily due to resource constraints, inconsistent judicial practices, and societal stigma, which underscore a disconnect between legislative intent and actual outcomes, questioning the effectiveness of the current legal framework in achieving rehabilitative goals. Resource constraints are evident in the shortage of accredited rehabilitation centers, forcing reliance on prisons that are ill-equipped for rehabilitative care [11], [37], and the over-reliance on incarceration for minor drug offenses exacerbates prison overcrowding, further limiting access to rehabilitation [22]. Inconsistent judicial practices also hinder implementation, as judges often avoid ordering rehabilitation due to legal ambiguities, favoring incarceration instead [11], [37], and a lack of coordination, including discrepancies in legal interpretations and sectoral egos among law enforcement, further complicates the process (Muslim et al., 2024). Societal stigma significantly impacts rehabilitation efforts, with drug users often viewed as morally failing rather than individuals needing medical help, discouraging voluntary rehabilitation [22], [26], while families and communities frequently marginalize individuals struggling

with addiction, complicating their path to recovery (Wulandari et al., 2024).

4.3 The Role of Social Engineering in Indonesia's Drug Policy

Social engineering theory emphasizes the ability of laws to influence societal behavior and promote constructive social change. In the context of drug rehabilitation, Indonesia's legal framework reflects elements of social engineering through its dual emphasis on punishment and rehabilitation. However, several factors limit its effectiveness:

1. The absence of widespread public education campaigns undermines efforts to destigmatize addiction and encourage community support for rehabilitation initiatives.
2. The law lacks comprehensive guidelines for integrating rehabilitation programs into the broader criminal justice and healthcare systems.
3. Poor coordination between law enforcement, healthcare providers, and rehabilitation centers results in inefficiencies and duplication of efforts.

International comparisons reveal that countries employing social engineering principles more effectively, such as Portugal, emphasize harm reduction, public awareness, and decriminalization of drug use. These approaches demonstrate the potential for Indonesia to strengthen its policies by adopting similar strategies. Countries like Portugal, which effectively employ social engineering principles, highlight the potential for Indonesia to enhance its drug policies through harm reduction, public awareness, and decriminalization, creating a more balanced approach to drug use aligned with social welfare goals. Harm reduction strategies focus on minimizing the negative health and social impacts of drug use by prioritizing user rights and public health over criminalization [38]. Portugal's

decriminalization of drug use has resulted in significant reductions in drug-related deaths and HIV infections, showcasing the effectiveness of such policies (Dias, 2023). Public awareness campaigns play a critical role in policy implementation, educating citizens about drug use and its risks, with targeted, intensive initiatives proving more effective than broader efforts [39]. Decriminalization further supports this approach by alleviating the burden on the legal system, reducing stigma against users, and promoting rehabilitation over punishment [33], despite the international scrutiny faced by countries adopting these measures, which have demonstrated improved public health outcomes [38], [40].

4.4 Addressing Stigma and Promoting Reintegration

Stigma remains a major obstacle to the success of rehabilitation efforts in Indonesia. Social engineering theory posits that reducing stigma requires changes in both legal norms and societal attitudes. Key strategies include:

1. Educating the public about addiction as a health issue can foster empathy and reduce discrimination.
2. Engaging local communities in rehabilitation efforts can create supportive networks for recovering addicts.
3. Strengthening anti-discrimination laws and promoting inclusive practices in employment and education can help reintegrate former addicts into society.
4. Efforts to address stigma must be supported by legislative measures that prioritize rehabilitation and ensure equal opportunities for recovering individuals.

4.5 Comparative Insights and Best Practices

Countries like Portugal and Switzerland provide valuable lessons for Indonesia. Portugal's decriminalization policy, which focuses on treatment rather than punishment, has significantly reduced drug dependency rates and improved public health outcomes. Similarly, Switzerland's harm reduction programs, including supervised injection sites and social reintegration initiatives, demonstrate the benefits of comprehensive approaches to addiction management.

For Indonesia, adopting elements of these models—such as expanding harm reduction services, integrating rehabilitation into public health systems, and promoting community engagement—can enhance the effectiveness of its drug policy.

4.6 Policy Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are proposed to strengthen Indonesia's drug rehabilitation framework:

1. Increase funding and infrastructure for rehabilitation centers, particularly in underserved regions.
2. Provide judges with clear guidelines and training on prioritizing rehabilitation over incarceration for drug users.
3. Launch nationwide campaigns to educate the public about addiction and reduce stigma.
4. Improve coordination between law enforcement, healthcare providers, and rehabilitation centers to streamline program implementation.
5. Incorporate harm reduction strategies and lessons from international models to improve outcomes.

4.7 Theoretical Implications

The findings underscore the relevance of social engineering theory in shaping drug rehabilitation policies. By aligning legislative norms with societal values, Indonesia can create a more inclusive and effective framework for addressing addiction. The study demonstrates that integrating social engineering principles can foster long-term behavioral change and promote social welfare.

5. CONCLUSION

This study highlights the critical role of social engineering in shaping Indonesia's drug rehabilitation framework. While the country's legislative provisions acknowledge the importance of rehabilitation as an alternative to incarceration, their implementation is fraught with challenges, including limited infrastructure, inconsistent application of laws, and pervasive social stigma. These barriers underscore a disconnect between legislative intent and practical outcomes, hindering the reintegration of recovering addicts into society.

Comparative insights reveal that adopting best practices, such as harm reduction, public education campaigns, and community-based programs, can significantly enhance the effectiveness of Indonesia's drug policy. This study emphasizes the need for an integrated approach that aligns legislative measures with public health principles, fosters societal acceptance, and strengthens institutional capacity. By addressing these gaps and leveraging the principles of social engineering, Indonesia can create a more inclusive and effective framework for combating drug addiction and promoting sustainable recovery.

REFERENCES

[1]   A. Arifin, I. Widianingsih, and H. Nurasa, "An Integrated Public–Private Partnership System for Covering Narcotics Addiction Treatment Centre: a Case Study of Anti–Narcotics Zones in Indonesia," in *International Conference on Democratisation in Southeast Asia (ICDeSA 2019)*, Atlantis Press, 2019, pp. 181–186.

[2]   W. Dewabhrata, A. Ahsan, A. Bella, N. Amalia, D. Kusuma, and Y. B. A. Pertiwi, "Mental Health, Environmental, and Socioeconomic Geographic Factors of Severe Drug Addiction: Analysis of Rehabilitation Center Data in Indonesia," *Subst. Abus. Res. Treat.*, vol. 17, p. 11782218231203688, 2023.

[3]   Wachyono, *Reformulation of Criminal Law Policies Against Narcotics Abusers Through Medical and Social Rehabilitation*. 2020. doi: 10.2991/aebmr.k.200513.104.

[4]   S. Purwatiningsih, "Penyalahgunaan narkoba di Indonesia," *Populasi*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 37–54, 2001.

[5]   T. W. Lo, J. W. K. Yeung, and C. H. L. Tam, "Substance abuse and public health: A multilevel perspective and multiple responses," *International journal of environmental research and public health*, vol. 17, no. 7. MDPI, p. 2610, 2020.

[6]   M. A. Southam-Gerow *et al.*, "Science for behavioral health systems change: evolving research-policy-public partnerships," *Front. Public Heal.*, vol. 12, p. 1359143, 2024.

[7]   R. Frija, "Influence: The Nursing Professional Development Superpower!," *J. Nurses Prof. Dev.*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 42–43, 2023.

[8]   K. D. Anderson-Carpenter, D. D. Reed, T. Biglan, and A. Kurti, "Behavior science contributions to public policy: An introduction to the special section," *Perspect. Behav. Sci.*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2023.

[9]   H. Matnuh, "Law as a tool of social engineering," in *1st International Conference on Social Sciences Education-" Multicultural Transformation in Education, Social Sciences and Wetland Environment"(ICSSE 2017)*, Atlantis Press, 2017, pp. 118–120.

[10]   R. Schimmelpfennig, S. Vogt, S. Ehret, and C. Efferson, "Promotion of behavioural change for health in a heterogeneous population," *Bull. World Health Organ.*, vol. 99, no. 11, p. 819, 2021.

[11]   D. Muslim, N. Darwis, and S. Sudarto, "Legal Study Analysis of Criminal Law Policy in the Implementation of Integrated Assessment for Drug Abusers based on Law Number 35 of 2009 Concerning Narcotics," 2024.

[12]   C. Jaya and F. Hikmah, "Legal Reform on Rehabilitation for Drug Users as an Ultimum Remedium Effort," *J. USM LAW Rev.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 364–375, 2024.

[13]   M. J. H. Hermawan and C. D. Wulansari, "Sociological Analysis Of Restorative Justice In Rehabilitative Law Enforcement For Drug Abuse Cases," *Ius Poenale*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2024.

[14]   D. Kania and L. S. Anggraeniko, "Realizing Restorative Justice Through Rehabilitation For Narcotics Abuse As An Implementation Of The Principle Of Dominus Litis," *J. Cita Huk.*, vol. 11, no. 3, 2023.

[15]   R. D. Handayani and D. Utari, "Drug abuse prevention strategy in youth and student community in Indonesia," *J. Youth Outdoor Act.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 44–54, 2024.

[16]   P. Das Deep, N. Ghosh, C. Gaither, and M. S. Rahaman, "The Factors Affecting Substance Use and the Most Effective Mental Health Interventions in Adolescents and Young Adults," *Psychoactives*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 461–475, 2024.

[17]   L. P. Utomo, "Penyalahgunaan NAPZA di Indonesia: Pendekatan kajian keislaman dan perspektif pekerjaan sosial," *Komunitas*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 96–120, 2017.

[18]   I. Budhiati, "Rekayasa Sosial Sistem Integritas Penyelenggara Pemilu," *J. Huk. Sasana*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2022.

[19]   A.-M. Kennedy and A. Parsons, "Social engineering and social marketing: why is one 'good' and the other 'bad'?," *J. Soc. Mark.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 198–209, 2014.

[20]   D. M. Rabban, "Pound's Sociological Jurisprudence: European Roots and American Applications," *Le" moment*, 1900.

[21]   E. Otieno Owade, "The Law as a Tool Of Social Engineering: A Jurisprudential Perspective From Kenyan Courts," *Available SSRN 4628709*, 2023.

[22]   S. Pulungan, E. Soponyono, and S. Purnomo, "Reforming Indonesia's Approach to Narcotics Offenses: A Call for Rehabilitation over Incarceration," *South East. Eur. J. Public Heal.*, pp. 249–253, Oct. 2024, doi: 10.70135/seejph.vi.1473.

[23]   W. R. Frontera and W. DeGroote, "importance of health policy and systems research for strengthening rehabilitation in health systems," *Rehabilitation (Stuttg).*, vol. 62, no. 05, pp. 265–267, 2023.

[24]   T. L. Syroid, "The mechanism of the European Union in combating drugs as a component of ensuring public health," *Uzhhorod Natl. Univ. Herald. Ser. Law*, vol. 4, pp. 345–359, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.24144/2307-3322.2024.84.4.48.

[25]   D. H. Fishbein and Z. Sloboda, "A national strategy for preventing substance and opioid use disorders through evidence-based prevention programming that fosters healthy outcomes in our youth," *Clin. Child Fam. Psychol. Rev.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2023.

[26]   A. Renata and W. S. Myharto, "The Application of Rehabilitation Sentences for Narcotics Addicts (Case Study of North Jakarta District Court Decision Number 115/Pid. Sus/2023/PN Jkt. Utr)," 2024.

[27]   A. A. Pratama, "Kewajiban Rehabilitasi bagi Pecandu Narkotika berdasarkan Putusan Hakim," *J. Hukum, Polit. Dan Ilmu Sos.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–28, 2022.

[28]   M. S. E. Wangga *et al.*, "Diversion: The Concept of Child Criminal Case Resolution in Indonesia," *Law Humanit. Q. Rev.*, p. 93.

[29]   K. A. Edwards, J. S. Merlin, F. Webster, S. C. Mackey, and B. D. Darnall, "Breaking barriers: addressing opioid stigma in chronic pain and opioid use disorder," *Pain*, pp. 10–1097, 2022.

[30] L. Bouzoubaa, E. Aghakhani, and R. Rezapour, "Words Matter: Reducing Stigma in Online Conversations about Substance Use with Large Language Models," *arXiv Prepr. arXiv2408.07873*, 2024.

[31] M. B. Kleinman *et al.*, "Reduction in substance use stigma following a peer-recovery specialist behavioral activation intervention," *Int. J. Drug Policy*, vol. 130, p. 104511, 2024.

[32] N. A. B. M. Murad, A. M. Khir, A. A. Ma'rof, and W. M. W. Jaafar, "Breaking the Barriers: Understanding Employer Reluctance to Hire Former Drug Addicts in Malaysia".

[33] U. M. Kuningan, "A Critical Analysis of Social Engineering Theory in the Context of Legal Development in Indonesia," vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 54–67, 2024.

[34] R. Gunawan, I. G. Febryano, I. Bantara, and S. B. Yuwono, "SOCIAL ENGINEERING IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FOREST AND LAND REHABILITATION IN GIRIMULYO VILLAGE, LAMPUNG PROVINCE," *J. Belantara*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 111–125, 2024.

[35] R. N. S. Putri and H. Y. P. Hendi, "Analysis of social engineering prevention socialization patterns through websites and Twitter," *J. Contemp. Account.*, 2024.

[36] E. V Nikitin, "Problems of countering social engineering technologies as an element of criminal activity. Viktimologiya [Victimology]. 2023; 10 (4): 485-491," *Russ.). DOI https//doi. org/10.47475/2411-0590-2023-10-4-485-491. EDN PMZQVL.*

[37] H. Wulandari, H. Bakhtiar, and B. Harefa, "Reformulation of the Application of Restorative Justice towards Narcotics Abusers Through," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Hum. Res.*, vol. 07, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.47191/ijsshr/v7-i11-29.

[38] M. Dias, "STILL HARM REDUCTION?: A comparative research into the law and policy of the Netherlands and Brazil, and their approach to drug users," *Transnatl. Crim. Law Rev.*, vol. 2, no. 2, 2023.

[39] J.-W. Bullee and M. Junger, "How effective are social engineering interventions? A meta-analysis," *Inf. Comput. Secur.*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 801–830, 2020.

[40] A. Windah, A. R. Faisal, and M. T. Widodo, "Hadnagy's Lesson Learned: Social Engineering Analysis in Road Development at Mesuji Regency," *KnE Soc. Sci.*, pp. 177–189, 2023.