West Science Nature and Technology
Vol. 3, No. 01, March 2025, pp. 26~31

Genetic Engineering for Disease Resistant Crops: Sustainable Solutions for Agricultural Pathogens in Indonesia

Loso Judijanto
IPOSS Jakarta

Article Info

Article history:

Received March, 2025
Revised March, 2025
Accepted March, 2025

Keywords:

Genetic Engineering,
Disease-Resistant Crops,
Sustainable Agriculture,
Agricultural Pathogens,
Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Genetic engineering presents a transformative solution for addressing agricultural pathogens, offering disease-resistant crops that enhance productivity and sustainability. This study explores the potential of genetic engineering as a tool for combating agricultural challenges in Indonesia, employing a qualitative analysis of data collected from five key informants, including agricultural scientists, policymakers, and practitioners. Thematic analysis using NVIVO software revealed four primary themes: the benefits of genetic engineering, challenges in adoption, socio-economic impacts, and environmental considerations. Findings highlight the promise of reduced crop losses, improved food security, and decreased pesticide use while identifying barriers such as regulatory hurdles, public skepticism, and high costs. Recommendations emphasize policy reforms, public education, and equitable access to genetically engineered seeds. This study underscores the critical role of genetic engineering in achieving sustainable agriculture in Indonesia.

Corresponding Author:

Name: Loso Judijanto
Institution: IPOSS Jakarta
Email: losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is a cornerstone of Indonesia's economy, yet it faces significant challenges from plant diseases caused by pathogens such as fungi, bacteria, and viruses. These diseases threaten food security and hinder sustainable agricultural practices. Traditional management strategies, like chemical treatments, often lead to environmental degradation and pathogen resistance, necessitating innovative solutions. Integrated Pest and Disease Management (IPDM) emerges as a promising approach, combining multiple control methods to reduce pathogen impact while maintaining ecological balance. Leaf blight caused by Exserohilum turcicum can lead to maize yield losses of up to 70%, significantly affecting food security in regions like North Sumatra [1]. Similarly, fruit rot disease in oil palm, caused by Marasmius palmivorus, can reduce production by up to 25%, with plant density influencing disease intensity and yield loss [2]. IPDM is a strategic approach that combines various pest and pathogen control methods, minimizing reliance on chemical fungicides and promoting environmentally friendly strategies [3]. This approach is crucial for sustainable agriculture, as it helps protect beneficial organisms, foster genetic diversity, and ensure economic sustainability [3]. Indonesian agricultural research has significantly contributed to productivity growth, with a 27% real annual rate of return from increased research expenditure [4]. Additionally, sustainable agriculture research in Indonesia focuses on agroecology, organic farming, and precision agriculture, highlighting the need for interdisciplinary approaches to address complex challenges [5].

Genetic engineering presents a promising solution to enhance disease resistance in crops, crucial for Indonesia's agriculture. By modifying plant genomes, techniques like CRISPR-Cas9 improve resilience against pathogens, reducing pesticide dependence and boosting [6], [7]. Transgenic approaches have successfully developed disease-resistant cultivars, exemplified by pest-resistant Bt cotton and biofortified Golden Rice [8]. Advances in RNAi and genome editing further enhance crop productivity and resistance to viral [9], [10]. However, ethical and environmental concerns, such as biodiversity impacts and public skepticism, necessitate transparent research and regulatory oversight [6], [8]. However, the adoption of genetically engineered crops remains limited, primarily due to regulatory, social, and ethical concerns.

This study explores the potential of genetic engineering as a sustainable approach to combat agricultural pathogens in Indonesia. By employing a qualitative analysis framework, the research investigates the perspectives of various stakeholders, including agricultural scientists, policymakers, and practitioners. It aims to uncover the perceived benefits, challenges, and socio-environmental implications of genetically modified crops within the context of Indonesian agriculture.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The Role of Genetic Engineering in Agriculture

Genetic engineering has revolutionized agriculture by developing disease-resistant crops, reducing pesticide reliance, and enhancing productivity. Precise genome modifications improve resilience, supporting sustainable farming, especially in countries like Indonesia, where agriculture is crucial to the economy. Techniques like CRISPR-Cas9 and RNAi enhance crop yield and stress resistance [7], [9], reducing chemical inputs [8]. These crops promote sustainability, lower costs, and ensure stable productivity [11]. However, public skepticism and regulatory barriers hinder GMO adoption, requiring transparent research and strong oversight to address ethical and environmental concerns [8], [11].

2.2 Agricultural Pathogens in Indonesia

Indonesia's tropical climate fosters the proliferation of agricultural pathogens, threatening staple crops like rice, cassava, and bananas. Traditional methods such as chemical treatments and crop rotation have proven inadequate, leading to economic losses and environmental degradation. Chemical treatments contribute to soil degradation, water contamination, and pesticide-resistant pests [3], [12], while crop rotation is often ineffective in monoculture systems [3]. Genetic engineering offers a sustainable alternative by developing disease-resistant crops through gene manipulation, reducing reliance on harmful pesticides and mitigating pathogen-induced losses [12]. This method enhances crop resilience against threats like rice blast and cassava mosaic virus, minimizing economic damage [12], [13]. Integrated Pest and Disease Management (IPDM) further optimizes pathogen control by integrating biological control methods, complementing genetic engineering efforts in promoting sustainability [3], [12]. Additionally, climate change accelerates pathogen proliferation, increasing disease risks and necessitating advanced resistance strategies such as genetic engineering and IPDM [14].

2.3 Benefits of Disease-Resistant Crops

Genetic engineering of disease-resistant crops reduces agricultural losses, enhances food security, and promotes ecological sustainability. By minimizing chemical use, it lowers production costs and environmental impact. Techniques like CRISPR and transgenic approaches create resilient crops, supporting sustainable farming and economic stability, particularly in developing countries. These crops reduce pesticide dependence [7], [8], increase farmer income [9], and contribute to a 37% global decline in pesticide use [8], [15]. They also conserve water, cut greenhouse gas emissions, and enhance resilience to environmental stressors [8], [11]. Advanced techniques like CRISPR-Cas9 further improve resistance traits [11].

2.4 Theoretical Framework

This study is guided by the Diffusion of Innovations (DOI) theory, which explains how new technologies and practices spread within a society. The adoption of innovations depends on factors such as perceived benefits, compatibility with existing values, and the presence of effective communication channels. The DOI theory provides a framework for analyzing how genetic engineering can be effectively introduced and adopted in Indonesia's agricultural sector.

3. METHODS

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research approach to explore the potential of genetic engineering as a sustainable solution for addressing agricultural pathogens in Indonesia. The qualitative method was chosen to gain an in-depth understanding of the perspectives, experiences, and concerns of various stakeholders regarding the adoption of genetically engineered crops. The study focuses on analyzing the social, economic, and environmental implications of this technology, emphasizing the contextual and nuanced factors that influence its adoption in Indonesia.

3.2 Informants and Sampling Strategy

The study involves five key informants selected through purposive sampling, a non-probability method ensuring that participants possess relevant expertise in agriculture, genetic engineering, and policymaking. To provide a comprehensive perspective, the informants were chosen from diverse backgrounds, including two agricultural scientists specializing in plant genetics and biotechnology, policymakers from government agencies overseeing agricultural policies and biotechnology regulations, and agricultural practitioners such as farmers or extension workers with direct experience in disease management and crop cultivation. These informants were identified through professional networks and recommendations to ensure their credibility and relevance to the study's objectives.

3.3 Data Collection Methods

Data were collected through in-depth, semi-structured interviews with the informants, allowing flexibility in exploring emerging themes while ensuring consistency across interviews. The interview guide covered key topics, including the perceived benefits of genetic engineering for disease-resistant crops, challenges to its adoption in Indonesia, the social, economic, and environmental implications of genetically modified crops, and recommendations for integrating genetic engineering into national agricultural strategies. Each interview lasted approximately 60 to 90 minutes and was conducted in the informants' preferred language, with translations provided as needed. All interviews were recorded with consent and transcribed for analysis.

3.4 Data Analysis

The data collected from the interviews were analyzed using NVIVO software, employing thematic analysis to identify recurring themes and patterns. The process began with data familiarization through repeated reading of transcripts, followed by coding key concepts relevant to the study objectives. Related codes were then grouped into broader themes reflecting informants' perspectives on genetic engineering, which were subsequently refined to ensure clarity and alignment with the research questions. NVIVO facilitated systematic organization and visualization of data, allowing for deeper insights and identification of nuanced relationships.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Benefits of Genetic Engineering

All informants emphasized the potential of genetic engineering to enhance crop resilience and productivity. They highlighted several key benefits, including the reduction of crop losses due to agricultural pathogens, which significantly impact staple crops like rice and cassava. Additionally, genetically engineered crops contribute to improved food security by ensuring higher yields and stabilizing the food supply in Indonesia. Another major advantage is the decreased reliance on chemical pesticides, as disease-resistant crops reduce the need for agrochemical applications, benefiting both the environment and farmers' health.

One agricultural scientist stated:

"With genetic engineering, we can design crops that are more robust against diseases, which is a game-changer for food security."

4.2 Challenges in Adoption

Despite its benefits, the adoption of genetic engineering in Indonesia faces significant barriers, as highlighted by informants. Regulatory complexity remains a major challenge, with policymakers acknowledging that the country's stringent GMO regulations often discourage innovation. Additionally, public perception and misinformation contribute to skepticism among farmers and consumers, fueled by misconceptions about the safety and environmental impact of genetically modified crops. Another key obstacle is the high initial cost of developing and distributing genetically engineered seeds, which poses financial challenges, particularly for smallholder farmers.

A policymaker remarked:

"There is a lack of clear guidelines and support for biotechnology, which slows its adoption in our agriculture sector."

4.3 Socio-Economic Impacts

Informants noted the significant socio-economic implications of adopting genetically engineered crops, particularly in terms of economic benefits for farmers. By reducing crop losses and lowering input costs, such as pesticide use, disease-resistant crops can enhance farmers' income and financial stability. Additionally, increased production could open new market opportunities, including potential exports, strengthening Indonesia's agricultural economy.

However, equity concerns remain a critical issue. There is a risk that small-scale farmers, who may struggle to afford genetically engineered seeds, could be left behind, potentially exacerbating economic inequality. Ensuring accessibility and affordability of these technologies will be essential to prevent widening gaps between large commercial farmers and smallholders.

4.4 Environmental Considerations

The environmental implications of genetic engineering were discussed extensively, with informants highlighting both benefits and concerns. One major advantage is sustainability, as reduced pesticide use minimizes environmental degradation and lowers the risk of pesticide resistance in pests. However, some informants raised biodiversity concerns, noting the potential unintended effects of genetically engineered crops on local ecosystems. Balancing these environmental impacts will be crucial for ensuring the long-term sustainability of genetic engineering in agriculture.

An agricultural practitioner observed:

"We need to ensure that these crops don't negatively impact traditional farming practices or native plant species."

DISCUSSION

Findings of this study emphasize the game-changing potential of genetic engineering towards addressing agricultural issues in Indonesia. However, success of this technology depends on the resolution of problems identified through strategic policy interventions as well as involvement of stakeholders.

The results accord with global literature on the benefits of genetic modification in agriculture [16], [17]. Genetic modification has the potential to enhance food security, reduce the application of chemical pesticides, and boost farmer income through the provision of disease-resistant crops. These gains are particularly critical in Indonesia, where crop damage from pathogens remains an endemic issue.

The findings demand a regulatory overhaul as well as an education campaign against skepticism and disinformation about genetically modified crops. Simplifying the GMO approval processes, seed distribution subsidies, and awareness campaigns may foster farmer as well as consumer acceptance. They are in conformance with Diffusion of Innovations (DOI) theory emphasizing the impact of communication and perceived benefits in technology adoption [8], [18].

While there are economic benefits in genetic modification, the potential exclusion of smallholder farmers from accessing it must be countered. Genetically modified seeds remaining affordable and accessible are necessary for equitable uptake. Financial incentives and technical advice to small farmers by policy can bridge this gap and bring about inclusive growth.

The study results bring into focus the dual role of genetic engineering towards raising sustainability as well as raising concerns regarding biodiversity. To avoid harm, it is crucial to conduct comprehensive environmental impact analyses before introducing genetically modified crops. Integration of modern biotechnologies with conventional farming methods can also ensure a fair approach towards sustainable agriculture.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The results of this study offer several implications for policymakers and practitioners:

Policy Frameworks: Simplify regulatory processes and provide incentives for research and development in genetic engineering.

Public Engagement: Launch awareness campaigns to address misinformation and build public trust in genetically engineered crops.

Support for Farmers: Develop programs that ensure equitable access to genetically engineered seeds and provide technical training for smallholder farmers.

Sustainability Measures: Promote the integration of genetic engineering with sustainable agricultural practices to minimize environmental risks.

REFERENCES

[1] F. N. Syakira and I. Safni, "The Survey of leaf blight disease (Exserohilum turcicum) on maize (Zea mays L.) in Pancur Batu District, Deli Serdang Regency to contribute the food security sustainability," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, IOP Publishing, 2024, p. 12028.

[2] J. Junepri, "Tingkat Serangan Penyakit Busuk Buah (Marasmius palmivorus Sharples) Pada Jarak Tanam (Kerapatan) Yang Berbeda dan Hubungannya Dengan Kehilangan Hasil Kelapa Sawit (Elaeis guineensis Jacq)." Universitas Jambi, 2024.

[3] L. Hajji-Hedfi *et al.*, "Plant Diseases: Pathogenicity and integrated management overview," *Microb. Biosyst.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 41–57, 2024.

[4] P. Warr, "Productivity in Indonesian agriculture: Impacts of domestic and international research," *J. Agric. Econ.*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 835–856, 2023.

[5] S. Sutiharni, I. F. Mariay, L. Y. Andriyani, V. L. Tuhumena, and A. Adlian, "Mapping the Progress and Direction of Sustainable Agriculture Research in Indonesia: A Bibliometric Analysis Perspective," *West Sci. Nat. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 01, pp. 39–46, 2024.

[6] G. Asghar *et al.*, "Exploring the Intersection of Plant Genetics and Biotechnology for Global Challenges," *Sch J Agric Vet Sci*, vol. 1, pp. 64–73, 2025.

[7] L. K. Acharya *et al.*, "Recent Advancements in Genetic Engineering Techniques in Disease Resistance of Crops: An Outlook," in *Plant Stress Tolerance*, CRC Press, 2025, pp. 99–112.

[8] M. M. Hossen, "Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences," *J. Agric. For. Sci.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2025.

[9] L. N. Patil, A. A. Patil, S. A. Patil, S. D. Sancheti, and V. K. Agrawal, "Advancements in Crop Yield Improvement through Genetic Engineering," 2025.

[10] S. Mondal, P. B. Angon, M. S. Uddin, A. Das, M. Mondol, and M. S. Islam, "Plant Genome Editing for Viral Disease Management: Current Achievements and Future Directions," in *Plant Stress Tolerance*, CRC Press, 2025, pp. 241–254.

[11] A. Ashok Kumar, S. Gorthy, H. C. Sharma, Y. Huang, R. Sharma, and B. V. S. Reddy, "Understanding genetic control of biotic stress resistance in sorghum for applied breeding," 2014.

[12] T. Soseco *et al.*, "Financial Literacy Workshop to Intervene Consumption Patterns of Rural Areas in Malang," *Abdimas Univers.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 209–216, 2025.

[13] Q. Ullah *et al.*, "Harnessing plant growth-promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPRs) for sustainable management of rice blast disease caused by Magnaporthe Oryzae: Strategies and remediation techniques in Indonesia," *Indones. J. Agric. Environ.*

Anal., vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 65–76, 2024.
[14] D. Kumar and R. Mukhopadhyay, "Climate change and plant pathogens: Understanding dynamics, risks and mitigation strategies," *Plant Pathol.*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 59–68, 2025.
[15] Q. Ali, T. Akhtar, N. Zuhra, S. Syarbiah, and A. A. Ega, "Biotechnology for sustainable agriculture: innovations in disease management," *Plant Prot.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 803–816, 2024.
[16] P. Thangaraj, L. J. Q. Junior, and N. Ponpandian, *Nanophytomedicine: an emerging platform for drug delivery*. CRC Press, 2022.
[17] U. Faoziyah, M. F. Rosyaridho, and R. Panggabean, "Unearthing Agricultural Land Use Dynamics in Indonesia: Between Food Security and Policy Interventions," *Land*, vol. 13, no. 12, p. 2030, 2024.
[18] T. Wanda, T. W. Mado, and Y. J. Mado, "TRANSFORMASI AGRIBISNIS MELALUI TEKNOLOGI: PELUANG DAN TANTANGAN UNTUK PETANI INDONESIA," *HOAQ (High Educ. Organ. Arch. Qual. J. Teknol. Inf.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 146–150, 2024.