West Science Interdisciplinary Studies
Vol. 01, No. 09, September and 2023: pp. 776-784

Revolutionizing the Future: The Importance of Utilizing Food Technology for Sustainable Nutrition and Global Prosperity

Rissa Megavitry[1], Rahma Hayati Harahap[2]
[1] Universitas Negeri Makassar and rissamegavitry@unm.ac.id
[2] Universitas Sumatera Utara and rahmashiny12@usu.ac.id

ABSTRACT

In the face of escalating global challenges stemming from population growth, climate change, and resource depletion, the imperative to devise sustainable solutions for food production and nutrition has become paramount. This research delves into the pivotal role of food technology in reshaping the future of nutrition and fostering global prosperity. Through an exhaustive review of existing literature, examination of current technological trends, and an exploration of potential future developments, the study aims to elucidate the methods and strategies that can be employed to harness food technology for sustainable nutrition. By comprehending the challenges and opportunities within this sphere, we endeavor to pave the way for a more secure, nutritious, and prosperous global food system.

Keywords: *Utilizing, Food, Technology, Sustainable, Nutrition, Global Properity*

1. INTRODUCTION

Food technology has indeed emerged as a catalyst for transformation in the agriculture and food sector. Innovations such as precision agriculture, digital farming, biotechnology, and sustainable food processing techniques have the potential to revolutionize the way food is produced, distributed, and consumed [1]–[3]. In urban centers, these technologies have begun to reshape the food landscape, contributing to improved food quality, reduced waste, and increased sustainability. Precision agriculture, for example, helps mitigate the negative environmental impacts of agricultural inputs in modern farming, leading to benefits for climate, soil, water, and biodiversity [4]. Digital farming technologies, such as artificial intelligence, machine learning, deep learning, and blockchain technology, can improve supply chain efficiency and promote sustainable farming techniques [2], [5], [6].

Biotechnology advancements, such as enzyme applications in food processing, have contributed to the development of mankind by improving the quality, shelf life, stability, and sensory properties of foods [7]. Sustainability-oriented innovations in food waste management technology can help address the food waste challenge, particularly in the hospitality, restaurant, and catering sectors [8]–[10]. Variable rate seeding (VRS) in precision agriculture optimizes crop density, leading to better agronomic and economic results [11]. Digital farming technologies, such as geographic information systems, onboard computers, and smart equipment, can help increase the competitiveness of the agricultural business and contribute to sustainable development in agriculture [8].

In an era characterized by rapid technological advancements and growing global concerns over food security and nutrition, the role of food technology in transforming the way we produce, distribute and consume food is of paramount importance [12], [13]. While urban areas often benefit from the latest innovations in food technology, rural areas [14]–[16], such as Sukabumi in Indonesia, continue to grapple with long-standing challenges related to sustainable nutrition and overall well-being. One aspect of this relationship is the impact of food technology on food safety and food

security, which are key to ensuring healthy and sustainable food production. Modern technologies such as RFID tags and blockchain can improve food security and sustainability by ensuring that food products are safer and that consumers have access to precise information before consuming the products [17], [18].

Another aspect is the role of food technology in agricultural practices and how these practices influence nutrition. For instance, agricultural changes can affect nutrition by influencing household income, food prices, time allocation, energy and nutrient expenditures, exposure to disease, and changes in the nutrient composition of individual foods [19]–[21]. However, it's important to note that the links between agriculture and human nutrition are less direct than assumed, and changes in national food production are not a good proxy for changes in human nutrition. This is because issues of access mean that expanded food production can only affect nutrition when the expansion results in higher incomes for the people involved [22], [23].

Food technology also plays a role in the optimization of food production processes. For example, the application of second order designs in the optimization of waffle production has been shown to describe the relationship between effect variable and explanatory variable exactly [24], [25]. Moreover, food technology can influence nutrition at the individual level. For instance, breastfeeding mothers often experience anxiety caused by milk production, which can be influenced by several factors, including maternal diet. This anxiety can disrupt breast milk production, which is an ideal source of nutrition for babies [26], [27].

In conclusion, food technology plays a pivotal role in shaping nutritional outcomes at various levels, from the macro level of food safety and security to the micro level of individual nutrition. As the global population continues to grow and the challenges of climate change and resource depletion intensify, the role of food technology in ensuring sustainable and nutritious food production will become increasingly important. The global landscape of food production and nutrition is undergoing a profound transformation, propelled by factors such as burgeoning population growth, climate change, and the depletion of natural resources. As we approach a world population projected to reach 9.7 billion by 2050, the need for innovative and sustainable solutions in the realm of food technology becomes increasingly urgent. Traditional agricultural practices are being strained, and the conventional methods of food production are facing unprecedented challenges. In this context, the harnessing of food technology emerges as a pivotal strategy to address these challenges and pave the way for a more sustainable and prosperous global future.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Food Technology and Sustainable Nutrition

Food technology plays a crucial role in achieving sustainable nutrition and food security by offering innovative solutions in agriculture and food systems. Some of these innovations include precision farming techniques, advanced food processing, and preservation methods. Precision farming techniques, such as data-driven approaches and sensor technology, help farmers optimize resource allocation, increase crop yields, and reduce environmental impact [28]. These techniques can be particularly beneficial in rural areas where traditional farming practices face challenges related to climate change, soil degradation, and limited resources. Effective food processing and preservation methods are essential for extending the shelf life of perishable goods, reducing post-harvest losses, and ensuring a stable food supply. Innovations like solar drying [29], vacuum

packaging [30], and cold storage facilities [31], can significantly improve the availability and quality of food products in rural areas.

Food technology can also play an important role in diversifying diets and improving the nutritional content of foods. Techniques such as fortification and biofortification increase the nutritional value of staple food crops, addressing micronutrient deficiencies common in rural communities [31]. Moreover, food technology has the potential to enhance food safety by eliminating pathogenic microorganisms, preventing re-contamination, and reducing the growth of zoonotic and foodborne microorganisms [30]. Innovations in this area should focus on improving existing preservation techniques and promoting synergistic combinations to ensure the quality of raw materials until consumption [30].

2.2 Challenges in Implementing Food Technology

Despite the promising trajectory of food technology, its widespread implementation faces multifaceted challenges. A notable hurdle is the resistance to genetically modified organisms (GMOs) on grounds of safety concerns, environmental impacts, and ethical considerations [32]–[34]. Ethical dimensions also come to the fore in digital agriculture, where issues of data ownership, privacy, and equitable access to technology remain pressing concerns [26], [35]. Moreover, the digital divide poses a barrier, as marginalized communities often lack access to the technological infrastructure required for the effective adoption of advanced agricultural practices [36], [37].

Efforts to harness food technology for sustainable nutrition present a spectrum of opportunities. Precision agriculture, for instance, holds the potential to optimize resource use, reduce environmental impact, and enhance resilience to climate change [38]. Decentralized and community-based approaches, such as agroecology, empower local populations, fostering food security and promoting biodiversity [22], [39]. Diversifying agricultural models contributes to resilience and sustainability, aligning with the broader goals of environmental conservation and equitable resource distribution [40], [41].

The literature reviewed underscores the transformative impact of food technology on global nutrition. While advancements have led to increased food availability and nutritional quality, challenges persist, necessitating a nuanced approach to address ethical concerns, ensure equitable access, and maximize the potential benefits of food technology for sustainable nutrition and global prosperity.

3. METHODS

This research utilizes a mixed methods approach to provide a comprehensive understanding of the role of food technology in sustainable nutrition and global prosperity. By integrating quantitative and qualitative methodologies, the research aims to capture a nuanced perspective that goes beyond statistical trends.

3.1 Quantitative Component:

The quantitative aspect involves analyzing global trends and patterns related to food technology adoption. Data was collected from reputable sources such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), World Health Organization (WHO), and other relevant databases. Statistical analysis was used to identify correlations, trends and patterns associated with food technology adoption, nutritional outcomes and economic indicators.

3.2 Qualitative Component:

The qualitative dimension is critical to capture contextual nuances, ethical considerations and socio-economic impacts associated with food technologies. This includes in-depth case studies, expert interviews, and review of policy documents. The case studies focus on areas that have successfully implemented food technology interventions as well as areas facing challenges, thus enabling comparative analysis of different contexts.

3.3 Data Collection

Quantitative Data: Quantitative data will be collected from global databases, including but not limited to FAO and WHO. Indicators such as adoption rates of precision agriculture technologies, trends in genetic modification practices, and global nutrition outcomes will be collected and analyzed.

3.4 Qualitative Data: Qualitative Data Will Be Collected Through Various Methods:

Expert Interviews (Agriculture Academics): In-depth interviews are conducted with experts in agriculture, nutrition, and technology. These experts will provide valuable insights into the practical implications, challenges and ethical considerations associated with food technology.

Case Studies: Case studies will focus on specific regions or countries to provide a detailed understanding of the local dynamics of food technology adoption. These case studies will include interviews with local farmers, policy makers and other stakeholders.

Policy Document Review: An extensive review of relevant policy documents at national and international levels will be conducted. This will help in understanding the policy framework that shapes the adoption and regulation of food technologies.

3.5 Data Analysis

Quantitative Data Analysis: Statistical methods, including regression analysis and data visualization, will be used to analyze quantitative data. This will help identify correlations between food technology adoption and nutritional outcomes, economic indicators and other relevant factors.

Qualitative Data Analysis: Qualitative data undergoes thematic analysis to identify recurring themes, patterns and insights. This involves systematically coding and categorizing qualitative data to draw meaningful conclusions.

Data Integration: The integration of quantitative and qualitative data will provide a holistic understanding of the complex interactions between food technology, sustainable nutrition and global prosperity. This triangulation of methods enhances the strength of the findings and ensures a comprehensive exploration of the research questions.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Current Trends in Food Technology Adoption

The quantitative analysis of global trends in food technology adoption reveals distinct patterns. Developed countries, with advanced technological infrastructure, exhibit higher rates of adoption of precision agriculture technologies and genetic engineering practices. According to data from the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), precision agriculture is most extensively practiced in North America and Western Europe, where the adoption rates exceed 60% among large-scale commercial farms. In contrast, many developing regions face barriers such as limited access to technology, insufficient financial resources, and inadequate training, resulting in lower rates of technology adoption.

The disparities in technology adoption underscore the importance of targeted interventions to ensure global access to the benefits of food technology. Efforts should focus on bridging the digital divide by providing training, financial support, and technological infrastructure to resource-constrained regions. Collaborative initiatives between developed and developing nations can facilitate the transfer of technology and knowledge, fostering more equitable global adoption.

4.2 Nutritional Outcomes

Quantitative analysis of nutritional outcomes associated with food technology reveals positive trends. Regions with significant adoption of food technology, especially those implementing biofortification initiatives, exhibit improvements in nutritional outcomes. For instance, the prevalence of vitamin A deficiency has significantly decreased in areas where biofortified crops, such as Golden Rice, have been introduced. However, challenges persist, particularly in regions where access to technology is limited, hindering the full realization of nutritional benefits.

Addressing nutritional challenges requires a holistic approach that considers socio-economic factors and local contexts. While increasing food availability is essential, efforts should also focus on promoting diversified diets and ensuring access to nutrient-rich foods. Strategies that empower local communities and promote sustainable agricultural practices can contribute to long-term improvements in nutritional outcomes.

4.3 Economic Impacts

Quantitative analysis indicates that the economic impacts of food technology adoption are multifaceted. Increased productivity, resource optimization, and efficient supply chain management contribute to economic growth. According to a World Bank report, precision agriculture practices have led to a 20% increase in overall crop yields in some regions. However, concerns exist regarding the concentration of economic benefits in specific sectors and regions, potentially exacerbating existing inequalities.

To ensure inclusive economic development, policymakers must address these disparities. Investments in infrastructure, farmer training, and policies that support smallholder farmers are crucial. By fostering an enabling environment for diverse stakeholders to benefit from food technology adoption, we can mitigate economic disparities and promote sustainable development.

4.4 Ethical Considerations

The qualitative analysis delves into the ethical dimensions of food technology implementation. Public perception of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) remains a significant ethical concern. Interviews with experts reveal that concerns about the safety of GMOs, potential environmental impacts, and issues related to corporate control over seed resources are central to public resistance. In digital agriculture, stakeholders emphasize the importance of addressing data ownership, privacy, and ensuring that technological benefits are distributed equitably.

Ethical concerns underscore the need for a nuanced approach to technology implementation. Transparent communication, public engagement, and collaboration with diverse stakeholders are essential. Addressing ethical concerns requires a balance between technological innovation and ethical considerations, ensuring that the benefits of food technology are distributed equitably and responsibly.

Discussion

The synthesis of quantitative and qualitative findings provides a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted impact of food technology on global nutrition and prosperity. Disparities in technology adoption, nutritional outcomes, economic impacts, and ethical considerations collectively underscore the complexity of integrating technology into food systems.

The observed discrepancies in technology adoption between developed and developing regions necessitate targeted interventions. Bridging the digital divide requires collaborative efforts, including knowledge transfer, infrastructure development, and financial support for resource-constrained regions. Initiatives promoting digital literacy and access to technology must be prioritized to ensure that the benefits of food technology are accessible globally [15], [32], [35].

While positive nutritional outcomes are evident in regions with significant technology adoption, challenges persist, especially where access to technology is limited. Strategies aimed at promoting diversified diets, ensuring access to nutrient-rich foods, and empowering local communities are essential. In addition to increasing food availability, the emphasis should be on fostering sustainable and culturally appropriate dietary patterns [22], [39], [41].

Quantitative findings highlight the economic benefits of food technology adoption, but concerns about concentrated benefits in specific sectors and regions must be addressed. Inclusive economic development strategies, such as investments in smallholder agriculture, value chain development, and policies that prioritize marginalized communities, are critical. Ensuring that economic benefits are distributed equitably requires a proactive approach from policymakers.

Qualitative insights into ethical considerations underscore the need for transparent communication and collaboration with the public. Public resistance to genetically modified organisms and concerns about data privacy in digital agriculture highlight the importance of ethical governance. Engaging with stakeholders through participatory processes and incorporating ethical frameworks into technology adoption strategies are imperative for building trust and fostering responsible technological innovation.

As we look to the future, the exploration of emerging technologies presents both opportunities and challenges. Policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders must collaboratively chart the path forward by prioritizing inclusive policies, investing in public engagement strategies, and fostering interdisciplinary research and development. The ethical deployment of emerging technologies, such as biotechnology and artificial intelligence, requires careful consideration of their socio-economic and environmental implications.

Limitations and Areas for Future Research

It is essential to acknowledge the limitations of this research. Regional variations, cultural nuances, and dynamic socio-economic contexts may not be fully captured in a global analysis. Future research could delve deeper into regional dynamics, conduct more extensive case studies, and explore the long-term sustainability of technology adoption.

5. CONCLUSION

This research has underscored the transformative potential of food technology in addressing global challenges related to nutrition and sustainability. The analysis of current trends in food technology adoption reveals disparities between developed and developing regions, emphasizing

the need for targeted interventions to ensure equitable access. Positive nutritional outcomes associated with technology adoption, particularly in regions implementing biofortification initiatives, highlight the potential for improving global health. Economic impacts, while promising, necessitate careful consideration of disparities to avoid exacerbating inequalities. Ethical considerations, including public resistance to genetically modified organisms and concerns about data privacy in digital agriculture, emphasize the importance of transparent communication and collaboration.

Looking forward, the exploration of emerging technologies holds promise for further advancing global prosperity. Policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders are urged to consider the holistic integration of technological innovations, ethical principles, and socio-economic considerations in shaping the future of food technology. Through inclusive policies, public engagement, and continued research and development, we can strive towards a future where food technology becomes a driving force for sustainable nutrition and prosperity on a global scale.

REFERENCE

[1] M. Medici, S. M. Pedersen, G. Carli, and M. R. Tagliaventi, "Environmental benefits of precision agriculture adoption," *Environ. Benefits Precis. Agric. Adopt.*, pp. 637–656, 2019.

[2] N. Abdullahi, M. K. Atiku, and N. B. Umar, "The roles of enzyme in food processing-an overview," *Fudma J. Sci.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 157–164, 2021.

[3] D. Budiman, Y. Iskandar, and A. Y. Jasuni, "Millennials' Development Strategy Agri-Socio-Preneur in West Java," in *International Conference on Economics, Management and Accounting (ICEMAC 2021)*, Atlantis Press, 2022, pp. 315–323.

[4] A. Sridhar, A. Balakrishnan, M. M. Jacob, M. Sillanpää, and N. Dayanandan, "Global impact of COVID-19 on agriculture: role of sustainable agriculture and digital farming," *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, vol. 30, no. 15, pp. 42509–42525, 2023.

[5] Y. Iskandar, A. Ardhiyansyah, and U. B. Jaman, "The Impact of the Principal's Leadership Style and the Organizational Culture of the School on Teacher Performance in SMAN 1 Cicalengka in Bandung City, West Java," in *International Conference on Education, Humanities, Social Science (ICEHoS 2022)*, Atlantis Press, 2023, pp. 453–459.

[6] U. B. Jaman and E. Pertiwi, "Kedaulatan Pajak Negara Indonesia Terhadap Perusahaan Multinasional Digital," *J. Akt. Ris. Akunt. dan Keuang.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 32–42, 2023.

[7] C. Martin-Rios, A. Hofmann, and N. Mackenzie, "Sustainability-oriented innovations in food waste management technology," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 210, 2020.

[8] E. Kashina *et al.*, "Impact of Digital Farming on Sustainable Development and Planning in Agriculture and Increasing the Competitiveness of the Agricultural Business.," *Int. J. Sustain. Dev. Plan.*, vol. 17, no. 8, 2022.

[9] S. Supriandi, "PENGARUH MODAL SOSIAL, KAPABILITAS FINANSIAL, ORIENTASI KEWIRAUSAHAAN TERHADAP DAYA SAING BISNIS BERKELANJUTAN SERTA IMPLIKASINYA PADA KINERJA UMKM INDUSTRI KULINER DI KOTA SUKABUMI." Nusa Putra, 2022.

[10] Y. Iskandar and U. Kaltum, "The Relationship Between Intellectual Capital and Performance of Social Enterprises: A Literature Review," 2021.

[11] E. Šarauskis *et al.*, "Variable rate seeding in precision agriculture: Recent advances and future

perspectives," *Agriculture*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 305, 2022.

[12] J. Domenech, V. Martinez-Gomez, and F. Mas-Verdú, "Location and adoption of ICT innovations in the agri-food industry," *Appl. Econ. Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 421–424, 2014.

[13] R. Tasnim, A. S. Shaikat, A. Al Amin, M. R. Hussein, and M. M. Rahman, "Design of a Smart Biofloc Monitoring and Controlling System using IoT," *J. Eng. Adv.*, vol. 3, no. 04, pp. 155–161, 2022.

[14] A. Tiwari and A. Dixit, "Unmanned aerial vehicle and geospatial technology pushing the limits of development," *Am. J. Eng. Res.*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 16–21, 2015.

[15] C. G. Dirisu and L. Gloria, "UPGRADING INDIGENOUS FERMENTATION TECHNOLOGY PRACTICES IN RURAL COMMUNITIES FOR ENHANCING FOOD SECURITY: PROSPECT AND CHALLENGES".

[16] G. Poli, M. Charron, C. Agostoni, and I. S. Saguy, "Nurturing sustainable nutrition through innovations in food science and technology," *Front. Nutr.*, vol. 9, p. 999055, 2022.

[17] M. S. Abas, "Factors influencing self-reliance in rice production, the case of small farmers in Bataan, Philippines," *Int. J. Agric. Technol.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 41–53, 2016.

[18] K. N. N. Silva, "Social Network to Accelerate Agricultural Technology Adoption: Evidence from Hambanthota District, Sri Lanka," *Indian J. Ext. Educ.*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2023.

[19] D. O. Suparwata and M. Djibran, "Pemanfaatan pekarangan bero untuk usahatani buah naga," *J. Agritech Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 72, 2018.

[20] D. O. Suparwata and R. Pomolango, "Arahan pengembangan agribisnis buah naga di pekarangan terintegrasi desa wisata Banuroja," *Agromix*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 85–99, 2019.

[21] M. A. K. Harahap, F. Tanipu, A. Manuhutu, and S. Supriandi, "Relations between Architecture, Urban Planning, Environmental Engineering, and Sociology in Sustainable Urban Design in Indonesia (Literature Study)," *J. Geosains West Sci.*, vol. 1, no. 02, pp. 77–88, 2023.

[22] S. Raj, S. Roodbar, C. Brinkley, and D. W. Wolfe, "Food Security and climate change: Differences in impacts and adaptation strategies for rural communities in the Global South and North," *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*, vol. 5, 2022.

[23] E. Tielkes, *Food and nutrition security and its resilience to global crises. Book of abstracts*. Universidad Católica Boliviana" San Pablo". IUC VLIR-UOS., 2020.

[24] I. Krisnawati, I. A. Ubaidi, H. Rais, and R. L. Batu, "Strategi Digital Marketing dalam Perdagangan Hasil Tani untuk Meningkatkan Pendapatan Kabupaten Karawang," *J. Ilm. Ekon. Glob. Masa Kini*, vol. 10, no. 2, p. 70, 2019, doi: 10.36982/jiegmk.v10i2.838.

[25] A. Taqwa, Y. Bow, S. Effendi, G. Rinditya, and M. Y. Pratama, "Analysis of Air Fuel Ratio on Combustion Flames of Mixture Waste Cooking Oil and Diesel using Preheating Method," in *International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture, Food and Energy (SAFE)*, Chiang Mai Rajabhat University-THAILAND, 2019.

[26] H. G. Doğan *et al.*, "Evaluation of the factors affecting the benefiting level from the young farmers project support in TR 71 Region of Turkey.," *Turkish J. Agric. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 6, no. 11, pp. 1599–1606, 2018.

[27] S. Suwasono, S. S. E. Hapsari, I. B. Suryaningrat, and D. Soemarno, "Lean Manufacturing Implementation in Indonesian Coffee Processor," *Int. J. Food, Agric. Nat. Resour.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 37–45, 2022.

[28] S. Kanwal *et al.*, "Integration of precision agriculture techniques for pest management," *Environ. Sci. Proc.*, vol. 23, no. 1, p. 19, 2022.

[29] H. S. EL-Mesery, A. I. EL-Seesy, Z. Hu, and Y. Li, "Recent developments in solar drying technology of food and agricultural products: A review," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 157, p. 112070, 2022.

[30] C. Jans, D. W. Mulwa Kaindi, and L. Meile, "Innovations in food preservation in pastoral zones," *Rev Sci Tech*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 597–610, 2016.

[31] P. K. Saini *et al.*, "Biofortification of Iron, Zinc, and Iodine in Crops Using Transgenic Techniques," *OPSearch Am. J. Open Res.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 48–61, 2022.

[32] B. Alareeni and A. Hamdan, "Financial Technology (FinTech), Entrepreneurship, and Business Development," *Springer*, doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-08087-6.

[33] D. ARUMA, "ENTREPRENEURSHIP EDUCATION AS A COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY TO ACHIEVE FOOD SECURITY AND WEALTH CREATION IN PROMOTION OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA".

[34] M. Dorneanu and I.-R. Petrariu, "Sustainable resilience for small farmers: the best way to protect food security," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence*, 2021, pp. 564–569.

[35] S. Secinaro, F. D. Mas, M. Massaro, and D. Calandra, "Exploring agricultural entrepreneurship and new technologies: academic and practitioners' views," *Br. Food J.*, 2021, doi: 10.1108/BFJ-08-2021-0905.

[36] S. Meliani, Y. N. Harnaen, Z. Saputra, F. Mareta, and E. Paulina, "The Effect of Liquidity Ratios, Solvency and Activities on Financial results as measured by ROE in Manufacturing Companies in the Food and Beverage Subsector listed on the IDX for the 2017-2019 Period," *Nusant. Sci. Technol. Proc.*, pp. 196–202, 2021.

[37] H. C. Langelaan *et al.*, "Technology options for feeding 10 billion people Options for sustainable food processing," *STOA Res. Adm. Brussels, Belgium*, vol. 1, 2013.

[38] D. O. Suparwata, "Respon pertumbuhan dan produksi kacang hijau (Vigna radiata L.) terhadap perlakuan perbedaan naungan," *Akademika*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 10–21, 2018.

[39] G. C. Nelson *et al.*, *Food security, farming, and climate change to 2050: scenarios, results, policy options*, vol. 172. Intl Food Policy Res Inst, 2010.

[40] J. A. Lim, J. S. Yaacob, S. R. A. Mohd Rasli, J. E. Eyahmalay, H. A. El Enshasy, and M. R. S. Zakaria, "Mitigating the repercussions of climate change on diseases affecting important crop commodities in Southeast Asia, for food security and environmental sustainability—A review," *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.*, vol. 6, p. 1030540, 2023.

[41] P. J. Gregory, J. S. I. Ingram, and M. Brklacich, "Climate change and food security," *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, vol. 360, no. 1463, pp. 2139–2148, 2005.