Employee Well-Being Research Trends in HR Management

Loso Judijanto[1], Dasa Rahardjo Soesanto[2], Rival Pahrijal[3]
[1] IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia and losojudijantobumn@gmail.com
[2] Universitas Utpadaka Swastika and dasaraharjo@gmail.com
[3] Nusa Putra University and rivalpahrijal@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Employee well-being has emerged as a critical focus within human resource management (HRM) research, driven by its direct impact on productivity, job satisfaction, and organizational success. This study explores recent research trends in employee well-being using a systematic literature review based exclusively on Scopus-indexed publications. The findings reveal that key themes in HRM well-being research include work-life balance, job satisfaction, burnout, leadership, digitalization, and corporate social responsibility (CSR). The bibliometric analysis highlights the increasing role of psychological well-being, mental health support, and diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives in shaping modern HRM strategies. Additionally, the growing integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and technology in well-being management presents both opportunities and challenges for organizations. The discussion underscores the practical implications of well-being research for HRM practices, emphasizing the need for leadership training, personalized employee support programs, and ethical technology use. The study also identifies future research directions, including the long-term effects of remote work, AI-driven HR analytics, and cross-cultural perspectives on well-being interventions. By synthesizing existing literature, this research provides valuable insights for HR professionals, policymakers, and academics seeking to enhance employee well-being in dynamic work environments.

Keywords: Employee Well-Being, Human Resource Management, Job Satisfaction, Work-Life Balance, Bibliometric Analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Employee well-being has gained significant attention in human resource management (HRM) research, particularly as organizations recognize its direct impact on productivity, job satisfaction, and overall business success. Well-being in the workplace extends beyond physical health to include psychological, emotional, and social aspects, making it a multidimensional concept that requires a holistic approach [1]. The increasing recognition of well-being as a strategic HRM priority has led to a surge in academic and corporate interest in understanding how well-being initiatives contribute to organizational outcomes. Scholars argue that well-being is not only an ethical responsibility of employers but also a competitive advantage, enhancing engagement and retention [2].

Recent shifts in workplace dynamics, driven by globalization, digital transformation, and the rise of remote work, have further influenced how organizations approach employee well-being. Technological advancements, while increasing efficiency, have also introduced new stressors such as digital overload and work-life boundary blurring [3]. Additionally, the COVID-19 pandemic underscored the importance of mental health support, flexible work arrangements, and employee resilience in maintaining workforce stability [4]. These changes have fueled a growing body of research on best practices and emerging trends in well-being interventions. The evolution of HRM from a purely administrative function to a strategic business partner has further reinforced the role of well-being programs. Organizations are increasingly integrating well-being initiatives into their

corporate strategies, aligning them with performance metrics and employee experience enhancements [5]. A growing body of research supports the positive correlation between well-being initiatives and organizational performance, including reduced absenteeism, higher productivity, and improved workplace culture [6]. This shift has led HR professionals to explore innovative ways to foster well-being, from mindfulness programs to AI-driven mental health support tools.

Despite these developments, disparities in well-being experiences across different employee demographics persist. Factors such as job role, industry, socioeconomic status, and work environment significantly influence well-being outcomes [7]. Employees in high-stress sectors such as healthcare and finance face unique challenges that require tailored interventions. Similarly, diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives have gained traction in well-being discussions, emphasizing the need for inclusive policies that support employees from diverse backgrounds [8]. Understanding these variations is critical for designing effective HRM strategies that cater to all employees. Furthermore, the measurement of employee well-being remains a challenge. While traditional metrics such as job satisfaction surveys and absenteeism rates provide some insights, researchers are increasingly exploring more nuanced approaches, including biometric data, sentiment analysis, and real-time employee feedback mechanisms [9]. The continuous development of these assessment tools will be crucial in refining HRM strategies to support well-being in a meaningful and sustainable manner.

Despite the growing focus on employee well-being in HRM, research gaps remain in understanding the long-term impact of well-being initiatives on organizational success. Many organizations struggle to implement effective, evidence-based interventions that address both immediate concerns and long-term well-being outcomes. Additionally, inconsistencies in well-being measurement methods hinder the ability to benchmark success and compare interventions across industries. Furthermore, evolving workplace structures, particularly in remote and hybrid work models, present new challenges that require innovative HRM approaches. Thus, there is a need for a comprehensive examination of employee well-being research trends to identify effective strategies and future directions. The objective of this study is to analyze emerging research trends in employee well-being within the field of HRM

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Employee well-being has been conceptualized through various theoretical lenses, each offering unique insights into its determinants and implications. One of the most widely used frameworks is the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, which posits that employee well-being is influenced by the balance between job demands and available resources [10]. Job demands, such as high workload and emotional labor, contribute to stress and burnout, whereas job resources, including autonomy, social support, and opportunities for professional development, enhance well-being and work engagement [11]. Another important theoretical perspective is Self-Determination Theory (SDT), which emphasizes the role of intrinsic motivation in employee well-being. According to [12], well-being is maximized when employees experience autonomy, competence, and relatedness in their work environment. Organizations that foster these psychological needs create conditions for higher job satisfaction, engagement, and overall well-being. The Conservation of Resources (COR) theory also provides a useful framework for understanding employee well-being [13]. This theory suggests that individuals strive to acquire, maintain, and protect valuable resources,

including physical energy, time, and emotional stability. Workplace stress arises when these resources are threatened or depleted, leading to burnout and decreased well-being. Thus, HRM strategies that focus on resource replenishment, such as wellness programs and supportive leadership, can help enhance employee well-being.

Employee well-being is a multidimensional construct encompassing physical, psychological, and social well-being. Physical well-being relates to employees' health, safety, and ergonomics in the workplace. Research indicates that organizations that invest in employee health programs, such as fitness incentives and ergonomic workstations, report higher productivity and lower absenteeism [8]. Psychological well-being is primarily concerned with employees' mental health, stress levels, and job satisfaction. Studies highlight that excessive workload, lack of work-life balance, and job insecurity are major contributors to mental health issues among employees [14]. Organizations that implement mental health initiatives, including counseling services and flexible work arrangements, have shown improvements in employee morale and performance [15]. Social well-being pertains to employees' interpersonal relationships and sense of belonging within the workplace. A supportive organizational culture, inclusive leadership, and teamwork contribute significantly to social well-being [16]. Research suggests that employees who feel valued and connected to their colleagues demonstrate higher levels of engagement and lower turnover intentions [17].

3. METHODS

This study employs a systematic literature review methodology to analyze research trends in employee well-being within HR management. The research process involves identifying relevant academic articles exclusively from the Scopus database to ensure high-quality and peer-reviewed sources. Keywords such as "employee well-being," "HR management," "workplace mental health," and "job satisfaction" are used to filter relevant studies published within the last two decades. A thematic analysis is conducted to categorize the key findings into emerging themes, including digitalization, workplace mental health initiatives, diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI), and well-being measurement methods. Additionally, quantitative and qualitative studies are reviewed to assess methodological approaches, theoretical frameworks, and gaps in the literature. The inclusion criteria focus on peer-reviewed studies, empirical research, and theoretical papers that contribute to understanding employee well-being trends in HRM.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Network Visualization

Figure 1. Network Visualization
Source: Data Analysis Result, 2025

This visualization is a bibliometric network map generated using VOSviewer, which represents the co-occurrence of keywords related to employee well-being and human resource management (HRM). The size of the nodes represents the frequency of keyword occurrences, while the thickness of the connecting lines indicates the strength of relationships between concepts. The network is color-coded to group related terms into clusters, suggesting different thematic areas within the research landscape. At the center of the visualization, "human resource management" and "employee well-being" are the most prominent nodes, indicating that these terms are the primary focus of research. Several closely related keywords, such as "work-life balance," "job satisfaction," and "burnout," suggest that HRM research emphasizes employee well-being as a key determinant of workplace productivity and engagement. The presence of "mental health" and "psychology" reinforces the increasing recognition of psychological factors in HRM strategies.

The red cluster in the visualization includes terms like "leadership," "employee engagement," "performance," and "perceived organizational support." This cluster suggests that leadership plays a crucial role in shaping employee engagement and performance outcomes. The linkages between leadership and psychological well-being highlight the influence of management styles on workplace stress and motivation. Furthermore, performance management is closely linked with employee engagement, indicating that well-being initiatives are often aligned with performance evaluation systems. In the green cluster, terms such as "sustainability" and "corporate social responsibility" (CSR) appear closely connected to employee well-being. This reflects an emerging trend where organizations integrate CSR and sustainability initiatives with HRM practices to enhance employee well-being. Companies that emphasize ethical work environments, resource allocation, and environmental responsibility tend to foster better employee satisfaction and retention.

The presence of "information management" and "resource allocation" in the visualization suggests a growing intersection between HRM, technology, and employee well-being. Digital transformation in workplaces has brought challenges related to work overload, information accessibility, and workplace automation. HRM researchers are increasingly focusing on how

digitalization impacts employee stress and how technology-driven HR interventions can enhance well-being. The yellow and purple clusters emphasize the psychological dimensions of employee well-being. "Psychological well-being," "mental health," and "psychology" are interconnected, highlighting the importance of emotional resilience in HRM research. As burnout and stress-related issues become more prevalent, organizations are prioritizing mental health interventions. The network also indicates a shift in HRM research toward holistic well-being strategies that address not just physical health but also emotional and cognitive well-being in the workplace.

4.2 Overlay Visualization

Figure 2. Overlay Visualization
Source: Data Analysis Result, 2025

This bibliometric network visualization from VOSviewer maps research trends in employee well-being within HR management over time, as indicated by the color gradient from 2019 (blue) to 2022 (yellow). The size of the nodes represents the frequency of keyword occurrences, while the thickness of the connections signifies the strength of relationships between concepts. The central terms, "human resource management" and "employee well-being," suggest that these are the primary areas of focus in the field, with related topics such as job satisfaction, work-life balance, burnout, and leadership forming interconnected research themes.

The color gradient reveals the evolution of research interests. Earlier research (2019-2020, blue-green) focused on leadership, work engagement, performance management, and perceived organizational support. This suggests that initial studies explored the role of HRM in fostering workplace engagement and productivity. As research progressed (2021-2022, yellow-green), newer topics like sustainability, corporate social responsibility (CSR), and psychological well-being gained prominence. The increasing relevance of mental health and well-being reflects a shift toward more employee-centered HR strategies, particularly in response to pandemic-induced workplace transformations.

The visualization also highlights the emerging role of digitalization in HRM. Terms such as "information management" and "resource allocation" appear in recent years (2021-2022, yellow), indicating a growing interest in how technology impacts employee well-being. The strong link

between performance management and employee engagement suggests that HR practices are aligning well-being initiatives with productivity goals. This network illustrates a broadening research landscape that integrates traditional HRM concerns (leadership, job satisfaction) with contemporary issues like mental health, sustainability, and digital transformation.

4.3 Citation Analysis

Table 1. The Most Impactful Literatures

Citations	Authors and year	Title
209	[18]	Innovative human resource management strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic narrative review approach
166	[19]	Workplace happiness: work engagement, career satisfaction, and subjective well-being
163	[20]	Demands or Resources? The Relationship Between HR Practices, Employee Engagement, and Emotional Exhaustion Within a Hybrid Model of Employment Relations
162	[21]	High performance work systems, employee well-being, and job involvement: an empirical study
158	[22]	Corporate social reporting in the European context and human resource disclosures: An analysis of finnish companies
148	[23]	Sustainable Human Resource Management with Salience of Stakeholders: A Top Management Perspective
137	[24]	Exploring the effects of high-performance work systems (HPWS) on the work-related well-being of Chinese hospital employees
112	[25]	HR practices and employee performance: the mediating role of well-being
99	[26]	Service recovery through empowerment? HRM, employee performance and job satisfaction in hotels
91	[1]	Self-efficacy and workplace well-being: moderating role of sustainability practices

Source: Scopus, 2025

4.4 Density Visualization

Figure 3. Density Visualization
Source: Data Analysis Result, 2025

This heatmap visualization from VOSviewer highlights the intensity of research focus on various keywords related to employee well-being and human resource management (HRM). The brighter yellow regions indicate highly researched topics, whereas green areas represent moderate attention, and darker regions show lower research density. The most prominent terms, "human resource management" and "employee well-being," are at the center with the highest concentration, suggesting that these themes dominate the research landscape. Other frequently studied areas include "work-life balance," "job satisfaction," "leadership," and "performance management," all of which are interconnected with well-being in HRM. The presence of "mental health," "sustainability," and "corporate social responsibility" (CSR) suggests an increasing emphasis on holistic employee well-being strategies. Additionally, "information management" and "resource allocation" have notable research density, indicating a growing interest in how digital tools and workplace resources influence well-being.

4.5 Co-Authorship Network

Figure 4. Author Visualization
Source: Data Analysis Result, 2025

This co-authorship network visualization from VOSviewer maps the relationships between researchers in the field of employee well-being and human resource management. The nodes represent authors, while the edges (connections) indicate co-authorship links. The size of the nodes reflects the number of publications or citations, while the color-coded clusters highlight different research groups or collaborative networks. The most influential researchers in this network include Bakker A.B., Lepak D.P., and Podsakoff P.M., suggesting their significant contributions to the field. The red cluster represents a group of researchers working closely on topics like strategic HRM, performance, and employee engagement, while the blue cluster focuses on workplace stress, well-being, and psychological resources. The green cluster seems to connect theories related to job demands-resources (JD-R) and motivation, whereas the purple cluster appears more isolated, potentially representing emerging or niche research areas. The visualization illustrates the collaborative nature of HRM and well-being research, with some scholars acting as key bridges between multiple research domains.

Figure 5. Country Visualization
Source: Data Analysis Result, 2025

This collaboration network visualization maps international research partnerships in employee well-being and human resource management. The nodes represent countries, with larger nodes indicating higher research output, while the edges (connections) signify co-authorship or collaboration between nations. The United Kingdom and India emerge as central hubs, indicating that these countries play a crucial role in global research collaboration. Australia and China form another significant network, with Australia acting as a bridge between Western and Eastern research communities. European countries like Spain, France, the Netherlands, and Italy are interconnected, suggesting strong regional cooperation. Bahrain and Canada appear to have relatively fewer but distinct collaborations. The visualization highlights how research on employee well-being is globally interconnected, with key countries driving international knowledge exchange and cross-border academic partnerships.

Discussion

1. **Key Findings and Their Implications**

The analysis of research trends in employee well-being within human resource management (HRM) highlights the growing emphasis on holistic well-being strategies. The bibliometric analysis reveals that central themes such as work-life balance, job satisfaction, burnout, and leadership have been extensively studied. The prominence of these topics suggests that HRM research is shifting towards a more employee-centered approach, recognizing well-being as a key determinant of productivity and organizational success. These findings align with the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model [10], which posits that well-being results from the balance between job demands and available resources. Organizations that invest in employee well-being programs, such as flexible work arrangements and mental health support, tend to experience higher employee engagement and reduced turnover.

Another key finding is the role of leadership in shaping employee well-being. The co-occurrence of terms such as "leadership," "employee engagement," and "psychological well-being" suggests that leadership styles significantly influence workplace culture and employee satisfaction.

Transformational leadership, which emphasizes motivation, vision, and support, has been associated with positive well-being outcomes [27]. Conversely, authoritarian or laissez-faire leadership styles can contribute to workplace stress and burnout. These findings highlight the need for leadership training programs that prioritize emotional intelligence and employee support.

Additionally, the increasing focus on sustainability and corporate social responsibility (CSR) in HRM research indicates a shift towards ethical business practices that prioritize both environmental and employee well-being. Companies that integrate CSR initiatives with HR policies, such as ethical labor practices and diversity programs, are more likely to attract and retain talent. This aligns with stakeholder theory, which posits that organizations must consider the well-being of all stakeholders, including employees, to achieve long-term success. Future research should explore the impact of CSR-driven HRM policies on employee motivation and organizational performance.

2. Emerging Trends and Challenges

One of the most significant emerging trends in HRM research is the impact of digitalization on employee well-being. The rise of remote work, accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic, has introduced new challenges such as work-life boundary blurring, digital fatigue, and social isolation. The bibliometric analysis indicates a growing interest in digital well-being strategies, such as limiting after-hours communication and promoting work-life balance through technology-driven HR solutions. However, organizations must balance the benefits of flexibility with the need for social connection and structured work environments.

Another challenge is the measurement of employee well-being. Traditional metrics, such as job satisfaction surveys and absenteeism rates, provide limited insights into the complexities of well-being. Recent studies advocate for more comprehensive assessment tools, including real-time employee feedback, biometric monitoring, and AI-driven sentiment analysis. These tools can provide a more nuanced understanding of workplace well-being, enabling HR professionals to design targeted interventions. However, ethical concerns regarding employee privacy and data security must be addressed to ensure responsible implementation.

The intersection of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) with employee well-being is another critical area of focus. Research indicates that employees from marginalized groups often experience unique workplace stressors, including discrimination and limited career advancement opportunities. Organizations that prioritize DEI initiatives, such as mentorship programs and bias training, can create more inclusive and supportive work environments. However, there is still a need for more empirical studies on the long-term effects of DEI-driven well-being interventions.

3. Practical Implications for HRM Practices

The findings of this study have significant implications for HRM practices. First, organizations should adopt a proactive approach to employee well-being by integrating well-being strategies into their overall business models. This includes implementing policies that promote mental health, work-life balance, and career development. HR professionals should collaborate with leadership teams to create a workplace culture that values employee well-being as a strategic priority rather than an afterthought. Second, leadership development programs should emphasize emotional intelligence and employee-centered management styles. Leaders play a crucial role in shaping workplace well-being, and organizations should invest in training programs that equip managers with skills in empathy, active listening, and conflict resolution. Research suggests that transformational leadership positively correlates with employee engagement and well-being, making it a key area for HRM intervention. Third, organizations must leverage technology to enhance well-being while mitigating its negative effects. Digital tools such as well-being apps, AI-driven HR analytics, and virtual wellness programs can help employees manage stress and maintain productivity. However, companies should also establish clear guidelines on technology use to prevent burnout and overwork. For example, policies that restrict after-hours emails and encourage

digital detox periods can promote healthier work habits. Fourth, HR policies should be tailored to address the diverse needs of employees. A one-size-fits-all approach to well-being may not be effective, as employees have different stressors and coping mechanisms. Organizations should adopt a personalized approach, offering flexible work arrangements, tailored mental health resources, and career development programs that cater to various employee demographics. This aligns with the principles of employee experience management, which focuses on creating customized work experiences that enhance satisfaction and performance.

4. Future Research Directions

While this study provides valuable insights into research trends in employee well-being within HRM, several areas require further exploration. One key area is the long-term impact of remote and hybrid work on employee well-being. While remote work offers flexibility, it also raises concerns about employee isolation and reduced collaboration. Future research should investigate best practices for maintaining employee engagement and well-being in hybrid work environments. Another area for future research is the role of AI and automation in shaping workplace well-being. AI-driven HR systems can enhance efficiency and decision-making, but they also pose challenges related to job security and ethical data usage. Scholars should examine how organizations can integrate AI in ways that support, rather than undermine, employee well-being. Additionally, more cross-cultural studies are needed to understand how cultural differences influence employee well-being strategies. Research suggests that workplace well-being perceptions vary across regions, with factors such as societal norms, labor laws, and economic conditions playing a role. Comparative studies can provide insights into culturally adaptive HRM strategies that address diverse workforce needs. Finally, future research should focus on the economic impact of well-being initiatives. While many studies highlight the benefits of employee well-being programs, more empirical evidence is needed to quantify their return on investment (ROI). Understanding the financial implications of well-being interventions can help organizations make data-driven decisions about resource allocation.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the evolving research landscape of employee well-being within HRM. The findings underscore the growing recognition of well-being as a critical factor in organizational success, with leadership, digitalization, DEI, and sustainability emerging as key themes. While organizations are making strides in integrating well-being into HR practices, challenges remain in areas such as digital well-being, well-being measurement, and inclusive policies. To create healthier and more productive workplaces, HR professionals and researchers must continue exploring innovative strategies that align employee well-being with business objectives. By addressing these challenges and embracing evidence-based HRM practices, organizations can foster a work environment that enhances both employee satisfaction and overall performance.

REFERENCES

[1]   S. K. Singh, R. K. Pradhan, N. P. Panigrahy, and L. K. Jena, "Self-efficacy and workplace well-being: moderating role of sustainability practices," *Benchmarking An Int. J.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1692–1708, 2019.
[2]   I. Inceoglu, G. Thomas, C. Chu, D. Plans, and A. Gerbasi, "Leadership behavior and employee well-being: An integrated review and a future research agenda," *Leadersh. Q.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 179–202, 2018.
[3]   A. Mäkikangas, U. Kinnunen, T. Feldt, and W. Schaufeli, "The longitudinal development of employee well-being: A systematic review," *Work Stress*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 46–70, 2016.
[4]   K. Nielsen, M. B. Nielsen, C. Ogbonnaya, M. Känsälä, E. Saari, and K. Isaksson, "Workplace resources to improve both employee well-being and performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Work Stress*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 101–120, 2017.
[5]   T. A. Wright, "To be or not to be [happy]: The role of employee well-being," *Acad. Manag. Perspect.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 118–120, 2006.

[6]   D. E. Guest, "Human resource management and employee well-being: Towards a new analytic framework," *Hum. Resour. Manag. J.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 22–38, 2017.

[7]   A. B. Bakker, "Towards a multilevel approach of employee well-being," *Eur. J. Work Organ. Psychol.*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 839–843, 2015.

[8]   H. Huhtala and M. Parzefall, "A review of employee well-being and innovativeness: An opportunity for a mutual benefit," *Creat. Innov. Manag.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 299–306, 2007.

[9]   R. K. Pradhan and L. Hati, "The measurement of employee well-being: development and validation of a scale," *Glob. Bus. Rev.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 385–407, 2022.

[10]   A. B. Bakker and E. Demerouti, "The job demands-resources model: State of the art," *J. Manag. Psychol.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 309–328, 2007.

[11]   J. B. Avey, F. Luthans, R. M. Smith, and N. F. Palmer, "Impact of positive psychological capital on employee well-being over time.," *J. Occup. Health Psychol.*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 17, 2010.

[12]   R. M. Ryan and E. L. Deci, *Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness*. Guilford publications, 2017.

[13]   S. E. Hobfoll, "Conservation of resources: a new attempt at conceptualizing stress.," *Am. Psychol.*, vol. 44, no. 3, p. 513, 1989.

[14]   K. Van De Voorde, J. Paauwe, and M. Van Veldhoven, "Employee well-being and the HRM–organizational performance relationship: a review of quantitative studies," *Int. J. Manag. Rev.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 391–407, 2012.

[15]   D. J. Terry and N. L. Jimmieson, "Work control and employee well-being: A decade review.," 1999.

[16]   R. Ilies, K. M. Schwind, and D. Heller, "Employee well-being: A multilevel model linking work and nonwork domains," *Eur. J. Work Organ. Psychol.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 326–341, 2007.

[17]   S. G. Rogelberg, D. J. Leach, P. B. Warr, and J. L. Burnfield, "'Not another meeting!' Are meeting time demands related to employee well-being?," *J. Appl. Psychol.*, vol. 91, no. 1, p. 83, 2006.

[18]   M. R. Azizi, R. Atlasi, A. Ziapour, J. Abbas, and R. Naemi, "Innovative human resource management strategies during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic narrative review approach," *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. 6, 2021.

[19]   B.-K. Joo and I. Lee, "Workplace happiness: work engagement, career satisfaction, and subjective well-being," in *Evidence-based HRM: A global forum for empirical scholarship*, Emerald Publishing Limited, 2017, pp. 206–221.

[20]   E. Conway, N. Fu, K. Monks, K. Alfes, and C. Bailey, "Demands or resources? The relationship between HR practices, employee engagement, and emotional exhaustion within a hybrid model of employment relations," *Hum. Resour. Manage.*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 901–917, 2016.

[21]   L.-C. Huang, D. Ahlstrom, A. Y.-P. Lee, S.-Y. Chen, and M.-J. Hsieh, "High performance work systems, employee well-being, and job involvement: An empirical study," *Pers. Rev.*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 296–314, 2016.

[22]   T. Vuontisjärvi, "Corporate social reporting in the European context and human resource disclosures: An analysis of Finnish companies," *J. Bus. ethics*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 331–354, 2006.

[23]   M. Järlström, E. Saru, and S. Vanhala, "Sustainable human resource management with salience of stakeholders: A top management perspective," *J. Bus. Ethics*, vol. 152, pp. 703–724, 2018.

[24]   M. Zhang, C. J. Zhu, P. J. Dowling, and T. Bartram, "Exploring the effects of high-performance work systems (HPWS) on the work-related well-being of Chinese hospital employees," *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, vol. 24, no. 16, pp. 3196–3212, 2013.

[25]   V. Khoreva and H. Wechtler, "HR practices and employee performance: the mediating role of well-being," *Empl. Relations*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 227–243, 2018.

[26]   G. Hewagama, P. Boxall, G. Cheung, and A. Hutchison, "Service recovery through empowerment? HRM, employee performance and job satisfaction in hotels," *Int. J. Hosp. Manag.*, vol. 81, pp. 73–82, 2019.

[27]   X. Zheng, W. Zhu, H. Zhao, and C. H. I. Zhang, "Employee well-being in organizations: Theoretical model, scale development, and cross-cultural validation," *J. Organ. Behav.*, vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 621–644, 2015.