

Transformative Justice Through Social Engineering: Analyzing the Role of Legislation in Supporting the Rehabilitation of Drug Addicts to Become Productive Members of Society

Wredha Danang Widoyoko¹, Siti Ngaisah², Haryono³, Diah Ayu Rahmawati⁴, Ruchan Sanusi⁵

¹ Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya and danangfh@ubhara.ac.id

² Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya and ngaisah@ubhara.ac.id

³ Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya and haryono@ubhara.ac.id

⁴ Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya and diahayu@ubhara.ac.id

⁵ Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya and ruchan@ubhara.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The research investigates law's role in promoting transformative justice through social engineering, focusing on rehabilitation and reintegration processes of drug addicts into society. This study applies a normative-juridical approach to evaluate Indonesia's legal frameworks for their effectiveness in handling addiction as a public health issue. Comparative analyses with international models, such as the decriminalization in Portugal and harm reduction policies in the Netherlands, show what a change of heart in legal philosophy might achieve. The findings put forward the majors lacuna in the present legislation: undue punitive, lack of supportive infrastructure, and stigma among society. Recommendations offered by the study go on to include legislative reform for rehabilitation, supportive infrastructure development, public-private partnership for effective reintegration. This paper advocates for a compassionate justice system that embeds transformative justice principles into legal frameworks, promoting societal productivity and inclusivity.

Keywords: *Transformative Justice, Social Engineering, Drug Rehabilitation, Legal Frameworks*

1. INTRODUCTION

Drug addiction is a multilayered global issue, with its causes ranging from being merely criminal to complex issues of socio-economic vulnerabilities, psychological factors, and systemic healthcare deficiencies. Most traditional punitive approaches have completely failed to address the root causes of addiction, leading to cycles of crime and social exclusion. The socio-economic status correlates to a great extent with drug addiction, as usually, lower economic conditions lead to increased vulnerability to substance abuse [1]. The World Health Organization estimates that there are about 315 million people who have used illicit drugs in the past year, making it a highly prevalent issue [2]. Criminalizing drug use has not reduced the number of addicts but has resulted in multigenerational drug addiction [1]. The criminal justice system's stance on punishment rather than rehabilitation has caused further marginalization of such individuals rather than their integration into society [3]. The needed paradigm shift is one in which addiction is a problem at the level of society itself and, as such, tied to cultural and economic influences, rather than solely being an individual moral failing [3]. Effective treatment and rehabilitation strategies should be implemented alongside punitive measures in tackling substance abuse worldwide [4].

Transformative justice presents a paradigm shift in addressing drug addiction, moving away from punitive measures towards restoration and rehabilitation, emphasizing the importance of social engineering through legal frameworks and policies that facilitate pathways for recovery. This approach prioritizes rehabilitation over punishment, recognizing drug addicts as individuals needing support rather than solely as offenders [5]. Rehabilitation programs, as outlined in

Indonesian law, aim to provide structured opportunities for recovery, ensuring consistency in legal standards for drug abusers [5]. A comprehensive strategy that includes medical, social, and spiritual dimensions is essential for effective rehabilitation [6], while community involvement and support systems are crucial for fostering an environment conducive to recovery and reintegration [7]. Transformative justice also considers the broader social, political, and economic contexts that contribute to addiction, advocating for systemic changes to support recovery [8]. By focusing on the root causes of addiction, transformative justice aims to create sustainable solutions that benefit both individuals and society as a whole [9].

This paper aims to analyze the role of legislation in promoting transformative justice for drug addicts, specifically through the lens of social engineering. Using a normative juridical approach, the study examines how existing laws and policies support rehabilitation and societal reintegration. The paper will explore legislative mechanisms, highlight best practices, and identify gaps in current frameworks, offering recommendations for improvement. By aligning legal frameworks with social engineering strategies such as vocational training, education, and community support, this study advocates for a justice system that prioritizes human dignity and societal productivity.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 *Transformative Justice: Principles and Applications*

Transformative justice represents a progressive shift in addressing criminal behavior, particularly in the context of drug addiction, by prioritizing rehabilitation and societal reintegration over punishment, emphasizing the interconnectedness of individual circumstances and systemic factors to create holistic solutions benefiting victims, offenders, and the community. It addresses addiction as a public health issue, advocating for treatment and rehabilitation rather than incarceration [8], with studies showing that this approach significantly reduces recidivism rates among drug offenders by providing support systems to address underlying issues such as poverty and lack of education [10]. By considering social, political, and economic contexts, transformative justice fosters community healing and development, promoting peacebuilding initiatives [11] and encouraging a societal shift in perceiving drug addiction as a collective challenge rather than an individual failure [12]. Additionally, transformative education plays a crucial role in equipping individuals with critical thinking and social responsibility, essential for fostering a more equitable society (Perse, 2024), and empowering them to become advocates for change, further supporting the goals of transformative justice [13].

2.2 *Social Engineering: A Tool for Legislative Reform*

Social engineering, as articulated by Roscoe Pound, emphasizes the law's role in shaping societal behavior to enhance social welfare, particularly in addressing drug addiction, by advocating for legal frameworks that facilitate rehabilitation and societal reintegration, aligning with contemporary research supporting integrated interventions. Laws can establish clear routes for individuals seeking treatment, reducing barriers to access [14], while incentivizing community-based programs fosters a supportive environment for recovery [15]. Collaborations between legal systems and

healthcare providers further enhance the effectiveness of rehabilitation efforts [16]. Additionally, legal reforms can help shift public perceptions, reducing stigma and promoting acceptance of individuals recovering from addiction [17]. Implementing supportive policies that provide social support and employment opportunities for recovering individuals is crucial for their successful reintegration [15].

2.3 Legal Frameworks for Drug Rehabilitation

The integration of transformative justice and social engineering in addressing drug addiction is increasingly evident in various legal frameworks worldwide, with Portugal's decriminalization model serving as a notable example of a successful shift from punitive measures to a public health approach. This model prioritizes treatment and education over punishment, incorporating medical, social, and spiritual dimensions in rehabilitation, leading to significant reductions in drug-related deaths and recidivism [18], [19]. In contrast, Indonesia's Law No. 35 of 2009, while acknowledging rehabilitation, primarily emphasizes punitive measures, which limits the effectiveness of rehabilitation programs due to infrastructural and funding challenges [6], [20]. These contrasting approaches highlight the need for reform in Indonesia, advocating for a more integrated approach that combines legal and healthcare systems to address drug addiction more effectively. This gap underscores the need for legislative reforms that align with transformative justice principles.

3. METHODS

3.1 Research Design

The normative juridical methodology emphasizes more the analysis of legal norms, principles, and regulations for their conformance with the principles of transformative justice. In this regard, the study will analyze the extent to which the present legal framework supports efforts of rehabilitation and reintegration of drug addicts and point out lacunas that prevent proper implementation. This design allows for deeper understanding of the legal mechanisms underlying social engineering strategies.

The study adopts an integrated qualitative analysis of statutory laws, legal doctrines, and judicial decisions, with a comparative analysis of international best practices. The paper uses a theoretical approach whereby the philosophical grounds for transformative justice in treating drug addiction are discussed in relation to its application in practice.

3.2 Data Collection Methods

The sources of data in this study rely on secondary sources including legal texts, academic literature, and case studies. Primary law materials are basic statutes and regulations-Indonesia's Law No. 35 of 2009 on Narcotics-and judicial decisions that express transformative justice principles. Secondary materials include scholarly works, reports from organizations like UNODC and WHO regarding best practice in drug addiction, and legislative strategies to deal with drug addiction. Comparative legal studies consider the law in countries like Portugal and the Netherlands, among others, focusing on results related to decriminalization and public health models. Supporting materials include government reports, policy briefs, and statistical data on drug addiction and rehabilitation outcomes in Indonesia and other jurisdictions.

3.3 Data Analysis

Qualitative analysis of the gathered data was done through legal norm analysis, comparative analysis, theoretical integration, and gap analysis. Legal norm analysis involves

assessing the normative content of laws and regulations, analyzing their objectives, scope, and implementation mechanisms with regard to alignment with the principles of transformative justice. Comparative analysis means comparing best practices of countries which already have been successful in implementing transformative justice in the rehabilitation framework of drug-dependent persons with Indonesia's legal system to highlight gaps and potential reforms. Theoretical integration combines transformative justice and social engineering perspectives in exploring how legal frameworks may further support reintegration, by indicating where legislation can be improved. Gap analysis identifies discrepancies between intended and actual implementation of the laws to address barriers including stigma, resource limitations, and inconsistency in enforcement.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of the research are presented in the context of discussing the role of legislation concerning supporting transformative justice and rehabilitating drug addicts. Analysis of legal frameworks in the respective countries, the comparison conducted, and how such measures apply to the principles of transformative justice are presented.

4.1 Analysis of Existing Legal Frameworks in Indonesia

The legal approach to treating drug addiction in Indonesia is mainly provided under Law No. 35 of 2009 on Narcotics, which stipulates that punitive measures applied to drug-related crimes provide an opportunity for rehabilitation. The law perceives drug addicts as people who need to be treated rather than as criminals and provides for the duty to establish rehabilitation centers. It does stipulate that drug-dependent persons have to undergo medical and social rehabilitation under the articles, 54 and 127, which indicates a humanitarian system that perceives it as a health problem instead of a crime only. [21], [22] This law thus highlights that the treatment of addiction is better done through legal intervention and health approaches.

In practice, though, there are still discrepancies in implementation because of some obstacles that exist. In addition, a lack of coordination between law enforcement agencies leads to inconsistent enforcement of rehabilitation provisions, while many drug abusers continue to be sentenced to imprisonment instead of being rehabilitated, highlighting gaps between the letter of the law and actual practices [22], [23]. While rehabilitation centers, such as the Tanjung Pura Class IIB Detention Center, have proved effective in health restoration and the social reintegration of persons [24], the absence of adequate resources and inconsistent interpretations of the law stand in the way of their success [25]. This again underlines the importance of better coordination and proper resource allocation for the complete implementation of Indonesia's rehabilitation-based legal framework. While the law allows for rehabilitation, it often gives more prominence to punitive measures that have led to high incarceration rates among drug users.

The number of rehabilitation centers and trained professionals is inadequate to meet the demand.

Societal stigma against drug addicts limits the effectiveness of reintegration programs, as many rehabilitated individuals face discrimination.

4.2 Comparative Analysis of International Models

Portugal and the Netherlands have implemented innovative drug policies that prioritize public health over criminalization, and both have achieved remarkable improvements in drug-related outcomes.

The Portuguese decriminalization model, introduced in 2001, regards addiction as a health problem instead of a criminal offense and provides a multidisciplinary treatment by healthcare providers, social workers, and legal professionals [26]. Emphasizing treatment and social

reintegration, the model supports vocational training and social networks, facilitating reintegration into society while reducing stigma and promoting recovery [27]. Since its implementation, Portugal has achieved an 85% decrease in drug-related deaths and a notable decline in new HIV diagnoses [26], [28]. The Netherlands adopts a harm reduction model that combines legal tolerance with strategies like supervised consumption sites, which have been effective without causing a substantial increase in crime [26]. Extensive rehabilitation programs focus on minimizing harm while encouraging recovery, reflecting a strong commitment to public health [29], [30]. This approach has established the Netherlands as a leading example of balancing public health priorities with innovative legal strategies in addressing drug addiction.

4.3 Integration of Transformative Justice Principles

The findings indicate that the principles of transformative justice-addressing root causes, rehabilitation, and social reintegration-are not fully integrated into Indonesia's legal framework. Although partial achievements can be observed, there are still substantial gaps in the alignment of legislation with strategies of transformative justice and social engineering.

Discussion

Legislation provides the foundational tool for embedding transformative justice principles into drug rehabilitation programs. It shifts the justice system's perspective from punitive measures to addiction as a public health issue, which will go a long way in reducing recidivism and promoting reintegration into society. Current laws have to be oriented toward rehabilitation and not punishment and contain clear mandates to divert drug addicts from incarceration to treatment. Rehabilitation will also be effective if the legal, healthcare, and social support systems are integrated; incentivizing partnerships among the different sectors. Secondly, legislation should be able to address stigma reduction through awareness campaigns and anti-discrimination laws for a supportive environment in reintegration. This view is supported by lessons learned from the Portuguese and Dutch models that decriminalization, accompanied by compulsorily undertaken therapy and community-based rehabilitation approaches, pays off, as does an integrative legal framework addressing the root causes of addiction, such as poverty and unemployment.

Notwithstanding these promising strategies, the introduction and practice of transformative justice in Indonesia face various challenges: lack of resources, incomplete and fragmented legal provisions, cultural resistance against the change of paradigms from punitive to rehabilitative. Social engineering does, however, provide an avenue of escape in making use of legislation to impact and transform society and its outcomes. For instance, it can be legislated that in rehabilitation processes, vocational and educational training is made available to prepare the offender for resettlement. They can also incentivize the building of community support networks as well as public-private partnerships between the government, the private sector, and NGOs in increasing the opportunities for rehabilitation and reintegration. These strategies not only improve rehabilitation outcomes but also play a role in the wider societal transformation in the fight against drug addiction.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and discussion, the following recommendations are made:

1. Amend the existing laws to give more emphasis on rehabilitation rather than punitive measures.
2. De-criminalize drug possession for personal use and divert the offenders for treatment.
3. More funds need to be allocated for rehabilitation centers and training for professionals involved in the rehabilitation programs.
4. Legislate the requirement for anti-stigma campaigns that will help in embracing and supporting individuals upon rehabilitation.

5. Legal, health, and social systems should collaborate and initiate a comprehensive framework for rehabilitation and reintegration.

CONCLUSION

This study underlines the crucial role that legislative frameworks play in the advancement of transformative justice and the rehabilitation and reintegration of drug addicts. Indonesia's legal system provides a starting point for dealing with addiction through rehabilitation, but it is essentially punitive, with huge gaps in infrastructure, societal support, and stigma reduction. Comparative models, such as the decriminalization approach adopted by Portugal and harm reduction strategies adopted by the Netherlands, have shown how legislation aligned to the principles of transformative justice can work.

It calls for a policy shift from punitive measures to rehabilitation-focused policies, increased investment in infrastructure, and integrating community-based support systems. Public awareness campaigns and legislative reforms should go hand in hand to remove stigma and build acceptance of rehabilitated persons into society. With Indonesia embracing a holistic and humane approach to justice, there can be born a justice system that transforms lives, reduces recidivism, and ensures social reintegration toward a more inclusive and productive society.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Hussain, "Addiction: A Global Epidemic's Possible Catalysts and Cures," 2014.
- [2] P. N. Landless and D. C. McBride, "Substance Abuse: A Major Global Health Issue," *J. Advent. Educ.*, 2013.
- [3] B. K. Alexander, "Addiction: The urgent need for a paradigm shift," *Subst. Use Misuse*, vol. 47, no. 13–14, pp. 1475–1482, 2012.
- [4] L.-T. Wu, "Substance abuse and rehabilitation: responding to the global burden of diseases attributable to substance abuse," *Subst. Abuse Rehabil.*, pp. 5–11, 2010.
- [5] H. Wulandari, H. Bakhtiar, and B. Harefa, "Reformulation of the Application of Restorative Justice towards Narcotics Abusers Through," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Hum. Res.*, vol. 07, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.47191/ijsshr/v7-i11-29.
- [6] A. Hambali, N. Mappaselleng, and S. Wata, "Law Enforcement Against Drug Abusers Through Medical Rehabilitation and Social Rehabilitation," *Int. J. Relig.*, vol. 5, pp. 5226–5240, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.61707/ravxc229.
- [7] M. J. H. Hermawan and C. D. Wulansari, "Sociological Analysis Of Restorative Justice In Rehabilitative Law Enforcement For Drug Abuse Cases," *Ius Poenale*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2024.
- [8] L. Hakim and D. E. Purwoleksono, "Urgensi Transformative Justice dalam Penanganan Perkara Anak Sebagai Upaya Pembaharuan Hukum Indonesia," *J. Supremasi*, pp. 85–98, 2024.
- [9] L. Sharpless *et al.*, "Moving towards transformative justice for black women survivors of intimate partner violence: an intersectional qualitative study," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2024.
- [10] H. Jebeli-Bakht-Ara and J. Octavian-Dragomir, "Transformative Education: Economic and Political Implications of the Conflicting Dynamics within Traditional Schooling," in *PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ECONOMICS AND SOCIAL SCIENCES*, Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania, 2024, pp. 370–379.
- [11] T. Chidhawu, "A turning-point for transitional justice? Political violence in Zimbabwe, and transformative justice as a way forward," *Law, Democr. Dev.*, vol. 28, pp. 129–151, 2024.
- [12] R. J. P. Perse, "A Review on Transformative Education as a Door toward New Learning Language Opportunities in Societal Development," 2024.
- [13] A. Di Giulio and R. Defila, "126. Transformative research," in *Elgar Encyclopedia of Interdisciplinarity and Transdisciplinarity*, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2024, pp. 575–579.
- [14] H. Purnama and I. Iskandar, "A Critical Analysis of Social Engineering Theory in the Context of Legal Development in Indonesia," *ULIL ALBAB J. Ilm. Multidisiplin*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 54–67, 2024.
- [15] A.-M. Kennedy and A. Parsons, "Social engineering and social marketing: why is one 'good' and the other 'bad'?", *J. Soc. Mark.*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 198–209, 2014.
- [16] H. Matnuh, "Law as a tool of social engineering," in *1st International Conference on Social Sciences Education- "Multicultural Transformation in Education, Social Sciences and Wetland Environment" (ICSSE 2017)*, Atlantis Press, 2017, pp. 118–120.
- [17] M. B. Примуш and B. B. Кривошеїн, "Соціоінженерний потенціал соціології соціальних проблем," *Політичне життя*, pp. 32–37, 2021.
- [18] J. F. Anderson, K. Reinsmith-Jones, W. M. Brooks Jr, and A. H. Langsam, "Paradigm shift in responding to drug users and addicts: from a criminal justice to a public health approach," *Int'l J. Soc. Sci. Stud.*, vol. 5, p. 1, 2017.

- [19] R. K. Chandler, B. W. Fletcher, and N. D. Volkow, "Treating drug abuse and addiction in the criminal justice system: Improving public health and safety," *Jama*, vol. 301, no. 2, pp. 183–190, 2009, doi: 10.1001/jama.2008.976.
- [20] K. Sapp, "Unveiling the Chains: Navigating the Path from Injustice to Transformation," in *Revolutionizing Justice in the Pipeline Era: Breaking the Chains*, Springer, 2024, pp. 1–3.
- [21] A. A. Pratama, "Kewajiban Rehabilitasi bagi Pecandu Narkotika berdasarkan Putusan Hakim," *J. Hukum, Polit. Dan Ilmu Sos.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–28, 2022.
- [22] D. Muslim, N. Darwis, and S. Sudarto, "Legal Study Analysis of Criminal Law Policy in the Implementation of Integrated Assessment for Drug Abusers based on Law Number 35 of 2009 Concerning Narcotics," 2024.
- [23] T. Y. Chandra, J. Sriwidodo, and M. S. Tumanggor, "Rehabilitation of Narcotic Addictives: An Overview of Implementation and The Effort by Restorative Justice," *KRTHA BHAYANGKARA*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 465–480, 2023.
- [24] J. Sembiring and Y. M. Saragih, "Legal Analysis of the Provision of Rehabilitation to Narcotics Abuse in Tanjung Pura Class IIB Detention Center," vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 124–135, 2024.
- [25] M. Munir, R. Riswadi, and E. I. Israhadi, "Legal Position Between Narcotics Addicts and Narcotics Abusers in the Perspective of Law Number 35 of 2009 Concerning Narcotics," *J. Indones. Sos. Sains*, vol. 4, no. 05, pp. 474–481, 2023.
- [26] T. Lancet, "Drug decriminalisation: grounding policy in evidence," *Lancet (London, England)*, vol. 402, no. 10416, p. 1941, 2023.
- [27] A. Finucane and J. M. Stritch, "Connecting the Person by Removing the Stigma: Why Ireland Should Follow the Portuguese Model of Drug Decriminalisation," *South East. Eur. J. Public Heal.*, 2023.
- [28] M. M. Vieira and A. R. Faria, "Portugal and It's Drugs Policy - What Changed?," *BJPsych Open*, vol. 8, no. Suppl 1, p. S121, Jun. 2022. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.359.
- [29] J. P. Bratberg, A. Simmons, V. Arya, A. Bhatia, and S. P. Vakharia, "Support, don't punish: Drug decriminalization is harm reduction," *J. Am. Pharm. Assoc.*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 224–229, 2023.
- [30] C. Moury and M. Escada, "Understanding successful policy innovation: The case of Portuguese drug policy," *Addiction*, vol. 118, no. 5, pp. 967–978, 2023.