West Science Journal Economic and Entrepreneurship
Vol. 3, No. 01, February 2025, pp. 146~154

The Effect of Government Subsidies, Infrastructure Development, and Fiscal Decentralization on Poverty Reduction in Rural Indonesia

Haryono
Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya

Article Info

Article history:

Received February, 2025
Revised February, 2025
Accepted February, 2025

Keywords:

Government subsidies,
Infrastructure development,
Fiscal decentralization,
Poverty reduction

ABSTRACT

This study examines the effect of government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization on poverty reduction in rural Indonesia through a normative juridical analysis. The analysis highlights the role of legal frameworks in shaping these interventions and identifies the strengths and weaknesses of existing policies. Government subsidies, such as the Program Keluarga Harapan (PKH) and Raskin, have proven beneficial in providing direct financial support to vulnerable populations, but face challenges related to targeting, distribution, and corruption. Infrastructure development has improved access to markets and essential services, yet legal barriers such as land acquisition disputes and funding limitations hinder its full potential. Fiscal decentralization, while empowering local governments, suffers from revenue disparities and weak local governance structures that undermine its effectiveness. The study concludes that legal and institutional reforms are necessary to enhance the efficiency and reach of these poverty reduction measures, ensuring that resources are effectively allocated to rural areas in need.

Corresponding Author:

Name: Haryono
Institution: Universitas Bhayangkara Surabaya
e-mail: haryono@ubhara.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Poverty in rural Indonesia is a persistent issue exacerbated by various socio-economic factors, despite government interventions. The complexities of poverty in these areas stem from inadequate infrastructure, limited access to education and healthcare, and high unemployment rates. Low educational attainment significantly contributes to poverty, as it limits employment opportunities and productivity [1], [2]. Poor health outcomes are linked to poverty, with inadequate access to healthcare services exacerbating the situation [1], [3]. Additionally, high unemployment rates in rural areas hinder economic growth and perpetuate poverty [1], [4]. Despite substantial investments, many government programs have failed to reduce poverty effectively, particularly in regions like Central Kalimantan [5]. To address these challenges, policies must be adapted to local conditions, considering both natural resources and socio-economic contexts to be effective.

The Indonesian government's strategies to reduce rural poverty encompass government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization, all supported by legal frameworks, aiming to alleviate financial burdens, stimulate economic growth, and empower local governance. Direct cash transfers have been implemented to alleviate immediate financial pressures on poor households, enhancing their purchasing power and access to essential goods [6]. The Village Fund Program, initiated in 2015, has shown significant impact in reducing poverty, with funds allocated based on community needs, thus fostering local economic activities [7], [8]. Improvements in transportation, energy, and communication infrastructure are crucial for connecting rural areas to markets, facilitating trade, and enhancing economic opportunities [8]. Additionally, enhanced access to public services through infrastructure investments has been linked to reduced poverty levels and improved living conditions [9]. Fiscal decentralization allows local governments to manage resources effectively, leading to tailored poverty alleviation strategies that address specific regional needs [6]. Studies indicate that regions with stronger fiscal capacities experience more significant poverty reduction, emphasizing the importance of local governance in development efforts [6], [9].

However, the effectiveness of these strategies in reducing poverty is contingent upon the legal and institutional structures that govern their implementation. The interplay between government policies, legal frameworks, and local capacities in rural Indonesia presents both opportunities and challenges for poverty alleviation. The existing laws and regulations surrounding government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization need to be evaluated in the context of their actual impact on poverty reduction in rural communities.

This study aims to explore the effect of government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization on poverty reduction in rural Indonesia, through a normative juridical analysis. The study will critically examine the legal and policy frameworks that underpin these interventions and assess their effectiveness in addressing poverty in rural areas. By analyzing the existing laws and policies, this research seeks to identify the strengths and weaknesses of the current approaches and provide recommendations for improving the legal instruments and governance mechanisms that contribute to poverty alleviation in rural Indonesia.

The urgency of addressing poverty in rural Indonesia cannot be overstated, as rural poverty remains deeply entrenched despite decades of government intervention. Recent reports indicate that rural poverty rates are consistently higher than those in urban areas, reflecting persistent structural challenges such as inadequate access to healthcare, education, infrastructure, and economic opportunities [10]. Additionally, the ongoing impact of climate change, natural disasters, and global economic shifts further deepens the vulnerability of rural populations, disrupting livelihoods and exacerbating poverty [5]. Poor management of natural resources has also contributed to increased poverty, particularly in regions like Central Kalimantan [5]. While the Indonesian government has introduced various measures, including subsidies, infrastructure projects, and decentralization policies, these efforts have not always yielded the desired results. The lack of job opportunities and low wages in rural areas perpetuate economic hardship [4]. Moreover, the effectiveness of government initiatives has been inconsistent, necessitating a critical review of existing policies to ensure they are tailored to the specific challenges faced by rural communities [11]. Coordinated efforts among the government, private sectors, and civil society are essential for developing grassroots economies and improving living conditions [12]. Without a focused and targeted legal analysis of current policies, the risk of perpetuating ineffective or misdirected interventions remains high, hindering real progress in poverty alleviation.

This research offers a novel approach by integrating a normative juridical analysis with an exploration of the practical effects of government policies on rural poverty reduction in Indonesia. While much of the existing literature examines these interventions from economic or political perspectives, few studies have specifically analyzed the legal frameworks that govern these policies and their implications for poverty alleviation. By focusing on the legal aspects of government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization, this study fills a critical gap in understanding how Indonesia's laws and regulations shape the implementation and effectiveness of poverty reduction programs in rural areas. The novelty lies in the combined examination of these three crucial factors through a juridical lens, offering a new perspective on how law and governance intersect with development efforts in rural Indonesia. This study will contribute to the growing body of knowledge on legal dimensions of poverty reduction and provide valuable insights for future policy improvements.

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization on poverty reduction in rural Indonesia through a normative juridical analysis. Specifically, the study aims to:

1) Assess the legal frameworks governing government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization in rural Indonesia, identifying the strengths and weaknesses of these policies.
2) Examine the effectiveness of these legal and policy frameworks in addressing the root causes of poverty in rural areas, focusing on the legal tools available to implement poverty alleviation strategies.
3) Identify the barriers and challenges in the implementation of these policies, including gaps in legal enforcement, regulatory inconsistencies, and local governance issues that hinder the success of poverty reduction programs.
4) Provide recommendations for improving the legal and institutional frameworks to enhance the effectiveness of poverty reduction strategies in rural areas, ensuring that policies are better aligned with the needs of rural communities.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Government Subsidies and Poverty Reduction

Government subsidies, particularly in rural Indonesia, are pivotal in alleviating poverty by providing direct financial support to vulnerable households. Programs like the Rice for the Poor Program (Raskin) and the Family Hope Program (PKH) aim to address immediate needs while fostering long-term improvements in living standards. Raskin provides subsidized rice to low-income families, improving food security, while PKH offers cash transfers conditional on health and education, promoting welfare and human capital development [13]. Additionally, the Non-Cash Food Assistance (BPNT) program aims to stimulate local economies by providing food support [14]. However, the effectiveness of these subsidies is contingent upon robust legal and institutional frameworks that ensure transparency and equitable distribution. Challenges in implementation include bureaucratic inefficiencies, as corruption and poor policy enforcement dilute the impact of subsidies (Salsabila et al., 2024). Data inaccuracy further hinders effective distribution, as misidentification of beneficiaries leads to ineffective targeting [13]. Moreover, successful programs require active participation and monitoring by local communities to enhance accountability and effectiveness [15].

2.2. Infrastructure Development and Poverty Reduction

Infrastructure development is crucial for poverty reduction, particularly in rural areas where deficiencies in basic services hinder economic growth and access to essential services. Recognizing this need, the Indonesian government has committed to significant infrastructure projects under national plans. Infrastructure investments, such as roads and electricity, enhance market access and stimulate local economies, leading to increased agricultural productivity and job creation [16]. Studies indicate that rural infrastructure investment (RII) positively affects income, with production infrastructure yielding greater benefits than living infrastructure [17]. Effective infrastructure planning requires active community participation and transparency to ensure that projects meet local needs [18]. Engaging communities in the planning process can optimize resource use and enhance project outcomes, fostering a sense of ownership and accountability. Additionally, legal frameworks governing land acquisition and environmental protection are essential for the successful implementation of infrastructure projects [19]. A well-structured regulatory environment can facilitate public-private partnerships, ensuring that benefits reach the intended rural populations.

2.3. Fiscal Decentralization and Poverty Reduction

Fiscal decentralization in Indonesia, initiated by Law No. 22/1999, aims to empower local governments to address community needs effectively by enabling them to allocate resources more efficiently and implement targeted programs. However, the success of this initiative depends on legal frameworks, local government capacity, and political commitment. Effective revenue-sharing and local tax collection are crucial for local governments to function autonomously, while legal provisions must ensure equitable distribution of resources to prevent disparities between regions [20]. Local governments with strong financial management and governance performance are better positioned to enhance regional autonomy, making capacity-building initiatives essential to address human resource gaps and improve service delivery [20], [21]. Additionally, political commitment to prioritize poverty alleviation plays a vital role in the success of fiscal decentralization [22]. Engaging with communities to tailor programs that meet specific local needs further strengthens the impact of decentralization efforts [23].

2.4. Theoretical Framework: Legal and Policy Dimensions

The normative juridical perspective emphasizes the critical role of legal frameworks in shaping effective poverty reduction strategies, highlighting that the success of such policies depends on their design, enforcement, and alignment with human rights standards. In Indonesia, the interplay between national and local legal frameworks significantly influences poverty alleviation efforts, necessitating a thorough evaluation of legal dimensions related to subsidies, infrastructure, and fiscal decentralization. Legal norms must promote accountability and protect the rights of vulnerable populations, as highlighted by Arimoro's analysis of the role of law in poverty eradication [24]. Effective poverty alleviation requires precise legal guidelines to ensure targeted assistance, as discussed by [25]. Additionally, the rule of law is essential for equitable opportunities, with Khanam emphasizing its role in addressing systemic inequalities that perpetuate poverty [26]. Legal empowerment and transparency are crucial for fostering public participation and accountability in poverty alleviation programs [26]. However, despite the potential of legal frameworks, barriers such as inadequate access to justice can hinder poverty reduction efforts, as noted by Lukoji [27]. Moreover, the effectiveness of legal measures is often undermined by political and institutional challenges, necessitating a comprehensive approach to governance and law enforcement.

2.5. Gaps in the Literature

While numerous studies have addressed the relationship between government subsidies, infrastructure development, fiscal decentralization, and poverty reduction, few have focused on a comprehensive legal analysis of these factors in the context of rural Indonesia. Existing literature tends to examine these issues from economic, political, or developmental perspectives, often neglecting the role of legal frameworks in shaping the effectiveness of poverty reduction programs. This gap in the literature underscores the need for a normative juridical analysis that evaluates how Indonesia's laws and regulations govern and influence these interventions, particularly in rural contexts.

Furthermore, while studies have acknowledged the potential of fiscal decentralization and infrastructure development to reduce poverty, there is limited research on how legal barriers, such as land rights and local governance structures, impact the implementation of these policies. This research aims to fill this gap by providing a detailed legal analysis of the frameworks that underpin government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization, offering a deeper understanding of their effectiveness in reducing poverty in rural Indonesia.

3. METHODS

3.1. Research Design

The study follows a qualitative research design using a normative juridical approach, which is particularly suitable for understanding the legal dimensions of poverty reduction strategies. The focus is on evaluating how Indonesia's legal frameworks, such as laws on government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization, affect the implementation and effectiveness of poverty alleviation efforts in rural areas. This design allows for a comprehensive exploration of the legal texts, policies, and institutional mechanisms in place.

The study is also descriptive in nature, aiming to provide a detailed understanding of the legal and policy landscape in Indonesia related to rural poverty reduction. By identifying gaps, inconsistencies, and areas for improvement in the legal structures, the research contributes to the body of knowledge on the role of law in poverty alleviation.

3.2. Data Collection

This study utilizes secondary data from Indonesia's legal and policy frameworks, including laws on poverty reduction (e.g., Law No. 13/2011, PKH, and Raskin), infrastructure development (e.g., Law No. 38/2004 and Law No. 17/2007), and fiscal decentralization (e.g., Law No. 22/1999 and Law No. 33/2004). Additional sources include government reports such as RPJMN, Ministry of Public Works annual reports, BPS poverty statistics, and Ministry of Finance documents on fiscal decentralization. Insights will also be drawn from scholarly articles, books, and international reports (e.g., World Bank, ADB, and UNDP). The analysis focuses on legal texts, institutional practices, and policy implementations to understand their impact on rural poverty reduction in Indonesia.

3.3. Data Analysis

The study uses a normative juridical methodology, beginning with a textual analysis of legal provisions on subsidies, infrastructure, and fiscal decentralization to assess their alignment with rural poverty reduction goals. A comparative analysis with frameworks from countries like India and the Philippines identifies best practices, while policy evaluation examines implementation challenges such as inefficiency, corruption, and legal inconsistencies. The study concludes by identifying gaps in existing frameworks and proposing recommendations to enhance the effectiveness of legal and policy measures for rural poverty reduction in Indonesia.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Government Subsidies and Poverty Reduction

Government subsidies, particularly those targeting low-income households in rural areas, play a crucial role in poverty alleviation. The study found that the legal frameworks governing subsidies, such as the Program Keluarga Harapan (PKH) and the Raskin (Rice for the Poor) program, have been pivotal in providing direct financial support to disadvantaged families. The regulations surrounding these subsidies, as outlined in Law No. 13/2011 on Poverty Reduction and related provisions, emphasize the importance of targeting the most vulnerable groups.

However, the study also found significant gaps in the legal implementation and enforcement of these subsidy programs. While the regulations on subsidies are comprehensive, the mechanisms for ensuring the efficient distribution of subsidies to rural populations often face challenges, including:

1) 1Bureaucratic inefficiency: Administrative delays and inefficiencies at the local level prevent timely disbursement of subsidies. This is particularly problematic in remote areas where local government agencies may lack the capacity or resources to implement poverty reduction programs effectively.
2) 2Corruption and misallocation: There have been reports of corruption and the misallocation of subsidy funds, especially in rural areas where oversight is limited. These issues undermine the intended impact of subsidies and prevent resources from reaching the neediest families.
3) Inadequate coverage: While subsidy programs are well-intended, they often fail to cover all those in need. The eligibility criteria for subsidies are rigid and sometimes exclude certain vulnerable populations, such as informal sector workers, indigenous groups, or people living in geographically isolated areas.

The study concludes that while government subsidies have a positive effect on poverty reduction in rural areas, improvements in the legal and administrative frameworks are necessary to ensure better targeting, distribution, and oversight of these programs.

4.2. Infrastructure Development and Its Legal Impact on Poverty Alleviation

Infrastructure development is a key component of poverty reduction in rural areas, as it provides essential services such as access to clean water, roads, electricity, and communication networks. The legal framework governing infrastructure development, including Law No. 38/2004 on Road and Law No. 17/2007 on the National Long-Term Development Plan, emphasizes the need for infrastructure to foster equitable development across all regions.

The study found that infrastructure development has been instrumental in improving the livelihoods of rural communities by enhancing access to markets, education, and healthcare. For example:

1) Improved access to markets: Rural infrastructure development, such as the construction of rural roads, has facilitated easier access to markets, allowing farmers to sell their produce at higher prices and reduce transportation costs. This has led to increased incomes and economic opportunities for rural households.
2) Better access to education and healthcare: The development of rural infrastructure, including roads and electricity, has improved access to educational and healthcare services, thereby increasing human capital development in rural areas.

Despite these positive outcomes, the study found several legal and institutional challenges that hinder the effectiveness of infrastructure development in rural poverty reduction:

1) Legal and regulatory barriers: Although there are laws aimed at promoting infrastructure development, issues such as land acquisition disputes, delays in project implementation, and inadequate coordination between national and local governments often delay infrastructure projects. Law No. 2/2012 on Land Acquisition for Public Interest is particularly relevant, as it outlines the legal framework for land acquisition for infrastructure projects, but the process can be lengthy and contentious, especially in rural areas

where land ownership is often informal.

2) 2Funding limitations: Legal frameworks on infrastructure funding, including the allocation of funds through the APBN (National Budget) and APBD (Regional Budget), often face constraints. Inadequate budgetary allocations for rural infrastructure projects mean that many essential projects remain unfunded or incomplete, which delays the positive impacts of infrastructure on poverty reduction.
3) Environmental and social concerns: Legal provisions for environmental sustainability and social justice in infrastructure development are sometimes insufficiently enforced, leading to negative environmental impacts, displacement of local communities, and the marginalization of indigenous populations. Law No. 32/2009 on Environmental Protection and Management requires the environmental impact assessment (EIA) for major infrastructure projects, but implementation remains weak in rural areas, where enforcement is often lacking.

In conclusion, infrastructure development has a significant positive effect on poverty alleviation in rural Indonesia, but legal reforms are necessary to address regulatory barriers, improve funding mechanisms, and ensure social and environmental sustainability.

4.3. Fiscal Decentralization and Its Impact on Poverty Reduction

Fiscal decentralization refers to the transfer of fiscal responsibilities and resources from central to local governments, aiming to improve the delivery of public services, including poverty reduction efforts. By allowing local governments to tailor interventions to the specific needs of their communities, decentralization is expected to enhance efficiency and responsiveness. In Indonesia, the legal foundation for fiscal decentralization is established through Law No. 22/1999 on Regional Autonomy and Law No. 33/2004 on Fiscal Balance, which regulate the distribution of fiscal authority and resources between central and local governments. However, the impact of fiscal decentralization on poverty reduction in rural areas has been mixed, influenced by both its positive effects and inherent challenges.

On the positive side, fiscal decentralization has empowered local governments to design policies that directly address community needs. Local leaders, being more familiar with the socioeconomic challenges of their regions, can allocate resources more effectively to poverty reduction initiatives such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure development [28]. Additionally, the transfer of fiscal authority has led to increased local spending on social programs, enhancing the effectiveness of poverty alleviation efforts tailored to local contexts [29]. In some cases, this has resulted in tangible improvements in poverty indicators, particularly where local governments have the capacity and political commitment to implement well-targeted interventions.

Despite these benefits, several challenges hinder the full potential of fiscal decentralization. Revenue disparities between regions remain a significant issue, as wealthier urban areas generate more fiscal resources, while poorer rural areas struggle with limited revenue, exacerbating inequalities in service delivery [30]. Furthermore, weak local governance, characterized by inefficient management, corruption, and limited administrative capacity, undermines the effectiveness of poverty reduction programs [31]. The existing legal framework, including Law No. 33/2004, does not fully address issues related to revenue-sharing and fiscal equalization, leading to coordination difficulties between central and local governments. While fiscal decentralization has contributed to poverty reduction in some areas, overcoming these structural and governance-related obstacles is essential for ensuring more equitable and effective poverty alleviation across Indonesia.

4.4. Discussion

The findings indicate that government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization have positively impacted poverty reduction in rural Indonesia, but these efforts are hindered by legal, administrative, and governance challenges. Strengthening the legal and institutional framework for subsidies is critical, with reforms aimed at improving targeting, disbursement, and oversight mechanisms. Enhancing transparency, reducing corruption, and ensuring subsidies reach the most vulnerable populations are essential steps for increasing their effectiveness.

Furthermore, legal reforms for infrastructure development are needed to streamline land acquisition, improve intergovernmental coordination, and bolster funding mechanisms for rural projects. Environmental and social safeguards must be strengthened to mitigate adverse impacts on local communities. Fiscal decentralization reforms should prioritize equitable resource distribution, enhance local governance capacity, and improve central-local government collaboration, particularly in rural areas with limited revenue-generating capacity. These measures are vital for addressing systemic barriers and ensuring sustainable poverty reduction.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study reveals that government subsidies, infrastructure development, and fiscal decentralization each play an important role in poverty reduction in rural Indonesia. Despite the positive contributions of these interventions, there are substantial challenges in their implementation, stemming from legal, institutional, and administrative constraints. The government subsidies program has the potential to alleviate poverty, but inefficiencies and corruption remain barriers to its success. Infrastructure development, crucial for improving livelihoods in rural areas, faces delays due to bureaucratic hurdles and inadequate funding. Similarly, fiscal decentralization, while promoting local empowerment, is hindered by revenue imbalances and weak local governance structures. Therefore, legal and policy reforms are essential to enhance the effectiveness of these poverty reduction efforts. Strengthening legal frameworks, improving the capacity of local governments, and ensuring better resource distribution are key recommendations for improving the outcomes of these programs in rural Indonesia.

REFERENCES

[1] E. D. Aryanti and A. S. Sukardi, "Pengangguran, pendidikan, kesehatan, dan ketimpangan pendapatan terhadap kemiskinan di Indonesia," *J. Econ. Res. Policy Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 117–133, 2024.

[2] U. Qulsum, J. Anggraini, and E. Putri, "ANALYSIS OF POVERTY AS A MAIN PROBLEM OF THE INDONESIAN ECONOMY AND ITS OVERCOME," *Perspekt. J. Soc. Libr. Sci.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 60–66, 2024.

[3] M. Septya, N. Kamarni, and D. Devianto, "A Portrait of Multidimensional Poverty of Indonesian Agricultural Households," *Daengku J. Humanit. Soc. Sci. Innov.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 638–646, 2024.

[4] M. I. Fadilla and D. Hariyanti, "Kontribusi faktor sosioekonomi pada kemiskinan di Pulau Jawa," *J. Econ. Res. Policy Stud.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 159–172, 2024.

[5] Suprianto, "Increased Poverty : The Failure of Government Programs and the Natural Resource Factor," pp. 100–114, 2024, doi: 10.18502/kss.v9i27.17096.

[6] R. M. Anggraeni, M. Khusaini, and F. Prasetyia, "Fiscal Decentralization and its Effect on Poverty Alleviation: Case Study of Indonesia," *Bull. Islam. Econ.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 35–48, 2023.

[7] A. Azhari, M. Yusuf, K. Kamaruddin, and F. Fadly, "Are village fund and village-owned enterprises crucial in eradicating poverty in Indonesia," *J. Ekon. dan Bisnis Jagaditha*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 132–138, 2022.

[8] E. Ernawati, T. Tajuddin, and S. Nur, "Does government expenditure affect regional inclusive growth? An experience of implementing village fund policy in Indonesia," *Economies*, vol. 9, no. 4, p. 164, 2021.

[9] F. Putra, "Village development initiative as an alternative strategy of rural poverty reduction: An evaluation of village fund program in Indonesia," *Int. J. Soc. Sci. Hum. Res.*, vol. 5, no. 04, p. 14531460, 2022.

[10] R. Aulia, N. P. Salamah, M. S. Batlayangin, and S. Hajar, "Comparative Analysis of The Percentage of Poor People in

Indonesia Between Urban and Rural Areas in 2023," *J. Multidisiplin West Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 07, pp. 936–943, 2024, doi: 10.58812/jmws.v3i07.1311.
[11] N. M. A. Kusumadewi, M. Hariz, and M. Yasin, "Analisis Penyebab, Konsekuensi dan Solusi Potret Kemiskinan di Indonesia Pasca Era Reformasi," *Trending J. Manaj. dan Ekon.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 27–37, 2024.
[12] S. Swasthaisong, P. Saenchat, D. L. Romyen, N. Thanaraj, N. Muanglen, and P. Sawasthaisong, "Rural People's Poverty: Living Conditions, Needs, Causal Factors and Strategic Management," *Needs, Causal Factors Strateg. Manag.*.
[13] N. Salsabila, N. Muna, V. H. Pradana, and W. F. Nurcahya, "Analisis Efektivitas Bantuan Sosial (Bansos) dalam mengatasi Kemiskinan di Indonesia," *J. Macroecon. Soc. Dev.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 1–13, 2024.
[14] M. Hasrimi, A. Purwoko, and S. N. Lubis, "Enhancing Poor Community Welfare Through Evidence-Based Poverty Alleviation Programs in Serdang Bedagai Regency, North Sumatra, Indonesia," *J. Law Sustain. Dev.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. e2784–e2784, 2024.
[15] S. Awalia and S. A. Sumayya, "Analisis Efektivitas Program Bantuan Langsung Tunai dalam Mengurangi Kemiskinan di Pedesaan," *JEKP (Jurnal Ekon. dan Keuang. Publik)*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 156–175, 2024.
[16] Z. Ibrahimov, S. Hajiyeva, I. Seyfullayev, U. Mehdiyev, and Z. Aliyeva, "The impact of infrastructure investments on the country's economic growth," *Probl. Perspect. Manag.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 415–425, 2023, doi: 10.21511/ppm.21(2).2023.39.
[17] S. Yuan and X. Wang, "Increase or Reduce: How Does Rural Infrastructure Investment Affect Villagers' Income?," *Agriculture*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 1–21, 2024.
[18] M. A. Ainurrohman and L. Mursyidah, "Overcoming Barriers in Infrastructure Development Planning and Community Involvement," *Indones. J. Cult. Community Dev.*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 10–21070, 2024.
[19] M. S. Siatan, S. Gustiyana, and S. Nurfitriani, "Infrastructure Development and Regional Disparities," *KnE Soc. Sci.*, pp. 799–806, 2024.
[20] Y. Reba, "Decentralization of Power: Opportunities and Challenges for Regions," *Glob. Int. J. Innov. Res.*, vol. 2, pp. 2464–2476, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.59613/global.v2i10.344.
[21] M. Fachri, A. C. Furqan, and A. A. M. Tanra, "The Role of Financial and Non-financial Performance of Local Governments in Enhancing Regional Autonomy in Indonesia," *KnE Soc. Sci.*, pp. 434–447, 2024.
[22] O. Mendy, "Regional Autonomy in Indonesia after the Second Constitutional Amendment: Assessing its Developmental Delivery," *Constitutionale*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 45–60, 2024.
[23] C. Sukmadilaga, M. A. A. Pramanda, W. Yadiati, and D. Yunita, "Factors that affect a better fiscally autonomous government: Evidence from an Indonesian newly autonomous region," *Edelweiss Appl. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 345–357, 2024.
[24] A. E. Arimoro, "Beyond Economics! The (Evolving) Role of Law in the Eradication of Extreme Poverty," *J. Hum. Rights Soc. Work*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 192–204, 2023.
[25] L. Xu, "How Can the Rule of Law Help Targeted Poverty Alleviation," *J. Educ. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 3, pp. 228–231, 2022.
[26] T. Khanam, "Rule of law approach to alleviation of poverty: An analysis on human rights dimension of governance," *IIUC Stud.*, vol. 15, pp. 23–32, 2018.
[27] G.-D. K. LUKOJI, "Poverty and Justice: From The Poverty Of Law To The Rigth Of Poverty," *Ius Poenale*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 49–70, 2022.
[28] M. Marya and H. Handra, "PENGARUH DESENTRALISASI FISKAL TERHADAP PERTUMBUHAN EKONOMI DAN TINGKAT KEMISKINAN DI PROVINSI SUMATERA BARAT," *Sci. J. Reflect. Econ. Accounting, Manag. Bus.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 409–418, 2024.
[29] A. H. Widodo and A. E. Sujianto, "Desentralisasi Fiskal terhadap Pembangunan Ekonomi di Daerah," *El-Mal J. Kaji. Ekon. Bisnis Islam*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 3403–3408, 2024.
[30] A. H. Hi Ibrahim, "Decentralization and its Impact on Improving Public Services," *Int. J. Soc. Sci.*, vol. 7, pp. 45–53, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.21744/ijss.v7n2.2278.
[31] R. K. Rahim, A. Frinaldi, and L. Magriasti, "Decentralization and Local Community Welfare: Analysis Based on Literature Studies," *Adab. J. Public Adm. Bus.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 22–32, 2024.