West Science Agro
Vol. 2, No. 02, May 2024, pp. 56~62

The Role of Soil Type and Environmental Conditions in Increasing Soybean Production

Loso Judijanto[1], Dewa Oka Suparwata[2], Fatma Sarie[3], Safruddin[4], Eko Sutrisno[5]
[1]IPOSS Jakarta
[2]Universitas Muhammadiyah Gorontalo
[3]Universitas Palangka Raya
[4]Universitas Asahan
[5]Universitas Islam Majapahit

Article Info

Article history:

Received May, 2024
Revised May, 2024
Accepted May, 2024

Keywords:

Soybean Production
Soil Type
Environmental Conditions
Agronomic Practices
Climate Resilience

ABSTRACT

Soybean stands as a critical crop globally, serving as a primary source of protein and oil. Optimizing soybean production is imperative to meet increasing demand amid evolving environmental and agronomic challenges. This qualitative analysis delves into the role of soil type and environmental conditions in enhancing soybean yield. Through a synthesis of existing literature and insights from interviews with key stakeholders, patterns and trends influencing soybean cultivation are identified. The findings underscore the complex interplay between soil properties, climatic factors, and agronomic practices in shaping soybean production dynamics. Tailored management strategies are essential for optimizing soil health, water management, and varietal selection to enhance soybean resilience and sustainability in the face of ongoing climate change and variability.

Corresponding Author:

Name: Loso Judijanto
Institution: IPOSS Jakarta
Email: losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean (Glycine max) indeed holds a crucial role in global agriculture, being a primary source of high-quality protein and edible oil [1]. Its cultivation is widespread, contributing significantly to food security, the animal feed industry, and various industrial applications [2]. Soybean's economic and nutritional importance is underscored by its rich protein content, health benefits, and versatility in food product development [3]. Moreover, soybean's cultivation is more environmentally friendly compared to animal-based proteins, despite some associated environmental challenges that need sustainable solutions for improved agricultural practices and consumer awareness [4]. Additionally, soybean's ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen through biological nitrogen fixation enhances soil health and agricultural sustainability, making it a valuable crop for global food security [5], [6].

The soybean industry faces the ongoing challenge of increasing yield to meet rising demand driven by population growth and dietary changes, with soil type and environmental conditions playing a crucial role in this quest for enhanced productivity [7], [8]. Variability in soil composition and the unpredictability of climatic patterns create a complex landscape for soybean cultivation, necessitating a deep understanding of this interplay to develop targeted strategies for sustainable yield improvement [9]. Research suggests that adopting innovative cultivation methods like the system of crop intensification (SCI) can significantly enhance soybean yields, improve soil health, and optimize input efficiency, showcasing the potential for sustainable productivity gains in soybean cultivation [10]. Moreover, studies emphasize the importance of genetic improvements in soybean varieties to unlock their full productivity potential under varying environmental conditions, highlighting the significance of tailored cultivation technologies to maximize soybean yield sustainably [11].

In light of these imperatives, this research endeavors to delve into the qualitative analysis of the role played by soil type and environmental conditions in augmenting soybean production. Through a synthesis of existing knowledge and empirical evidence, this study seeks to unearth the underlying factors and interactions that underpin the variability in soybean yield across diverse agricultural terrains. By shedding light on these intricacies, the research aims to furnish valuable insights to inform agronomic practices and policy interventions geared towards fostering a more resilient and productive soybean sector.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Soil Type and Soybean Production

Soybean productivity is closely linked to soil characteristics, which include physical, chemical and biological properties. Research highlights the importance of soil texture, structure, fertility and pH in influencing soybean growth [8], [12], [13]. Loamy soils, with a balanced composition of sand, silt and clay particles, increase water retention and nutrient availability, supporting good soybean yields. In contrast, sandy soils inhibit root development and nutrient uptake due to low water holding capacity, while clay soils present challenges of waterlogging and reduced aeration, limiting soybean productivity [14]. Soil fertility parameters such as organic matter, nitrogen, phosphorus and micronutrients strongly influence growth, nodulation and nitrogen fixation in soybean, emphasizing the important role of balanced nutrient management to maintain optimal yields [15].

Soil pH significantly impacts nutrient availability and microbial activity in the rhizosphere, crucial for plant growth. Acidic soils limit phosphorus and micronutrient uptake, necessitating liming for improved soybean productivity [16]. Conversely, alkaline soils pose challenges like micronutrient deficiencies and aluminum toxicity, requiring targeted amendments and agronomic interventions for optimal soybean yield [17], [18]. Research shows that the application of soil amendments, such as lime, organic manure, and straw biochar, can positively influence soil health and microbial communities in acidified soils, enhancing plant disease resistance and physiological parameters [19]. Understanding the interplay between soil pH, nutrient availability, and microbial dynamics is essential for sustainable agriculture and maximizing crop yield potential in varying soil conditions.

2.2 Environmental Conditions and Soybean Growth

Environmental factors significantly impact soybean growth and development. Temperature plays a crucial role, with optimal levels during vegetative stages enhancing canopy growth and biomass accumulation [20]. However, extremes like heat stress can disrupt photosynthesis, flowering synchronization, and pod set, ultimately reducing yield potential [21]. Rainfall patterns are also vital, as adequate moisture during critical growth phases ensures optimal seed set and filling, while water deficits can lead to pod abortion and diminished seed quality [22]. Moreover, photoperiodic cues influence flowering and maturity in soybeans, with short-day and long-day varieties adapting differently to night lengths for reproductive success [23]. Understanding these environmental interactions is crucial for selecting suitable soybean varieties tailored to specific latitudes and growing conditions, ultimately optimizing crop performance and yield.

2.3 Interactions between Soil Type and Environmental Factors

The intricate interplay between soil type and environmental conditions in soybean production systems is crucial, as highlighted by various research papers. Soil-water dynamics, influenced by soil texture and structure [24], play a significant role in regulating crop water use efficiency and nutrient availability under changing climatic conditions [20]. Soil moisture regimes impact plant water status, stomatal conductance, and nutrient uptake kinetics, affecting soybean responses to temperature fluctuations and rainfall variability [25]. Moreover, soil-plant interactions, including root architecture and rhizosphere microbiota, influence nutrient cycling, soil organic matter dynamics, and plant-soil feedback mechanisms [26]. Rhizosphere processes such as nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, and mycorrhizal symbioses are essential for enhancing soil fertility, nutrient acquisition, and plant stress tolerance [27]. These findings underscore the complexity of soil-plant interactions in soybean production and emphasize the importance of understanding and optimizing these relationships for sustainable and productive agricultural practices.

3. METHODS

3.1 Informant Selection

The informant selection process was meticulously designed to ensure a comprehensive representation across various facets of soybean cultivation. Employing a purposive sampling approach, informants were carefully chosen to encompass diverse geographical regions, farming systems, and stakeholder groups involved in soybean production. Key informant categories included soybean farmers spanning different agro-ecological zones and farming practices, agronomists, extension specialists providing technical support, soil scientists elucidating soil dynamics, climate scientists offering insights into environmental influences, policymakers shaping agricultural policies, and agribusiness representatives showcasing technological innovations. Emphasizing diversity in gender, age, education, and expertise, the selection criteria aimed to capture a broad spectrum of perspectives and experiences relevant to the research topic.

3.2 Data Collection

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a cohort of 15 informants, meticulously chosen through purposive sampling. Tailored interview guides were utilized to delve deeply into the intricate role of soil type and environmental conditions in soybean production. Through open-ended questions, informants shared their insights, experiences, and perceptions regarding various aspects including soil characteristics and management practices influencing soybean productivity, environmental factors impacting growth and yield variability, interactions between soil type, climate variability, and agronomic practices, as well as challenges, opportunities, and innovations within soybean cultivation. Furthermore, discussions extended to policy recommendations and technological interventions aimed at bolstering soybean resilience and sustainability. Interviews were flexibly conducted either face-to-face or via telecommunication platforms, accommodating informant preferences and logistical constraints, with each session spanning approximately 60-90 minutes to ensure thorough exploration of key themes and issues.

3.3 Data Analysis

Data analysis was meticulously conducted using NVivo, a qualitative data analysis software, to streamline the systematic coding, categorization, and thematic synthesis of interview transcripts. The process unfolded in several steps: firstly, interview transcripts were imported into NVivo for coding, where segments of text were methodically identified and labeled with descriptive codes representing concepts, ideas, and themes. These coded segments were then organized into hierarchical categories, delineating broader themes and sub-themes pertinent to soil type, environmental conditions, and soybean production dynamics. Thematic synthesis ensued, involving iterative review and comparison of coded data to discern patterns, trends, and recurrent motifs elucidating the intricate role of soil type and environmental factors in soybean cultivation practices. Throughout this process, emergent themes and conceptual frameworks were continuously refined via ongoing dialogue, triangulation with existing literature, expert consultations, and peer debriefing to ensure analytical rigor and validity. Cross-case comparison further enriched the analysis by facilitating the identification of commonalities and variations across informant perspectives, geographical contexts, and stakeholder groups, thereby enhancing the depth and breadth of qualitative insights. Ultimately, data interpretation synthesized the findings into coherent narratives, elucidating key insights, implications, and recommendations aimed at bolstering soybean productivity and sustainability.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Soil Type and Soybean Production

Qualitative analysis revealed different insights into the influence of soil type on soybean production dynamics. Soil texture emerged as an important determinant of soybean yield variability, with clay soils exhibiting characteristics conducive to optimal growth and development. Farmers and agronomists emphasize the importance of soil structure, porosity and aggregation in facilitating root penetration, water infiltration and nutrient exchange, thereby increasing soybean productivity.

In contrast, sandy soils are associated with challenges of poor water retention, nutrient leaching and susceptibility to drought, requiring irrigation and nutrient management strategies to reduce yield losses. Clay soils, despite their high-water holding capacity, have constraints of waterlogging, compaction and limited root growth, requiring soil drainage and tillage practices to improve aeration and root zone conditions.

The role of soil fertility emerged as a key factor affecting soybean performance, with soil organic matter content, nitrogen availability and pH levels significantly affecting nutrient uptake, nodulation and nitrogen fixation. Informants emphasized the importance of soil testing and nutrient management practices in optimizing soil fertility and sustaining soybean yields across different agroecological zones.

Farmer A: "In our area, most clay soils are very suitable for soybean cultivation. It holds moisture well and allows roots to penetrate easily. This has helped us achieve consistent yields over the years."

4.2 Environmental Conditions and Soybean Growth

Environmental factors have a profound influence on soybean phenology, growth patterns and yield potential. Temperature regime emerges as an important determinant of soybean development, with optimal temperatures during the vegetative stage promoting canopy growth and biomass accumulation. However, temperature extremes, including heat stress and cold stress, were identified as yield-limiting factors, affecting flowering synchronization, pod formation and seed filling.

Rainfall patterns and water availability are major factors affecting soybean productivity, especially in rainfed farming systems. Adequate soil moisture during critical growth stages, such as flowering and pod development, is critical to ensure optimal seed formation and filling, while water deficits lead to pod abortion and reduced seed size. Conversely, excessive moisture and waterlogging make soybean plants susceptible to root diseases and oxygen deficiency, exacerbating yield losses.

Photoperiodic influences on soybean flowering and maturity were also noted, with short-day and long-day varieties showing different responses to day length. Farmers emphasized the importance of selecting the right cultivars adapted to local climatic conditions and photoperiodic requirements to maximize yield potential and minimize flowering asynchrony.

Agronomist B: "Temperature fluctuations have been a challenge lately. Last season, we experienced a prolonged heat wave during flowering, which led to poor pod formation and reduced yields. It is important to select heat-tolerant varieties and apply timely irrigation to reduce the effects of heat stress."

4.3 Interactions between Soil Type and Environmental Factors

Qualitative analysis shed light on the complex interactions between soil type and environmental conditions, underscoring the need for integrated management strategies tailored to site-specific conditions. Water-soil dynamics mediate the impacts of climate variability on crop water use efficiency and nutrient availability, with soil texture and structure influencing water retention, infiltration and drainage rates.

Informants highlighted the importance of conservation tillage, cover cropping and soil amendments in improving soil health, moisture retention and nutrient cycling, thereby increasing soybean resilience to climate extremes. In addition, precision agriculture technologies, such as soil mapping, remote sensing and variable rate technologies, offer opportunities for targeted interventions to optimize inputs and maximize yield potential while minimizing environmental impacts.

Soil Scientist C: "Soil-water interactions play a critical role in soybean productivity. The sandy soils in our study area are prone to waterlogging during heavy rains, which affects root health and nutrient uptake. Implementing drainage systems and cover crops can help improve soil structure and water infiltration rates."

4.4 Comparative Analysis and Synthesis

Comparative analysis across informant perspectives and geographic contexts revealed similarities and variations in soil types and environmental influences on soybean production. While soil texture and fertility are universally recognized as key determinants of soybean yield variability, specific challenges and opportunities vary across agro-ecological zones and farming systems.

The synthesis of qualitative findings sheds light on the multifaceted nature of soybean production dynamics, which are shaped by complex interactions between soil type, environmental conditions, agronomic practices and socioeconomic factors. The integration of soil science, agronomy and environmental physiology insights provides a holistic understanding of the factors that drive soybean productivity and resilience to climate variability.

Policymaker D: "Our policy initiatives aim to promote sustainable intensification of soybean production through targeted investments in soil conservation practices, climate-resilient cultivars and farmer training programs. Collaborative efforts involving researchers, extension agents and farmers are essential to translate policy objectives into on-the-ground impact."

Agribusiness Representative E: "Technological innovations such as precision agriculture and digital farming have enormous potential to optimize resource use and increase productivity. By leveraging data-driven insights, farmers can make informed decisions regarding fertilizer use, pest management, and irrigation scheduling, thereby increasing efficiency and profitability."

4.5 Implications for Agriculture and Policy

The qualitative analysis yielded actionable insights with implications for agronomic practices, policy interventions and research priorities aimed at improving soybean productivity and sustainability. Recommendations include:

a. Tailor agronomic practices to site-specific soil and environmental conditions.
b. Promote soil health and fertility management through conservation agriculture practices.
c. Invest in climate-resilient cultivars and precision farming technologies.

d. Improve extension services and farmer education programs on soil and water management.
e. Formulate policies that support sustainable intensification and climate change adaptation in soybean production systems.

4.6 Limitations and Future Research Directions

While the qualitative analysis provides valuable insights into the role of soil type and environmental conditions in soybean production, there are some limitations to consider. The qualitative nature of this study limits the generalizability of its findings, requiring further quantitative research to validate the qualitative insights at larger spatial and temporal scales. In addition, the study focused on agronomic and environmental factors, neglecting the socio-economic dimensions that influence soybean production decisions and outcomes.

Future research directions could explore interdisciplinary approaches that integrate socio-economic, agronomic and environmental perspectives to shed light on the complex socio-ecological dynamics that shape soybean production systems. Longitudinal studies that track changes in soil properties, environmental conditions and agronomic practices over time could provide insights into the resilience and adaptive capacity of soybean farming in the face of evolving climatic and socioeconomic challenges.

5. CONCLUSION

The qualitative analysis provides valuable insights into the multifaceted dynamics governing soybean production in relation to soil type and environmental conditions. Soil texture, fertility, and moisture availability emerge as critical determinants of soybean growth and yield variability, highlighting the importance of soil health and water management practices in optimizing productivity. Temperature fluctuations, rainfall patterns, and photoperiodic cues influence soybean phenology and reproductive success, underscoring the need for adaptive management strategies to mitigate climate-related risks. Moving forward, integrated approaches combining agronomic innovation, precision agriculture technologies, and policy support are essential for fostering sustainable intensification and resilience in soybean production systems. By embracing interdisciplinary collaboration and stakeholder engagement, the soybean sector can navigate challenges and seize opportunities to enhance productivity, food security, and environmental sustainability on a global scale.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Wiederstein, S. Baumgartner, and K. Lauter, “Soybean (Glycine max) allergens─ A Review on an Outstanding Plant Food with Allergenic Potential,” *ACS Food Sci. Technol.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 363–378, 2023.
[2] L. Di Giorgio, M. A. Marcantonio, P. R. Salgado, and A. N. Mauri, “Isolation, characterization, and industrial processing of soybean proteins,” in *Handbook of Natural Polymers, Volume 1*, Elsevier, 2023, pp. 557–575.
[3] D. Dong *et al.*, “Genetic diversity and population structure of vegetable soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.) in China as revealed by SSR markers,” *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.*, vol. 61, pp. 173–183, 2014.
[4] I. Mohammad Sohidul, M. Imam, I. Rafiqul, H. Kamrul, G. Hafeez, and H. Moaz, “Soybean and sustainable agriculture for food security,” *Soybean. Eds. Tak. O., Yoshihiko T., Norikuni O., Tak. S., Sayuri T.(Rijeka IntechOpen*, 2022.
[5] T. Ohyama, Y. Takahashi, N. Ohtake, T. Sato, and S. Tanabata, *Soybean-Recent Advances in Research and Applications*. 2022.
[6] S. O. G. Afner *et al.*, *DASAR-DASAR ILMU TANAH*. Hei Publishing, 2024.
[7] R. K. Singh *et al.*, “Soybean crop intensification for sustainable aboveground-underground plant–soil interactions,” *Front. Sustain. Food Syst*, vol. 7, no. 10.3389, 2023.
[8] M. Ivaniv, V. Vozniak, T. Marchenko, T. Baklanova, and O. Sydiakina, “Varietal features of elements of soybean cultivation technology during irrigation,” 2023.
[9] M. D. Krause, K. O. G. Dias, A. K. Singh, and W. D. Beavis, “Using soybean historical field trial data to study genotype by environment variation and identify mega-environments with the integration of genetic and non-genetic factors,” 2022.
[10] J. Kim, “Molecular approaches to improve soybean plant architecture and seed composition.” University of Missouri--Columbia, 2021.
[11] H. Ayalew, W. Schapaugh, T. Vuong, and H. T. Nguyen, “Genome-wide association analysis identified consistent QTL

for seed yield in a soybean diversity panel tested across multiple environments," *Plant Genome*, vol. 15, no. 4, p. e20268, 2022.

[12] L. do Socorro Cunha *et al.*, "Agronomic characteristics of soybean as a function of inoculation, co-inoculation and N doses on eutrophic red latosol: Caracteres agronômicos da soja em função da inoculação, co-inoculação e doses de N em latossolo vermelho eutroferrico," *Concilium*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 473–488, 2023.

[13] A. Mirriam, J. Mugwe, J. Nasar, O. Kisaka, S. Ranjan, and H. Gitari, "Role of phosphorus and inoculation with Bradyrhizobium in enhancing soybean production," *Adv. Agric.*, vol. 2023, 2023.

[14] H. L., D. N., K. N., and T. Y., O., "Formation of structure elements of soybean harvest. Зернові культури," הארץ, no. 8.5.2017, pp. 2003–2005, 2022.

[15] N. P. Capobiango *et al.*, "Evaluation of soybean genotypes grown under soil compaction," *J. Agron. Crop Sci.*, vol. 209, no. 4, pp. 517–531, 2023.

[16] B. Baccari and A. Krouma, "Rhizosphere acidification determines phosphorus availability in calcareous soil and influences Faba bean (Vicia faba) tolerance to P deficiency," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 7, p. 6203, 2023.

[17] C. Ngosong *et al.*, "Inoculating plant growth-promoting bacteria and arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi modulates rhizosphere acid phosphatase and nodulation activities and enhance the productivity of soybean (Glycine max)," *Front. Plant Sci.*, vol. 13, p. 934339, 2022.

[18] L. Yorks, A. L. Abel, and D. Rotatori, "Using data and analytics for improved HRD performance in the age of digital technologies," in *Strategic human resource development in practice: Leveraging talent for sustained performance in the digital age of AI*, Springer, 2022, pp. 81–92.

[19] N. P. S. Ratmini, "Metabolism and transport of P nutrient in the rhizosphere zone in acidic soils," *J. Lahan Suboptimal J. Suboptimal Lands*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 51–58, 2022.

[20] C. Elmerich, G. Boulch, M.-P. Faucon, L. Lakhal, and B. Lange, "Identification of eco-climatic factors driving yields and genotype by environment interactions for yield in early maturity soybean using crop simulation," *Agronomy*, vol. 13, no. 2, p. 322, 2023.

[21] M. Staniak, E. Szpunar-Krok, and A. Kocira, "Responses of soybean to selected abiotic stresses—Photoperiod, temperature and water," *Agriculture*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 146, 2023.

[22] I. M. Raievska and A. S. Schogolev, "Genetic variation in four maturity genes and photoperiod insensitivity effects on the yield components and on the growth duration periods of soybean," *Regul. Mech. Biosyst.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 55–63, 2023.

[23] Y. Tang *et al.*, "Diverse flowering responses subjecting to ambient high temperature in soybean under short-day conditions," *Plant Biotechnol. J.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 782–791, 2023.

[24] S. Javed, X. Chai, X. Wang, and S. Xu, "The phytohormones underlying the plant lateral root development in fluctuated soil environments," *Plant Soil*, pp. 1–14, 2023.

[25] E. Wójcik-Gront, D. Gozdowski, A. Derejko, and R. Pudełko, "Analysis of the Impact of Environmental and Agronomic Variables on Agronomic Parameters in Soybean Cultivation Based on Long-Term Data," *Plants*, vol. 11, no. 21, p. 2922, 2022.

[26] K. Rangappa *et al.*, "Harnessing root associated traits and rhizosphere efficiency for crop improvement," in *Translating Physiological Tools to Augment Crop Breeding*, Springer, 2023, pp. 257–290.

[27] A. J. M. Smucker, "Soybean crop responses to soil environmental stresses," in *World Soybean Research Conference III*, CRC Press, 2022, pp. 1000–1006.