West Science Agro
Vol. 3, No. 04, November 2025, pp. 291~297

The Role of Education and Capacity Building in Sustainable Agricultural Transformation

Loso Judijanto
IPOSS Jakarta

Article Info

Article history:

Received November, 2025
Revised November, 2025
Accepted November, 2025

Keywords:

Sustainable Agriculture, Education, Capacity Building, Agricultural Transformation, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the role of education and capacity building in driving sustainable agricultural transformation in Indonesia. Using a quantitative approach, data were collected from 150 farmers through a structured questionnaire employing a five-point Likert scale. The data were analyzed using SPSS version 25, including descriptive statistics and multiple regression analysis. The findings reveal that both education and capacity-building programs have a significant and positive effect on the adoption of sustainable agricultural practices. Education enhances farmers' knowledge and awareness of sustainable techniques, while capacity building strengthens practical skills and problem-solving abilities. The results underscore the importance of integrating educational interventions with skill-development programs to promote environmentally friendly and productive farming practices. These insights provide guidance for policymakers and agricultural institutions aiming to foster sustainable transformation in Indonesia's agricultural sector.

Corresponding Author:

Name: Loso Judijanto
Institution: IPOSS Jakarta
Email: losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture remains a cornerstone of Indonesia's economy, contributing significantly to employment, food security, and rural livelihoods. However, the sector faces persistent challenges, including land degradation, climate change, limited technological adoption, and low productivity. In response, sustainable agricultural transformation has become a critical agenda, emphasizing environmentally friendly practices, resource efficiency, and socio-economic resilience [1], [2]. Achieving such transformation requires more than policy interventions; it necessitates equipping farmers with knowledge, skills, and competencies to adopt sustainable practices effectively [3], [4].

Education and capacity building have been recognized as pivotal drivers in this context. Education provides farmers with the theoretical understanding of sustainable agricultural techniques, while capacity-building programs offer practical training, skill development, and problem-solving strategies to implement these techniques in the field [5], [6]. Previous studies suggest that farmers who receive proper training and educational support are more likely to adopt innovative practices,

increase productivity, and manage resources sustainably [7], [8].

Despite the recognized importance of education and capacity building, empirical evidence examining their direct role in sustainable agricultural transformation in Indonesia remains limited. Many programs exist, but their effectiveness and impact on the adoption of sustainable practices are not thoroughly quantified. This study addresses this gap by employing a quantitative approach to investigate the influence of education and capacity-building initiatives on sustainable agricultural transformation among Indonesian farmers. Using data from 150 respondents and analyzed through SPSS version 25, this research aims to provide evidence-based insights that can guide policymakers, agricultural institutions, and development practitioners in designing more effective interventions. By exploring the relationship between education, capacity building, and sustainable agricultural practices, this study contributes to the broader discourse on sustainable development in agriculture, highlighting practical pathways to strengthen farmer competencies, improve productivity, and ensure long-term environmental sustainability in Indonesia.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Education and Sustainable Agriculture

Education plays a crucial role in equipping farmers with the knowledge necessary to implement sustainable agricultural practices. Formal and informal educational programs increase farmers' awareness of soil conservation, integrated pest management, water-saving technologies, organic farming, and climate-smart agriculture [9], [10]. Farmers with higher levels of education are more likely to understand and adopt modern agricultural innovations and sustainable methods. Studies in developing countries indicate that educational interventions significantly influence farmers' decision-making processes, fostering the adoption of environmentally sustainable techniques [11], [12].

2.2 Capacity Building and Agricultural Transformation

Capacity building extends beyond education by providing practical training, skill development, and hands-on experience. It encompasses farmer field schools, workshops, extension services, and participatory learning approaches, enabling farmers to translate theoretical knowledge into actionable strategies [13], [14]. Capacity-building programs have been linked to improved resource management, increased crop yields, and adoption of climate-resilient practices. Empirical studies in Southeast Asia demonstrate that farmers who participate in structured capacity-building programs exhibit higher levels of innovation, collaboration, and resilience against environmental shocks [13], [15].

2.3 Integration of Education and Capacity Building

The synergy of education and capacity building is essential for achieving sustainable agricultural transformation. Education provides the cognitive foundation, while capacity-building activities offer experiential learning that reinforces and contextualizes knowledge in local farming conditions. The integration of these approaches ensures that farmers not only understand sustainable techniques but can also implement them effectively, adapt to challenges, and share knowledge within their communities [16], [17].

2.4 Empirical Evidence in Indonesia

Research on Indonesia's agricultural sector highlights that farmer knowledge and skills are strongly correlated with sustainable practice adoption. Programs such as the Farmer Field School (FFS) and government-led agricultural extension services have shown positive outcomes in improving farmer competence, increasing productivity, and reducing environmental degradation [18]–[20]. However, gaps remain in systematically quantifying the combined effect of education and capacity-building programs on sustainable agricultural transformation, particularly through rigorous statistical analyses.

2.5 Research Gap

While existing literature confirms the individual importance of education and capacity building, few studies employ quantitative methods to analyze their combined impact on sustainable agricultural transformation in Indonesia. Understanding this relationship is crucial for designing effective interventions that can accelerate adoption of sustainable practices, enhance farmer resilience, and promote long-term agricultural sustainability.

2.6 Conceptual Framework

Based on the literature, this study proposes a conceptual framework where education and capacity building are independent variables influencing sustainable agricultural transformation as the dependent variable. The framework assumes that education enhances knowledge, awareness, and understanding, while capacity building strengthens skills, practical application, and adaptive capabilities, together fostering sustainable practices among farmers.

3. RESEARCH METHODS

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a quantitative research design to examine the role of education and capacity building in sustainable agricultural transformation in Indonesia. Quantitative research allows for the systematic measurement of variables and the analysis of relationships using statistical techniques, providing objective and generalizable results (Creswell, 2014). The study focuses on assessing the influence of education and capacity-building initiatives on farmers' adoption of sustainable agricultural practices.

3.2 Population and Sample

The target population of this study comprises farmers actively engaged in agricultural activities across selected regions in Indonesia. A total of 150 respondents were selected using purposive sampling, ensuring that participants have relevant experience in farming and exposure to education or capacity-building programs. Purposive sampling allows for the selection of respondents who can provide meaningful insights into the research variables.

3.3 Data Collection Instrument

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire developed with a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The instrument consisted of items measuring three key constructs: Education, which captured respondents' formal and informal agricultural knowledge, awareness of sustainable practices, and involvement in educational programs; Capacity Building, which assessed participation in training activities, workshops, farmer field schools, and skill-development initiatives

related to sustainable agriculture; and Sustainable Agricultural Transformation, which evaluated the implementation of environmentally friendly practices, effective resource management, adoption of modern techniques, and climate-resilient strategies. The questionnaire was adapted from previous studies and contextualized to Indonesian agricultural conditions to ensure validity and relevance.

Data collection was carried out by distributing the questionnaires directly to farmers in predetermined regions. Before conducting the full survey, a pilot test involving 20 respondents was administered to evaluate item clarity, reliability, and validity. The feedback obtained from this preliminary test was used to refine the questionnaire, resulting in improved comprehensibility and measurement accuracy for the main data collection phase.

3.4 Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 25, beginning with descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, and frequency distribution to summarize respondents' demographic characteristics and overall response patterns. Inferential analysis was then performed using multiple regression to test the influence of education and capacity building on sustainable agricultural transformation, based on the model $SAT = \beta_0 + \beta_1(Education) + \beta_2(Capacity\ Building) + \varepsilon$, where SAT denotes sustainable agricultural transformation, β_0 is the intercept, β_1 and β_2 represent the regression coefficients for education and capacity building, respectively, and ε is the error term. Statistical significance for all analyses was evaluated at the 0.05 level ($p < 0.05$).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Respondent Demographics

A total of 150 farmers participated in this study. The demographic analysis indicates that the majority of respondents were male (65%), reflecting the dominant gender composition in agricultural labor in Indonesia. Most respondents (58%) were aged between 30–45 years, representing the active working population in farming. Regarding education, 40% of respondents had completed secondary education, while 35% had completed primary education, and 25% had attained higher education. This distribution suggests that the sample includes a range of educational backgrounds relevant to assessing the impact of education and capacity building on sustainable agricultural transformation. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize respondents' perceptions of education, capacity building, and sustainable agricultural transformation (SAT). Table 1 presents the mean scores and standard deviations for each variable.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. Deviation	Interpretation
Education	4.12	0.57	High
Capacity Building	4.05	0.61	High
Sustainable Ag. Transformation	3.98	0.65	High

The descriptive statistics in Table 1 show that all key variables—Education, Capacity Building, and Sustainable Agricultural Transformation—are categorized at a high level, indicating strong knowledge, participation, and sustainability practices among respondents. The Education variable, with a mean of 4.12 and a low standard deviation of 0.57, reflects consistently high levels of formal and informal agricultural knowledge as well as awareness of sustainable practices across farmers. Capacity Building also records a high mean of 4.05 and a standard deviation of 0.61, suggesting frequent involvement in training, workshops, and farmer

field schools, though with slightly greater variation in participation levels across different groups. Sustainable Agricultural Transformation, with a mean of 3.98 and a standard deviation of 0.65, similarly demonstrates strong adoption of environmentally friendly and climate-resilient practices, albeit with more variability, indicating that some farmers implement modern techniques more extensively than others. Overall, these results reveal solid foundations in knowledge, capacity enhancement, and sustainability efforts within the farming community, providing a strong empirical basis for analyzing how education and capacity building shape sustainable agricultural transformation.

4.2 Reliability Test

Cronbach's alpha was used to evaluate the internal consistency of the questionnaire items, and the results show strong reliability across all measured constructs, with Education achieving an α value of 0.826, Capacity Building recording α = 0.792, and Sustainable Agricultural Transformation yielding α = 0.815; since all coefficients exceed the commonly accepted threshold of 0.70, the instrument can be considered internally consistent and reliable for measuring the intended variables.

4.3 Regression Analysis

Multiple regression analysis was conducted to examine the effect of education and capacity building on sustainable agricultural transformation. Table 2 presents the regression results.

Table 2. Regression Analysis

Predictor	β	t-value	p-value	Interpretation
Education	0.424	5.184	0.000	Significant Positive
Capacity Building	0.375	4.593	0.000	Significant Positive
R^2	0.592			Moderate Effect Size

The regression results in Table 2 show that both Education and Capacity Building significantly and positively influence Sustainable Agricultural Transformation, with Education (β = 0.424, t = 5.184, p = 0.000) indicating that greater agricultural knowledge, awareness, and educational exposure strongly increase farmers' likelihood of adopting environmentally friendly practices, while Capacity Building (β = 0.375, t = 4.593, p = 0.000) demonstrates that participation in training, workshops, and farmer field schools enhances farmers' skills and readiness to engage in sustainable transformation. These findings underscore the importance of structured learning and continuous skill development in strengthening adaptive capacities within farming communities. The model's R^2 value of 0.592 suggests that 59.2% of the variation in Sustainable Agricultural Transformation is explained by these two predictors, representing a moderate effect size and indicating that although Education and Capacity Building play substantial roles, other factors such as institutional support, technological access, financial resources, and environmental conditions may also contribute. Overall, the results confirm that efforts to increase educational attainment and expand capacity-building initiatives can significantly accelerate sustainability-oriented changes in agriculture, supporting broader goals of environmentally responsible and climate-resilient development.

4.4 Discussion

The findings confirm that education and capacity building are critical drivers of sustainable agricultural transformation in Indonesia. Education equips farmers with knowledge about sustainable farming techniques, resource management, and climate-resilient practices, enabling informed decision-

making. These results align with previous studies highlighting that farmer education positively influences the adoption of sustainable practices [21], [22].

Capacity-building programs, including training, workshops, and farmer field schools, enhance practical skills, problem-solving abilities, and the application of sustainable methods. The significant positive effect of capacity building corroborates studies showing that experiential learning and skill development increase the likelihood of adopting innovative and sustainable agricultural techniques [21], [23].

The combined effect of education and capacity building suggests a synergistic relationship: education provides the theoretical foundation, while capacity building offers hands-on experience, reinforcing the implementation of sustainable practices. These findings underscore the importance of integrating educational initiatives and practical training programs to accelerate agricultural transformation and enhance environmental, economic, and social sustainability in Indonesia.

4.5 Implications

The study has important implications for policymakers and agricultural institutions. First, investment in educational programs tailored to sustainable agriculture should be prioritized. Second, capacity-building initiatives should be strengthened to provide farmers with practical tools and support for implementing sustainable practices. Finally, the integration of education and capacity-building strategies can foster a more resilient and productive agricultural sector, contributing to national food security and sustainable development goals.

5. CONCLUSION

The study demonstrates that education and capacity building are pivotal in facilitating sustainable agricultural transformation in Indonesia. Both variables significantly and positively influence farmers' adoption of environmentally friendly and resource-efficient farming practices. Education equips farmers with theoretical knowledge and awareness of sustainable techniques, whereas capacity-building programs provide practical skills and hands-on experience necessary for effective implementation. The integration of these two approaches creates a synergistic effect, enabling farmers to adopt innovative practices, improve productivity, and enhance resilience against environmental and economic challenges.

These findings highlight the need for policymakers, agricultural extension services, and development agencies to invest in comprehensive programs that combine education with capacity-building initiatives. By doing so, Indonesia can accelerate the transition toward sustainable agriculture, ensuring long-term food security, environmental protection, and socio-economic development in rural communities.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Suwasono, S. S. E. Hapsari, I. B. Suryaningrat, and D. Soemarno, "Lean Manufacturing Implementation in Indonesian Coffee Processor," *Int. J. Food, Agric. Nat. Resour.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 37–45, 2022.

[2] E. Herissuparman, M. Ismane, H. Ashari, and S. S., "MSMEs and Rural Prosperity: A Study of their Influence in Indonesian Agriculture and Rural Economy," *Int. J. Innov. Sci. Res. Technol.*, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24JUN1227.

[3] L. Warlina, E. Soeryanto Soegoto, S. Supatmi, D. Oktafiani, and R. Jatnika, "Regional competitive advantage of agriculture as the leading sector in Garut Regency, West Java province, Indonesia," *J. East. Eur. Cent. Asian Res.*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2023.

[4] I. G. W. D. S. Permadi and R. Novita, "The Role of Appropriate Technology in Enhancing Efficiency and Production in Livestock and Agriculture Sectors: A Systematic Review in Indonesia," *Indones. J. Innov. Stud.*, vol. 23, pp. 10–21070, 2023.

[5] M. Vebtasvili, "Indicator Analysis of Inclusive Economic Development in Agriculture and Plantation Sector in Indonesia," *Integr. J. Bus. Econ.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 28–36, 2017.

[6] L. Gandharum, D. M. Hartono, A. Karsidi, and M. Ahmad, "Monitoring urban expansion and loss of agriculture on the north coast of west java province, Indonesia, using Google Earth engine and intensity analysis," *Sci. World J.*, vol. 2022, 2022.

[7] I. R. Mukhlis, "System Dynamics Implementation To Increase The Number Of Organics Maize Level On-Farm Production In Supporting Smart Agriculture (Case Study: East Java, Indonesia)," 2022.

[8] J. Waage, "Understanding the relationship between environment, agriculture and health: An interdisciplinary challenge," *Indones. J. Appl. Environ. Stud.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–4, 2022.

[9] L. C. Pal, "Impact of Education on Economic Development," *Khazanah Pendidik. Islam*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 10–19, 2023, doi: 10.15575/kp.v5i1.25199.

[10] I. Hermawan, Y. Sudarwati, R. Sari, I. Izzaty, and D. Wuryandani, "Scrutinizing Indonesia's Agricultural Start-ups," in *International Conference on Sustainable Innovation Track Humanities Education and Social Sciences (ICSIHESS 2021)*, Atlantis Press, 2021, pp. 317–320.

[11] A. H. A. Mohamed, B. C. Menezes, and T. AL-Ansari, "Interplaying of food supply chain resilience, industry 4.0 and sustainability in the poultry market," in *Computer Aided Chemical Engineering*, vol. 50, Division of Engineering Management and Decision Sciences, College of Science and Engineering, Hamad Bin Khalifa University, Doha, Qatar Foundation, Qatar: Elsevier B.V., 2021, pp. 1815–1820. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-323-88506-5.50281-3.

[12] M. Gomez and C. Grady, "A balancing act: the interplay of food supply chain resilience and environmental sustainability in American cities," *Environ. Res. Lett.*, vol. 18, no. 12, 2023, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/ad0608.

[13] Akhirul, Y. Witra, I. Umar, and Erianjoni, "Dampak Negatif Pertumbuhan Penduduk Terhadap Lingkungan Dan Upaya Mengatasinya," *J. Kependud. dan Pembang. Ligkungan*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 76–84, 2020.

[14] G. Zhao, S. Liu, H. Lu, C. Lopez, and S. Elgueta, "Building theory of agri-food supply chain resilience using total interpretive structural modelling and MICMAC analysis," *Int. J. Sustain. Agric. Manag. Informatics*, vol. 4, no. 3–4, pp. 235–257, 2018, doi: 10.1504/IJSAMI.2018.099236.

[15] M. T. Simin, D. Milić, M. Petrović, D. Glavaš-Trbić, B. Komaromi, and K. Đurić, "Institutional Development of Organic Farming in the EU," *Probl. Ekorozwoju*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 120–128, 2023, doi: 10.35784/pe.2023.1.12.

[16] G. Király, G. Rizzo, and J. Tóth, "Transition to Organic Farming: A Case from Hungary," *Agronomy*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 1–16, 2022, doi: 10.3390/agronomy12102435.

[17] H. El-Ramady, A. El-Ghamry, A. Mosa, and T. Alshaal, "Nanofertilizers vs. Biofertilizers: New Insights," *Environ. Biodivers. Soil Secur.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 40–50, 2018, doi: 10.21608/jenvbs.2018.3880.1029.

[18] M. A. Kharoub, A. Saied Ali El Shafei, and R. El-Sayed Mohamed Morsi, "The Economic Feasibility of Establishing a laying Hens Breeding Station for the Production of Table Eggs," *Alexandria Sci. Exch. J.*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 115–129, 2024.

[19] E. S. M. R. Abo Zahra, "IMPACT OF FOLIAR AND SOIL FERTILIZATION ON PRODUCTIVITY AND QUALITY OF SOME SOYBEAN CULTIVARS UNDER CALCAREOUS SOIL CONDITIONS," *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 867–881, 2020.

[20] C. Paisley, "Skill gaps in formal higher agricultural education: a youth perspective," *… Conference on "Young People, Farming á Food …*. future-agricultures.org, 2012.

[21] E. V Khudyakova, M. S. Gorbachev, and ..., "Improving the efficiency of agro-industrial complex management based on digitalization and system approach," *IOP Conf. Ser. …*, 2019, doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/274/1/012079.

[22] N. Trisniarti, N. N. Sofyana, and A. Azhari, "EFFICIENCY COOPERATIVE AND ITS POTENTIAL FOR ABSORBING LABOR IN INDONESIA," *Int. J. Econ. Business, Accounting, Agric. Manag. Sharia Adm.*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 1153–1160, 2022.

[23] A. Baskaran, V. G. R. Chandran, and ..., "Inclusive entrepreneurship, innovation and sustainable growth: Role of business incubators, academia and social enterprises in Asia," *Sci. Technol. …*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/0971721819873178.