


Agricultural Diversification as a Strategy for Household Economic Resilience Among Farmers

Yohanes Kamakaula
University of Papua

Article Info	ABSTRACT
<p>Article history:</p> <p>Received August, 2025 Revised August, 2025 Accepted August, 2025</p> <hr/> <p>Keywords:</p> <p>Agricultural diversification, household resilience, smallholder farmers, qualitative analysis, rural livelihoods</p>	<p>study examines agricultural diversification as a strategy for strengthening household economic resilience among smallholder farmers. Using a qualitative approach, in-depth interviews were conducted with five farmer informants who engaged in multiple agricultural activities, including cultivating food crops, cash crops, and livestock rearing. The findings reveal that diversification reduces dependence on a single commodity, mitigates risks from price fluctuations and crop failures, and enhances household food security. Farmers also emphasized that diversification fosters adaptive capacity by encouraging experimentation with new crops and farming practices. However, challenges such as limited access to capital, weak market linkages, and inadequate extension services hinder the effectiveness of diversification. Overall, the study highlights that agricultural diversification serves as both a survival mechanism and a resilience-building strategy for rural households. Policy support in the form of credit access, market development, and technical assistance is essential to optimize the benefits of diversification and promote sustainable rural livelihoods.</p> <p><i>This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.</i></p> <div></div>

<p>Corresponding Author:</p> <p>Name: Yohanes Kamakaula Institution: University of Papua Email: y.kamakaula@unipa.ac.id</p>	
---	--

<p>1. INTRODUCTION</p> <p>Agriculture remains a vital foundation for rural livelihoods, particularly in developing countries where smallholder farmers depend heavily on farming as their primary source of income and food security. However, these farmers face considerable challenges, including fluctuating commodity prices, unpredictable weather patterns, pest outbreaks, and limited access to capital and markets, all of which create vulnerabilities that often lead to economic instability and hinder household resilience. To address these conditions, strategies that enhance</p>	<p>adaptability and reduce dependency on a single source of income become increasingly crucial. Weather and climate variability significantly affect agricultural productivity, as smallholder farmers are highly vulnerable to changes in rainfall and temperature [1], [2]. Economic constraints, such as low crop demand, low selling prices, and limited financial resources, further exacerbate these difficulties [1], [3]. In addition, resource limitations—such as inadequate access to fertilizers, pesticides, and infrastructure—create further barriers to productivity [3], [4]. To cope, farmers adopt livelihood</p>
--	--

diversification strategies, such as engaging in non-farm activities like brewing local alcohol and furniture making [4], and employ indigenous and alternative farming practices to adapt to changing conditions [4]. Social networks and cooperatives also play a key role in enhancing resilience by providing support and resources [4]. Moreover, agricultural policy reforms, such as market liberalization and subsidy adjustments, can improve economic and social conditions for smallholder farmers [5], while better access to agricultural education and extension services increases adaptive capacity to manage climatic and economic challenges [1]. Collectively, these insights highlight the multidimensional challenges faced by smallholder farmers and the range of strategies necessary to sustain their livelihoods and food security.

One approach widely recognized as effective in strengthening household economic resilience is agricultural diversification, which refers to the practice of engaging in a variety of agricultural activities such as cultivating multiple crop types, combining food and cash crops, or integrating crop production with livestock farming. By broadening their economic base, farmers can mitigate risks associated with overreliance on a single commodity while simultaneously creating opportunities for increased income and improved food security, thereby enhancing their capacity to cope with external shocks like market fluctuations or climate variability and supporting long-term resilience. Evidence from various studies highlights the multifaceted benefits of agricultural diversification: it aids risk management and resilience by distributing risks across different agricultural activities and stabilizing incomes [6]; improves productivity and sustainability through practices like integrated rice-fish farming and agroforestry that enhance soil health and pest management [6]; strengthens economic resilience to weather shocks, as seen in Zambia where diversification mitigated the impacts of droughts and floods on poor households [7]; and enhances food security

and income, illustrated in Kenya where livestock diversification improved both income and consumption, particularly for asset-poor households facing climate risks [8]. Nevertheless, challenges remain, including knowledge gaps, market access issues, and policy limitations that can hinder effective implementation of diversification strategies [6], while determinants such as income level and land size—as evidenced in India, where smaller, lower-income farms were found to diversify more—indicate that socioeconomic factors shape diversification outcomes [9].

Previous studies have highlighted the role of agricultural diversification in promoting sustainable livelihoods, enhancing adaptive capacity, and ensuring economic stability at the household level, yet much of the existing research has focused primarily on quantitative measurements of income diversification and productivity outcomes, with less attention paid to farmers' lived experiences and perceptions regarding diversification as a resilience strategy. Understanding how farmers conceptualize and implement diversification in their daily practices provides valuable insights into the socio-economic and cultural dimensions that shape resilience-building strategies in rural communities, underscoring the importance of integrating both quantitative and qualitative perspectives. In Ambedkar Nagar, India, for instance, farmers adopt non-traditional activities such as dairy farming and commercial crop cultivation, with diversification influenced by income levels and land size, which inversely affect diversification levels [9]. Similarly, in Bangladesh, crop diversification driven by education, household size, and exposure to climatic shocks has been shown to significantly boost income, reinforcing its role in economic sustainability [10]. Beyond individual households, diversification also contributes to community resilience, as observed in the US where diversification strategies stabilized incomes and enhanced community services [11], while in Austria, dairy farmers reported challenges such as labor organization and risks of work

overload, indicating that without careful management, diversification could strain rather than strengthen family resilience [12].

This study seeks to fill the existing gap by employing a qualitative approach to examine agricultural diversification as a strategy for household economic resilience among farmers, engaging directly with five farmer informants to capture personal experiences, challenges, and strategies underlying diversification practices. By focusing on farmers' narratives, the research not only enriches understanding of the practical benefits of diversification but also highlights the constraints they face in sustaining such practices, thereby offering deeper insights into the lived realities of rural households. The findings are expected to contribute to the discourse on rural development and resilience by underscoring diversification as a locally grounded strategy, while also providing policy implications for supporting smallholder farmers through targeted interventions in agricultural extension, market access, and financial inclusion. Ultimately, strengthening household economic resilience through diversification can serve as a crucial pathway toward sustainable rural livelihoods and food system security.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Agricultural Diversification

Agricultural diversification is a critical strategy for enhancing resilience, optimizing resource use, and increasing income for smallholder farmers, particularly in developing countries, as it involves engaging in multiple farming activities such as cultivating various crops, integrating livestock, and participating in off-farm activities. The benefits of diversification are multifaceted, addressing economic, environmental, and social dimensions: economically, it leads to higher and more stable farm incomes by spreading financial risks across activities, which is crucial in volatile market conditions [13], as seen in Ambedkar Nagar, India, where 66% of households engaged in non-traditional

agricultural activities such as dairy farming [9]; environmentally, diversification enhances resilience to climate change, pest pressures, and market instability through strategies like intercropping and crop rotation that improve soil health and pest management [6], while diversification across different levels—field, farm, household, and market—ensures food system stability against stresses and shocks [14]. Nevertheless, challenges remain in the form of knowledge gaps, limited market access, and policy constraints, highlighting the need for tailored strategies and support systems [6], while further investigation is also required to understand the sustainability impacts of diversification, as opportunities and effects vary across regions [15].

2.2 Household Economic Resilience

Household economic resilience in rural farming contexts is a multifaceted concept that reflects the ability to withstand, adapt, and recover from economic shocks without compromising long-term well-being, shaped by access to resources, social networks, market opportunities, and adaptive livelihood strategies. Agricultural diversification plays a central role in this process by providing alternative income sources, reducing dependency on a single commodity, and ensuring a stable supply of food and resources. Resilience can be understood through three dimensions: absorptive capacity, which refers to the ability to absorb shocks through mechanisms such as savings, food reserves, and social safety nets that mitigate immediate impacts [16]; adaptive capacity, which entails adjustments to changing conditions through strategies like crop diversification and livestock possession that reduce vulnerability to market fluctuations [17]; and transformative capacity, which involves systemic changes such as access to irrigation and participation in ecosystem service programs that strengthen long-term stability [17], [18]. Within this framework, agricultural diversification contributes directly to income stability by reducing reliance on a single crop and mitigating risks from price volatility or crop failure [17], enhances food security by

ensuring a consistent supply of diverse crops during periods of economic or environmental stress [16], and supports sustainable resource management through diversified systems that improve soil health and resource use efficiency [17].

2.3 The Role of Diversification in Risk Management

Diversification in farming systems is a key strategy for managing risks from climatic variability, pests, diseases, and market fluctuations, as it enhances resilience by spreading risks across different agricultural activities, thereby stabilizing income and ensuring food security. Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia shows that diversified systems withstand shocks better than specialized ones, with crop diversification—through spatial, temporal, genetic, and intercropping strategies—improving soil health, pest management, and climate adaptability, as seen in integrated rice-fish farming and agroforestry that boost productivity and sustainability [6]. Livestock diversification in Kenya further demonstrates that small ruminants and poultry are more resilient to climate variability than cattle, helping households maintain income and food consumption during shocks [8]. Beyond resilience, diversification also supports economic and food security, though outcomes depend on factors like market orientation, livestock ownership, and access to non-agricultural jobs [15], as shown in rural Nicaragua where combining cash transfers with training or grants fostered income diversification and protected households against weather shocks [19]. Nonetheless, challenges such as knowledge gaps, poor market access, and policy limitations hinder its full potential, highlighting the need for tailored strategies and supportive policies to strengthen resilience [6].

2.4 Socio-Economic Dimensions of Diversification

Farmers' diversification strategies are shaped by socio-economic, cultural, and institutional factors that influence decisions beyond economic considerations, with traditions, gender roles, and access to

resources like labor, capital, and knowledge playing key roles. Limited access to markets, financial services, and technology often hinders diversification, as in Sitapur, Uttar Pradesh, where inadequate facilities constrained farmers [20], while in Ambedkar Nagar, India, higher income and larger landholdings were inversely related to diversification, showing wealthier farmers diversify less [9]. Cultural and gender dynamics also matter, with female-headed households in Zambia showing different patterns [21], and traditions in Southern Ethiopia keeping income tied to on-farm activities [3]. Institutional and knowledge factors further shape outcomes, as research participation and NGO support in Malawi encouraged diversification [22], while the lack of modern agricultural skills in Sitapur underscored the need for educational interventions [20].

2.5 Research Gap

Although agricultural diversification has been widely recognized as a key livelihood strategy, there remains a gap in understanding how farmers perceive and implement diversification in their everyday lives. Most research emphasizes statistical correlations between diversification and income or productivity, while fewer studies investigate the socio-cultural meanings, challenges, and personal strategies behind diversification practices. This study addresses this gap by using a qualitative approach to capture the voices of farmers and provide a deeper understanding of diversification as a resilience strategy in rural contexts.

3. METHODS

3.1 Research Design

This study employed a qualitative research design to explore agricultural diversification as a strategy for household economic resilience. A qualitative approach was chosen because it allows for an in-depth understanding of farmers' experiences, perceptions, and adaptive strategies, which cannot be fully captured through quantitative measurements alone. By focusing on the narratives of farmers, the study provides a

rich description of how diversification is practiced, the motivations behind it, and the challenges encountered.

3.2 Research Location and Participants

The research was conducted in a rural farming community where agricultural activities constitute the primary livelihood of households. Five farmer informants were purposively selected based on their engagement in diverse agricultural practices, such as cultivating multiple crops, combining cash crops and food crops, and integrating crop farming with livestock rearing. The informants were smallholder farmers with varying levels of experience, household size, and resource access. Purposive sampling ensured that the participants were directly relevant to the research objectives.

3.3 Data Collection

Data were collected through in-depth, semi-structured interviews. The interviews focused on three key areas: (1) farmers' reasons for adopting diversification practices, (2) the benefits of diversification for household economic resilience, and (3) the challenges faced in sustaining diversification. Each interview lasted between 60 to 90 minutes and was conducted in the local language to ensure clarity and comfort for the participants. The interviews were audio-recorded with the consent of participants and later transcribed for analysis. Field notes were also taken to capture observations related to farming practices, community dynamics, and contextual factors.

3.4 Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was employed to analyze the data. Following Braun and Clarke's (2006) framework, the analysis involved six steps: (1) familiarization with data through repeated readings of transcripts, (2) generating initial codes, (3) searching for themes, (4) reviewing themes, (5) defining and naming themes, and (6) producing the final narrative report. Coding was conducted manually, focusing on identifying patterns related to resilience, risk management, income stability, and socio-economic factors influencing diversification. Themes were then

developed to reflect the collective experiences and insights of the farmer informants.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Descriptive Findings

The five informants in this study were smallholder farmers whose households relied primarily on agriculture for their livelihoods. All of them practiced agricultural diversification, either by cultivating multiple food crops (such as rice, maize, and vegetables), planting cash crops (such as coffee and chili), or integrating livestock such as poultry, goats, and cattle. On average, their households had between four and six members, with farming serving as the main source of income, though some occasionally engaged in off-farm activities.

For these farmers, diversification was perceived not as an option, but as a necessity for sustaining their families. As one farmer explained:

"If we only grow rice, we depend too much on the season. But by planting chili and keeping chickens, even if the rice fails, we still have other income for the household." (Informant 2)

4.2 Benefits of Agricultural Diversification

The interviews revealed several key benefits of diversification for household economic resilience. First, diversification reduced dependence on a single commodity. Farmers recognized the risks of price volatility and crop failure.

"The price of chili sometimes goes up, sometimes it crashes. If we don't have other activities, we can suffer a big loss. That's why I also raise goats. If chili prices fall, I can sell goats instead." (Informant 4)

Second, diversification enhanced household food security. By producing different crops, farmers ensured year-round access to food and reduced household spending on market purchases.

"When we have vegetables from the garden, rice from the paddy field, and chickens at home, we don't need to buy much from the market. That really helps the family economy." (Informant 1)

Third, diversification fostered adaptive capacity. Farmers were more willing to experiment with new crops and farming practices to seize emerging opportunities.

“Now I am trying to plant coffee little by little. It takes time, but I see good potential in the future.” (Informant 3)

4.3 Challenges in Implementing Diversification

Despite its benefits, farmers faced major challenges in implementing diversification. Limited capital was a recurring barrier.

“We want to raise more cattle, but we don’t have the capital. Getting a loan is also difficult because we don’t have collateral.” (Informant 5)

Market access also constrained their ability to benefit fully from diversification. Farmers reported difficulties in selling surplus produce at fair prices due to weak bargaining power and limited infrastructure.

“Sometimes vegetable prices fall in the market because there is too much supply. We are forced to sell cheaply, with no other option.” (Informant 1)

Another challenge was the lack of technical support. Inadequate agricultural extension services limited their ability to adopt improved farming practices.

“When the extension officer comes, it’s usually just briefly and not regular. So, we learn mostly from our own experience.” (Informant 2)

4.4 Diversification as a Strategy for Resilience

Findings confirm that diversification is central to household economic resilience, as farmers view it not only as an economic strategy but also as a survival mechanism under uncertainty, supporting its role as a critical risk management tool in rural livelihoods. It helps manage income variability and consumption needs by spreading risks across activities with different profiles [23], while in Bangladesh it complements strategies like contract farming and precautionary savings, with adoption shaped by education, income, and land ownership [24]. The livelihood diversification

framework (LDF) also frames it as a proactive approach to augment income and manage risk (Srinivas & Giridhar, 2023). Case studies highlight this practice, such as Dzao farmers in Vietnam combining agricultural intensification and migration for sustainability [25], and palm oil farmers in Indonesia using active, passive, and network strategies to endure economic pressures [26].

Interview data showed how farmers used diversification to absorb shocks and adapt to changing conditions. For instance, when crops failed due to pests or prices dropped, livestock or alternative crops provided financial security.

“If pests destroy the chili, at least the rice is still there. So even if we lose on one side, something else helps us survive.” (Informant 3)

4.5 Discussion

These findings reinforce earlier studies that diversification enhances income stability and food security, as shown in South-West Nigeria where it buffers against social, environmental, and economic shocks with farmers’ well-being influenced by age, education, and access to credit [27], and in Northern rural Ghana where spousal incomes significantly improve food access and dietary consumption, making diversified households more food secure [28]. Yet, challenges persist, such as poor access to start-up capital, inadequate infrastructure, and high transportation costs in Northern Ghana [28], and limited livelihood assets like land in Southern Ethiopia, where the poorest households diversify the most but still struggle to achieve food security [29]. Evidence from Indonesia further shows that food diversification increases income, reduces poverty, and strengthens food security in both rural and urban areas [30], while in Kenya’s Kilifi South Sub-County, households engaged in diverse agricultural activities reported higher food security levels [31]. However, the qualitative evidence presented here adds depth by capturing farmers’ lived experiences and socio-cultural realities, showing that diversification is often described as a coping mechanism shaped by necessity and local

constraints rather than merely an economic choice.

From a resilience perspective, diversification strengthened households' absorptive capacity by providing alternative income streams, and adaptive capacity by encouraging innovation and experimentation. However, transformative capacity—enabling households to fundamentally improve and sustain livelihoods—remained weak due to structural constraints such as limited capital, inadequate market access, and weak institutional support. This suggests that while diversification helps farmers survive, supportive policies, credit access, and stronger extension services are essential to maximize its long-term benefits.

5. CONCLUSION

The study demonstrates that agricultural diversification plays a crucial role in enhancing household economic resilience among smallholder farmers. By engaging in multiple agricultural activities, farmers are able to reduce risks, stabilize income, and improve food security. The narratives of the five informants highlight that diversification

is not merely an economic choice but a necessary strategy for survival in the face of market volatility, pest outbreaks, and climatic uncertainty. Diversification strengthens households' absorptive capacity by providing alternative sources of income and food, while also enhancing adaptive capacity through innovation and experimentation with new practices. However, its potential to sustainably transform rural livelihoods remains constrained by structural barriers such as lack of capital, poor market access, and insufficient technical support.

For diversification to achieve its full potential as a resilience strategy, supportive policies and interventions are essential. Key measures include improving access to affordable credit, strengthening market linkages, and expanding agricultural extension services tailored to farmers' specific needs. By addressing these systemic challenges, diversification can evolve beyond a short-term coping mechanism into a transformative pathway that ensures long-term economic stability, strengthens food security, and promotes sustainable livelihoods for rural households.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Olkovsky, "Vulnerability and Resilience: The Farmers of Sagara Village," 2017.
- [2] D. Clavel, "Vulnerability and resilience of smallholder farms," in *Knowledge and Rural Development: Dialogue at the heart of innovation*, Springer, 2014, pp. 1–4.
- [3] D. G. Ayele, "Challenges to rural livelihoods: A case study of Chichu, Gedeo, Southern Ethiopia," *J. Rural Community Dev.*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2019.
- [4] L. J. Raphael, "Challenges Facing Smallholder Farmers in Mono Cash-crop Production in Tanzania: Are Adaptation Strategies Towards Livelihood Diversification a Panacea?," *Tanzania J. Dev. Stud.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 116–134, 2024.
- [5] T. N. Srinatha, G. J. Abhishek, K. Pramod, and B. J. Aravinda, "Agricultural Policy Reforms and their Effects on Smallholder Farmers: A Comprehensive Review," *Arch. Curr. Res. Int.*, vol. 24, pp. 467–474, 2024.
- [6] T. B. Mihrete and F. B. Mihretu, "Crop Diversification for Ensuring Sustainable Agriculture, Risk Management and Food Security," *Glob. Challenges*, p. 2400267, 2025.
- [7] S. Chonabayashi, T. Jithitkulchai, and Y. Qu, "Does agricultural diversification build economic resilience to drought and flood? Evidence from poor households in Zambia," *African J. Agric. Resour. Econ.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 65–80, 2020.
- [8] M. W. Ngigi, U. Mueller, and R. Birner, "Livestock diversification for improved resilience and welfare outcomes under climate risks in Kenya," *Eur. J. Dev. Res.*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1625–1648, 2021.
- [9] G. Firdaus and A. Ahmad, "Agricultural diversification for rural development. A case study of Ambedkar Nagar District of Uttar Pradesh, India," *Spanish J. Rural Dev.*, vol. 3, no. 3, 2012.
- [10] M. S. Islam, H. Jahan, N. S. Ema, and M. R. Ahmed, "Determinants of crop diversification and its impact on farmers' income: A case study in Rangpur District, Bangladesh," *JSA Reports*, vol. 4, no. 10, pp. 352–361, 2024.
- [11] T. G. Johnson, "The role of agriculture and farm household diversification in the US rural economy," in *Rural policies and employment: Transatlantic experiences*, World Scientific, 2019, pp. 315–329.
- [12] I. Darnhofer and A. Strauss, "Resilience of family farms: understanding the trade-offs linked to diversification," in *Proceedings of the 11th European IFSA Symposium, farming systems facing global challenges: capacities and strategies*, 2014, pp. 1–4.
- [13] C. L. Delgado and A. Siamwalla, "Rural economy and farm income diversification in developing countries," in *Food security, diversification and resource management: refocusing the role of agriculture?*, Routledge, 2018, pp. 126–143.

- [14] T. Hertel, I. Elouafi, M. Tanticharoen, and F. Ewert, "Diversification for enhanced food systems resilience," *Sci. Innov. food Syst. Transform.*, pp. 207–215, 2023.
- [15] K. Waha *et al.*, "Agricultural diversification as an important strategy for achieving food security in Africa," *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 3390–3400, 2018.
- [16] B. Egamberdiev, I. Bobojonov, L. Kuhn, and T. Glauben, "Household resilience capacity and food security: evidence from Kyrgyzstan," *Food Secur.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 967–988, 2023.
- [17] T. Ramilan *et al.*, "Quantifying farm household resilience and the implications of livelihood heterogeneity in the semi-arid tropics of India," *Agriculture*, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 466, 2022.
- [18] Q. Zhang, J. Gong, and Y. Wang, "How resilience capacity and multiple shocks affect rural households' subjective well-being: A comparative study of the Yangtze and Yellow River Basins in China," *Land use policy*, vol. 142, p. 107192, 2024.
- [19] K. Macours, P. Premand, and R. Vakis, "Transfers, Diversification and Household Risk Strategies: Can productive safety nets help households manage climatic variability?," *Econ. J.*, vol. 132, no. 647, pp. 2438–2470, 2022.
- [20] H. Ahamad, "Regional Disparities in the Levels of Education in Uttar Pradesh," 2008, *PhD thesis, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh*.
- [21] L. Dube, R. Numbwa, and E. Guveya, "Determinants of crop diversification amongst agricultural co-operators in Dundwa agricultural camp, Choma district, Zambia," *Asian J. Agric. Rural Dev.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2016.
- [22] D. Nyamayevu, I. Nyagumbo, M. Chiduwa, W. Liang, and R. Li, "Understanding crop diversification among smallholder farmers: socioeconomic insights from central Malawi," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 20, p. 9078, 2024.
- [23] S. K. Bhaumik, "Diversification of employment and earnings by rural households in West Bengal," *Indian J. Agric. Econ.*, vol. 62, no. 4, p. 585, 2007.
- [24] K. M. M. Adnan *et al.*, "Risk management strategies to cope catastrophic risks in agriculture: the case of contract farming, diversification and precautionary savings," *Agriculture*, vol. 10, no. 8, p. 351, 2020.
- [25] A. T. Nguyen *et al.*, "Rural livelihood diversification of Dzao farmers in response to unpredictable risks associated with agriculture in Vietnamese Northern Mountains today: AT Nguyen *et al.*," *Environ. Dev. Sustain.*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 5387–5407, 2020.
- [26] D. Handayani, "Palm Oil Farmers' Survival Strategy Analysis in Facing Livelihood Change," in *Asia-Pacific Research in Social Sciences and Humanities Universitas Indonesia Conference (APRISH 2019)*, Atlantis Press, 2021, pp. 117–124.
- [27] S. O. Olawuyi and T. D. Olawuyi, "Livelihood Diversification and Farmers' Well-Being: Lessons From South-West, Nigeria," *J. Dev. Areas*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 231–246, 2022.
- [28] G. A.-N. Salifu and Z. Salifu, "Challenges of income diversification and food security in Northern rural Ghana," *Cogent Soc. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 2282414, 2023.
- [29] B. Robaa and D. Tolossa, "Rural livelihood diversification and its effects on household food security: A case study at Damota Gale Woreda, Wolayta, Southern Ethiopia," *East. Afr. Soc. Sci. Res. Rev.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 93–118, 2016.
- [30] F. Rahmanto, E. P. Purnomo, and A. N. Kasiwi, "Food diversification: strengthening strategic efforts to reduce social inequality through sustainable food security development in Indonesia," 2021.
- [31] J. M. Chege and A. W. Wamukota, "Impact of Agricultural Diversification on Food Security among Smallholder Farming Community in Kilifi South Sub-County, Kenya," *Asian J. Agric. Extension, Econ. Sociol.*, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 384–393, 2024.